FLUOROQUINOLONES (Veterinary—Systemic)

This monograph includes information on the following: Ciprofloxacin, Danofloxacin, Difloxacin, Enrofloxacin, Marbofloxacin, and Orbifloxacin.

Some commonly used brand names for veterinary-labeled products

A180 [Danofloxacin] Baytril Taste Tabs [Enrofloxacin] Baytril Injectable Solution Dicural Tablets [Difloxacin] [Enrofloxacin] Baytril Injectable Solution Orbax Tablets 2.27% [Enrofloxacin] [Orbifloxacin] Baytril 100 Injectable Zeniquin Tablets Solution [Enrofloxacin] [Marbofloxacin] Baytril Tablets

Some commonly used *brand names* for human-labeled products are: Cipro [Ciprofloxacin] and Cipro I.V. [Ciprofloxacin].

Note: For a listing of dosage forms and brand names by country and availability, see the Dosage Forms section(s).

Category: Antibacterial (systemic).

Indications

[Enrofloxacin]

Note: The text between ELUS and EL describes uses that are not included in U.S. product labeling. Text between ELCAN and EL describes uses that are not included in Canadian product labeling.

The $^{\rm EL^{\rm US}}$ or $^{\rm EL^{\rm CAN}}$ designation can signify a lack of product availability in the country indicated. See the Dosage Forms section of this monograph to confirm availability.

General considerations

The fluoroquinolone antimicrobials are rapidly bactericidal against a variety of clinically important organisms, are well tolerated by animals, and can be administered by a variety of routes. (R-95) The members of this group that are currently labeled for use in animals have the same quinolone structure, each with modifications that account for pharmacokinetic variations in the medications but do not significantly change the antibacterial spectrum of activity. (R-1;

Fluoroquinolones exhibit good activity against most gram-negative bacteria, including Escherichia coli, Enterobacter species, Klebsiella species, Pasteurella species, Proteus species, and Salmonella species. Pseudomonas aeruginosa is variably susceptible, usually having a higher minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) than other susceptible organisms. [R-1; 95-98; 100; 102; 112] Ciprofloxacin and marbofloxacin may be more active than other fluoroquinolones against P. aeruginosa strains. (R-195

Some gram-positive bacteria are susceptible to fluoroquinolones. Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus intermedius usually are susceptible. [R-1; 96-98; 112] However, the MIC values for staphylococci typically are higher than for gram-negative bacteria and staphylococcal resistance to fluoroquinolones has been a

Evidence Quality

- Good evidence to support a recommendation for use
- В Moderate evidence to support a recommendation for use
- Insufficient evidence to support a recommendation for use C D
- Moderate evidence to support a recommendation against use
- Good evidence to support a recommendation against use

problem in human patients. {R-95}

Chlamydia, mycobacteria, mycoplasma, and ureaplasma can also be moderately to very susceptible to fluoroquinolones. {R-9}

Local factors that affect activity are cations at the site of infection and low pH; however, fluoroquinolones are active in abscesses in spite of often unfavorable environmental conditions. $^{\{R-95\}}$

Bacterial resistance to fluoroquinolones most commonly occurs by alteration of the target, DNA-gyrase (topoisomerase II), via mutation (gyr-A). Less commonly, but perhaps more importantly for gram-positive bacteria, mutation occurs at the topoisomerase-IV target (parC). {R-9; 21; 86} Other mechanisms of resistance occur when bacteria decrease the ability of the drug to enter the cell or increase active transport out of the cell. (R-9; 21) Resistance is usually chromosomally developed and, therefore, remains after antimicrobial therapy ends. ^[R-96; 95] Cross-resistance of enrofloxacin with other fluoroquinolones can occur [R-9; 10; 50] Changes in levels of resistance to fluoroquinolones over time by Campylobacter and Salmonella species are being monitored because of their possible impact on human health. {R-55; 56; 91}

Accepted

Infections, bacterial (treatment), including Cystitis, urinary, bacterial (treatment); Respiratory infections, bacterial (treatment); or

Skin and soft tissue infections (treatment)—

Cats: ELUS,CAN Enrofloxacin injection EL, enrofloxacin tablets, [R-1; 104]

marbofloxacin tablets, [R-97; 101] and orbifloxacin tablets [R-98; 100] are indicated in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in cats. Clinical efficacy has been established specifically in the treatment of skin and soft tissue infections. $\{R-1; 97; 98; 100; 102\}$

Dogs: Difloxacin tablets, ^{R-96; 99} enrofloxacin injection and tablets, ^{R-1; 104} marbofloxacin tablets, ^{R-97; 101} and orbifloxacin tablets ^{R-98; 100} are indicated in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in dogs. Clinical efficacy has been established specifically in the treatment of skin and soft tissue infections and urinary tract infections, as noted on product labeling $^{(R-96-101)}$ Clinical efficacy has also been established for enrofloxacin injection and tablets in the treatment of respiratory tract infections in dogs.^(R-1) EL^{US,CAN}There is evidence to suggest that enrofloxacin is as effective as chloramphenicol or tetracycline in the treatment

of Rocky Mountain spotted fever in dogs. EL{R-84} Pneumonia (treatment)—Cattle: Danofloxacin injection and enrofloxacin injection are indicated in the treatment of bovine respiratory disease caused by susceptible organisms, including Mannheimia (Pasteurella) haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida. [R-2; 57; 150-152] ELCAN Enrofloxacin injection is also labeled for use in the treatment of bovine respiratory disease caused by Histophilus somni. EL{R-2}

Potentially effective

Infections, bacterial (treatment)—

ELUS, CAN Bustards, camels, ducks, emus, llamas, oryx, red pacu, African grey parrots, and pythons: EL In the U.S., extra-label use is banned in ducks and in other animals to be used for

Evidence Type

- Species-specific evidence from at least one large randomized and controlled trial (RCT) or multiple small RCTs
- Species-specific evidence from a small RCT, disease models, large case studies, pharmacokinetic studies using surrogate endpoints, or evidence from well-designed trials in a different species that is considered appropriate for comparison
- Dramatic results from either well-designed, species-specific trials without controls or small case studies
- Pharmacokinetic studies without surrogate endpoints
- In vitro studies
- Opinions of respected authorities on the basis of clinical experience or reports of expert committees

food production—Although the safety and efficacy of enrofloxacin have not been established, dose recommendations for use in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections have been made, based on pharmacokinetic data, for bustards, ^[R-41] camels, ^[R-45] ducks, ^[R-42] emus, ^[R-43] llamas, ^[R-46] oryx, ^[R-47] red pacu, ^[R-44] African grey parrots, ^[R-39;40] and pythons. ^[R-48] Further clinical studies are necessary. See also the *Regulatory Considerations*

section.

ELUS,CAN Horses: EL For use only in animals not to be used for food production—Although the safety and efficacy of enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, and orbifloxacin in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in horses have not been established, pharmacokinetic evidence is available to suggest that they may be effective (Evidence rating: B-2). [R-25-27; 79-80; 136; 171-173; 188] Due to reports of articular cartilage damage in foals from administration of enrofloxacin, these antibiotics should not be administered to horses less than 3 years of age, except as a last resort for severe infections not treatable with other medications. [R-25; 26; 85] Although there have been reports of unpublished studies showing articular damage from enrofloxacin administration to adult horses, subsequent studies have shown no effect on cartilage in adults when used continuously for up to 21 days. [R-86]

ELUS,CAN Pigs, potbellied and miniature. EL In the U.S., extra-label use is banned in pigs, including potbellied and miniature pigs—Although the safety and efficacy of enrofloxacin in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in pigs have not been established, there is some pharmacokinetic evidence to suggest that this therapy may be effective. [R-29] See also the Regulatory Considerations section.

banned in sheep—Although the safety and efficacy of enrofloxacin in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in sheep have not been established, there is some pharmacokinetic evidence to suggest that this therapy may be effective. (R-28) See also the *Regulatory Considerations* section.

ELUS,CAN Bartonella infections (treatment)^{EL}; or ELUS,CAN Bartonella felis infections (treatment)^{EL}—Cats: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, enrofloxacin has been used in an attempt to eradicate Bartonella bacteremia in cats. (R-72; 73) Controlled therapeutic trials investigating the efficacy of enrofloxacin in clearing Bartonella from cats show a positive response in some animals, but tests used to document that an infection has been cleared remain unreliable, making the results difficult to interpret. [R-72] It should not be assumed that a Bartonella infection is cleared by a course of enrofloxacin. Long-term monitoring is necessary. [R-72; 73]

Although the safety has not been clearly established, a controlled, randomized study demonstrated the efficacy of enrofloxacin in the treatment of *Hemobartonella felis* infection, by showing it more quickly resolved clinical signs, raised hematocrit, and decreased organism counts than in control animals. In this study, some cats treated with a high dose of enrofloxacin or with doxycycline were apparently cleared of the organism. (R-83; 148)

cause Canal Brucellosis (treatment)^{EL}—Dogs: Historically, the treatment of dogs infected with Brucella canis has been controversial. Due to the zoonotic potential and the difficulty in clearing the infection, some have advocated euthanasia of infected animals. Studies using a combination of tetracycline and dihydrostreptomycin did demonstrate that infected animals, following neutering, could be cured of the infection. (R-139) However, dihydrostreptomycin is no longer available in the US. The Centers for Disease Control recommend a combination of doxycycline and rifampin for the treatment of brucellosis in human patients. (R-140) In a clinical trial, rifampin plus ciprofloxacin, a metabolite of enrofloxacin, was shown to be as effective as the standard rifampin and doxycyline regimen in the treatment of human brucellosis. (R-137) It is not known whether the fluoroquinolones have any efficacy in the treatment of canine brucellosis.

ELUS,CAN Chlamydial infections (treatment)^{EL}—Cats: There are no studies to document the effectiveness of the veterinary fluoroquinolones, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, and orbifloxacin, in the treatment of chlamydial infections in cats. Clinical trials of related human-labeled fluoroquinolones in the treatment of genital, respiratory, or ocular chlamydial infections in human patients have shown efficacy; however, concern exists that the organisms are not eradicated and recrudecense is common.

ELUS.CAN Endophthalmitis, bacterial (treatment)^{EL}—Cats and dogs: There are no specific studies to document the effectiveness of the veterinary fluoroquinolones, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin and orbifloxacin, in the treatment of bacterial endophthalmitis due to susceptible organisms. However, these bactericidal drugs have been shown to produce aqueous and vitreous humor concentrations within the therapeutic range for many pathogens. ^[R-1; 102; 179] Also, related human-labeled fluoroquinolones, including ciprofloxacin (a metabolite of enrofloxacin), have been reported as efficacious in several small studies and case reports in human patients ^[R-120-125]

studies and case reports in human patients. (R-120-125)
ELUS,CAN Meningitis, bacterial (treatment)EL—Cats and dogs: There are
no studies to document the effectiveness of the veterinary
fluoroquinolones, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, and
orbifloxacin, in the treatment of bacterial meningitis due to
susceptible organisms. However, these bactericidal drugs have
been shown to reach concentrations in the central nervous system
that are within the therapeutic range for many pathogens. (R-1; 102)
Also, related human-labeled fluoroquinolones, including
ciprofloxacin (a metabolite of enrofloxacin), have been reported
as efficacious in several small studies and case reports in human
patients. (R-126-132) Although the potential for fluoroquinolones to
induce seizures has been suggested as a reason to avoid these
drugs in the treatment of meningitis, the above mentioned human
studies, as well as disease models in animals, have failed to
indicate an increased incidence of seizures in fluoroquinolonetreated subjects. Careful monitoring for seizures is nevertheless
advised if fluoroquinolones are used in such infections

advised if fluoroquinolones are used in such infections.

ELUS,CAN Mycobacterial infections (treatment)EL—Cats: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin have been used in the treatment of mycobacterial infections in cats, based on case reports of successful treatment of cutaneous lesions of opportunistic mycobacteria. (R-75; 76; 142) There is some evidence to suggest that fluoroquinolones are effective in the treatment of tubercular mycobacteriosis, an often serious but also often asymptomatic or insidious disease in cats. Cats are also prone to infection with Mycobacterium lepraemurium, which is a nontubercular form of mycobacteria. Safety and efficacy of fluoroquinolones have not yet been proven in the treatment of M. lepraemurium, but successful treatment of the cutaneous form of mycobacterial infection with enrofloxacin indicates possible efficacy in the treatment of nontubercular forms. (R-142)

ELUS,CAN Mycoplasmal infections (treatment)^{EL}—Although the efficacy has not been established, fluoroquinolones have been used to treat infections caused by *Mycoplasma* species in animals. Activity of these antibiotics against *Mycoplasma* can be variable but enrofloxacin and danofloxacin have been shown to be consistently more active *in vitro* (minimum inhibitory concentrations [MIC] of 0.05 to 1.0 mcg/mL) against veterinary isolates than flumequine. [R-143]

ELUS.CAN Pasteurellosis (treatment)^{EL}—Rabbits, pet and research: In the U.S., extra-label use is banned in rabbits—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, there are some research data suggesting that parenteral enrofloxacin can resolve clinical signs of pasteurellosis in many naturally infected rabbits, even though the organism is not consistently eradicated. [R-67-69] See also the Regulatory Considerations section.

Unaccepted

ELUS.CAN Ehrlichiosis (treatment)EL—Cats and dogs: The American
College of Veterinary Internal Medicine Infectious Disease Study
Group has stated that the treatment of choice for ehrlichiosis is

doxycycline and that enrofloxacin has not been found to be an effective treatment. (R-149) A small, short-term (15-day) study without follow-up showed that enrofloxacin can be as effective as doxycycline in the treatment of naturally aquired ehrlichiosis in dogs; (R-77) however, another study of experimentally induced disease in which dogs were monitored after 21-day enrofloxacin therapy showed poor efficacy in clearing the infection. (R-138)

Regulatory Considerations

U.S.—

Federal law prohibits the extralabel use of fluoroquinolones in food-producing animals (21 CFR 530.41). The

prohibition is based on a finding by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) that the extralabel use of these antibiotics in food-producing animals presents a risk to the public health because it could increase the level of drugresistant zoonotic pathogens at the time of slaughter. (R-106) Some researchers are concerned that such use can lead to the transfer of pathogens resistant to fluoroquinolones from animals to human beings.

Food animals are designated by the FDA on a species basis. For example, all pigs, including potbellied and miniature pigs, are considered food-producing animals. In addition, animals that are not members of a species routinely used for food production in the United States would also be considered food-producing animals if they or their products are processed for human consumption. (R-202)

Danofloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, and orbifloxacin are restricted to use by or on the order of a licensed veterinarian. (R-1; 2; 94; 96-98) Withdrawal times have been established for danofloxacin and enrofloxacin. (R-2; 151)

Ciprofloxacin is not labeled for veterinary use.

Canada-

Danofloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, and orbifloxacin are restricted to use by or on the order of a licensed veterinarian. Withdrawal times have been established for danofloxacin and enrofloxacin. (R-150; 152)

Ciprofloxacin is not labeled for veterinary use.

Chemistry

Chemical group: Quinolone carboxylic acid derivatives. [R-1] Chemical name:

Ciprofloxacin—3-Quinolinecarboxylic acid, 1-cyclopropyl-6fluoro-1,4-dihydro-4-oxo-7-(1-piperazinyl)-. [R-7]

Danofloxacin mesylate—3-Quinolinecarboxylic acid, 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-1,4-dihydro-7-(5-methyl-2,5-diabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-yl)-4-oxo-, (1S)-, monomethanesulfonate. (R-7)

Difloxacin hydrochloride—3-Quinolinecarboxylic acid, 6-fluoro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,4-dihydro-7-(4-methyl-1-piperazinyl)-4-oxo, monohydrochloride. [R-7]

Enrofloxacin—3-Quinolinecarboxylic acid, 1-cyclopropyl-7-(4-ethyl-1-piperazinyl)-6-fluoro-1,4-dihydro-4-oxo-. [R-7]

Orbifloxacin—1-Cyclopropyl-7-(*cis*-3,5-dimethyl-1-piperazinyl)-5,6,8-trifluoro-1,4-dihydro-4-oxo-3-quinolinecarboxylic acid. (R-7)

Molecular formula:

$$\begin{split} & \text{Ciprofloxacin---} C_{17} H_{28} F N_3 O_3.^{\text{(R-7)}} \\ & \text{Danofloxacin mesylate---} C_{19} H_{20} F N_3 O_3 \cdot C H_4 O_3 S.^{\text{(R-7)}} \\ & \text{Difloxacin hydrochloride---} C_{21} H_{19} F_2 N_3 O_3 \cdot H Cl.^{\text{(R-7)}} \\ & \text{Enrofloxacin---} C_{19} H_{22} F N_3 O_3.^{\text{(R-7)}} \\ & \text{Marbofloxacin---} C_{17} H_{19} F N_4 O_4.^{\text{(R-7)}} 97) \\ & \text{Orbifloxacin---} C_{19} H_{20} F_3 N_3 O_3.^{\text{(R-7)}} 98) \end{split}$$

Molecular weight:

Ciprofloxacin—331.34. ^{R-7} Danofloxacin mesylate—453.48. ^{R-7}

Difloxacin hydrochloride—435.85.^{R-7} Enrofloxacin—359.39.^{R-7} Marbofloxacin—362.36.^{R-7; 97} Orbifloxacin—395.38.^{R-7; 98}

Description:

Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride USP—Faintly yellowish to light yellow crystals. [R-105]

Difloxacin hydrochloride—White to light yellow powder. Enrofloxacin—Pale yellow crystals with a melting point of 219 to 221 °C.

Orbifloxacin—White to pale yellow crystalline powder. {R-82}

pKa:

Ciprofloxacin—Carboxylic acid group, 6.1; tertiary amine, 7.8. [R-

Difloxacin—Carboxylic acid group, 4.33; methyl substituted nitrogen group, 9.05. (R-96)

Enrofloxacin—Carboxylic acid group, 6.0; tertiary amine, 8.8. (R-95)

Orbifloxacin—5.95 and 9.01. [R-98]

Solubility:

Ciprofloxacin hydrochloride—Sparingly soluble in water; slightly soluble in acetic acid and in methanol; very slightly soluble in dehydrated alcohol; practically insoluble in acetone, in acetonitrile, in ethyl acetate, in hexane, and in methylene chloride. [R-105]

Difloxacin—Poorly water soluble at neutral pH, more soluble under acidic conditions, and highly water soluble under basic conditions. (R-96)

Enrofloxacin—Slightly soluble in water at pH 7.

Marbofloxacin—Soluble in water; less soluble under alkaline conditions. [R-97]

Orbifloxacin—Slightly soluble in water; more soluble in both acidic and alkaline conditions. [R-98]

Pharmacology/Pharmacokinetics

Note: See also Table I and Table II at the end of this monograph.

Mechanism of action/Effect: Bactericidal. ^(R-2; 95-100) The fluoroquinolones inhibit bacterial DNA gyrase or topoisomerase IV (a type II topoisomerase), thereby preventing DNA supercoiling and replication. ^(R-1; 2; 86) Cell respiration and division end, and other processes are interrupted, including membrane integrity. ^(R-1) Mammalian cell topoisomerase II is not affected by fluoroquinolones until drug concentrations are at least 100 times higher than concentrations recommended to inhibit the bacteria. ^(R-2)

Fluoroquinolones enter cells via porins and accumulate rapidly in susceptible bacteria. ^(R-9) Some bacteria are able to pump the antibiotic agent back out of the cell by an energy-dependent efflux transport system. ^(R-9)

The efficacy of the fluoroquinolones is concentration dependent as measured by either the maximum concentration above MIC (C_{max} : MIC) or the area under the curve above MIC (AUC_{24} : MIC). (R-9) A post-antibiotic effect, in which growth of pathogens may remain inhibited for varying periods after fluoroquinolone concentrations fall below inhibitory concentrations, has been demonstrated with enrofloxacin and orbifloxacin in some bacteria. (R-9; 82)

Absorption: Oral absorption of fluoroquinolones is high for most animals studied. (R-1; 10; 97; 98) It is not affected by administration with food, although absorption may be delayed. (R-95) Divalent and trivalent cations can affect absorption (see the *Drug interactions* section in this monograph). (R-96) In cats, dogs, and pigs, oral absorption of fluoroquinolones approaches 100%, but in ruminants, it is generally less. (R-95)

The horse may be unique regarding oral bioavailability patterns in that enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, and orbifloxacin are considered to have clinically adequate bioavailabilities (65%, 62%, and 68%,

respectively), but ciprofloxacin has been shown to have an oral bioavailability of 7%. (R-27; 144; 171; 174)

Absorption from parenteral administration of fluoroquinolones is rapid and often nearly complete. {R-9; 11; 22; 28; 29; 32; 41; 45; 151} In some animals, there is delayed absorption from intramuscular or subcutaneous administration, producing longer half-lives from these routes compared to intravenous absorption. [R-95]

Enrofloxacin—Oral administration: Rapidly absorbed in monogastric species and preruminant calves. [R-1; 10] Absorption in adult ruminants is variable and has ranged from 10 to 50%. [R-86]

Distribution: Fluoroquinolones achieve concentrations that are at least as high as plasma in a wide range of tissues, with the exception of the central nervous system and the eye. {R-1; 26; 95-98; 162} This is true in many species, including cats, cattle, chickens, dogs, horses, pigs, and rabbits. (R-1; 5; 15; 18; 26; 31; 32; 162)

Differences in volume of distribution among the fluoroquinolones however, account for a range of maximum plasma concentrations among the drugs. Drugs with the lowest volume of distribution are diluted less in body fluid and produce higher plasma concentrations than drugs with a higher volume. The consequence of this difference is reflected in the dose administered; to achieve the same peak serum concentration, drugs with a high volume of distribution require a higher dose. (R-95)

Fluoroquinolones are rapidly accumulated in macrophages and neutrophils. Unlike other antibiotics that concentrate in subcellular sites within phagocytic cells, the quinolones are distributed into the cytosol where they can reach intracellular pathogens. (R-20) This concentration in leukocytes may explain the higher fluoroquinolone concentrations in infected tissue compared to healthy tissue. (R-95)

Because of renal elimination, urine concentration of fluoroquinolones occurs in many species. Enrofloxacin concentration in canine prostate tissue matches that in the serum and concentration in urine reaches about 100 times that in the serum. [R-18; 19] After administration of multiple oral doses of enrofloxacin to horses, urine concentrations are higher than serum concentrations. $^{(R-27)}$ The orbifloxacin concentration in canine prostate tissue exceeds that in serum and concentration in urine reaches about 50 times that in serum. {R-82} Although less than 5% of a difloxacin dose is renally eliminated in the dog, urine concentrations that are 10 times plasma concentration are achieved after a single dose of 10 mg per kg of body weight (mg/kg). (R-96)

Danofloxacin-

Cattle: Danofloxacin is extensively distributed. High concentrations are achieved in healthy lung tissue, pneumonic lung tissue, bronchial mucosa, and bronchial secretions. {R-151;

Pigs: Danofloxacin has been shown to reach a high concentration in lung tissue and gastrointestinal tissue, including mucosa. [1]

Sheep: Danofloxacin quickly reaches high tissue concentrations. One hour after intramuscular administration, the concentration peaks in lung tissue and interdigital skin. $^{\{R\text{-}166\}}$ It peaks in duodenal contents, lymph nodes, and brain 2 to 4 hours after administration, and in ileal and colonic contents, 4 to 8 hours after administration. (R-166)

Difloxacin—Horses: After an intragastric dosage of 7.5 mg/kg a day for five doses, highest mean cerebrospinal fluid, endometrial tissue, peritoneal fluid, synovial fluid, and urine concentrations were 0.87 ± 0.52 mcg/mL at 99 hours, 0.78 ± 0.48 mcg/gram at 97.5 hours, 1.50 ± 0.56 at 98 hours, 1.26 ± 0.49 mcg/mL at 100 hours, and 92.05 ± 30.35 mcg/mL at 104 hours, respectively. {R-183}

Marbofloxacin—Dogs: Tissue concentrations of marbofloxacin were determined in healthy male beagle dogs at 2, 18, and 24 hours after a single oral dose (2.75 or 5.5 mg/kg). Based on the terminal elimination half-life and the dosing interval, steady-state levels are reached after the third dose and are expected to be approximately 25% greater than those achieved after a single dose.

Marbofloxacin administered to dogs as a single intravenous dose of 2 mg/kg before cataract surgery produced a mean peak concentration in the aqueous humor of 0.41 ± 0.17 mcg/mL at 3.5hours after administration and a mean aqueous-humor-to-serum ratio of 0.38. [R-179] This dose was predicted to inhibit Enterobacteriaceae and some staphylococci in the eye, while a higher dose of 5.5 mg/kg may be sufficient to inhibit growth of $Pseudomonas\ aeruginosa\ and\ staphylococci,\ but\ not\ streptococcal\ infections. \ ^{{\bf R-179}}$

Orbifloxacin—Dogs: With oral administration of 7.5 mg/kg a day for at least 4 days, the average skin to plasma ratio of orbifloxacin concentration is 0.96 ± 0.24 in dogs with normal skin and $1.44 \pm$ 0.65 in dogs with pyoderma. (R-180)

Protein binding:

```
Ciprofloxacin—Dogs: 18.48 \pm 2.98\%, with an antibiotic
      concentration of 1 to 2 mcg/mL;^{\{R-175\}}_{\{R-12\}} 44 ± 3% (antibiotic concentration not reported).^{\{R-12\}}_{\{R-12\}}
```

Danofloxacin— Cattle: {R-160; 163}

 $50 \pm 3\%$, with an antibiotic concentration of 50 nanograms per mL (ng/mL).

 $42 \pm 3\%$, with a concentration of 100 ng/mL.

 $34 \pm 1\%$, with a concentration of 250 ng/mL.

 $29 \pm 1\%$, with a concentration of 500 ng/mL.

 $26 \pm 1\%$, with a concentration of 1000 ng/mL.

Goats: $13.55 \pm 1.78\%$. (R-169) Pigs: (R-164)

Healthy animals— $44 \pm 8\%$.

With induced gastrointestinal infection (Salmonella tvphimurium)—53 ± 8%.

Difloxacin-

Dogs: 46 to 52%. [R-97]

Goats: $13.79 \pm 1.02\%$. {R-185}

Rabbits: 22%. [R-184]

Enrofloxacin-

Camels: Concentration dependent—

1.7% at 1.8 mcg of enrofloxacin per mL of serum (mcg/mL). (R-45)

5% at 0.6 mcg/mL. $^{\{\text{R-45}\}}$

24.2% at 0.33 mcg/mL. $\{R-45\}$

Cattle, lactating: 36 to 45%. [R-11]

Chickens: $24 \pm 2\%$; {R-30} 21 ± 0.1 . {R-12}

Dogs: $34.74 \pm 2.33\%$, with a concentration of 1 to 2

mcg/mL;^{R-175} 72% at 1 mcg/mL.^{{R-86}

Horses: $22 \pm 2\%$ (R-12)

Pigs: $27 \pm 3\%$. {R-12}

Rabbits:

Up to 30 days of age—40 to 50%. (R-34; 35)

Adult—53 \pm 1%. $\{R-12\}$

Does, pregnant— $35 \pm 5\%$. (R-63)

Marbofloxacin-

Cats: 7.3%. [R-97]

Dogs: $21.81 \pm 6.26\%$, with a concentration of 1 to 2 mcg/mL; ${}^{\{R-175\}}$ 9.1%. ${}^{\{R-97\}}$

Orbifloxacin-

Dogs: 7.7 to 14.5%. [R-82]

Horses: 20.64%. [R-174]

Biotransformation:

Difloxacin—In the dog, difloxacin is metabolized to an ester glucuronide and the desmethyl derivative. [R-96]

Enrofloxacin—Enrofloxacin is de-ethylated to form ciprofloxacin, an antimicrobically active metabolite in many species. [R-11; 13; 18; 22; 24; 28; 29; 31; 39; 42; 46; 71; 72} Therefore, microbiologic assays in pharmacokinetic studies are likely to measure the activity of both

enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin combined. Because minimum inhibitory concentrations for some pathogens are lower for ciprofloxacin than for enrofloxacin, (R-13) therapeutic

concentrations of ciprofloxacin can be reached with dosing calculated to achieve effective enrofloxacin concentrations. (R-16; 25; 28) Ciprofloxacin can be considered an important contributor to the activity of enrofloxacin. (R-16; 28) Evaluations of enrofloxacin activity based on serum or tissue concentrations should consider the contributions of both enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin. It is also possible that other as yet undiscovered metabolites have antimicrobial activity. (R-16)

Cats: After oral administration, the half-time for conversion of enrofloxacin to ciprofloxacin is about 13 minutes. {R-22} Ciprofloxacin is about 20% of the enrofloxacin concentration in the serum at any one time; about 10% at maximum serum concentrations. {R-22; 72; 86}

Cattle, lactating: The serum concentration of ciprofloxacin is 35% that of enrofloxacin during the elimination phase, after an intravenous dose of 5 mg/kg.^(R-11)

Chickens: Enrofloxacin is extensively metabolized to ciprofloxacin. [R-31]

Dogs: Overall, 40% of the oral or intravenous enrofloxacin dose administered is metabolized to ciprofloxacin. (R-23)
Ciprofloxacin makes up about 20% of the total serum concentration of enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin after enrofloxacin administration; ciprofloxacin makes up about 35% of the total body concentration when calculated based on the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC). (R-16; 18; 86)

Ducks: Less than 10% of the administered enrofloxacin dose is converted to ciprofloxacin after a 10 mg/kg dose.^(R-42)

Horses: The concentration of ciprofloxacin in the serum reaches 20 to 35% of the enrofloxacin concentration in adult horses. [R-24] In foals, the amount of ciprofloxacin measured is negligible. [R-85]

Llamas: Approximately 36% of enrofloxacin administered is converted to ciprofloxacin in llamas. [R-46]

Macaques, long-tailed: Ciprofloxacin makes up about 22% of the total amount of active drug measured in the serum after intramuscular administration of 5 mg/kg of enrofloxacin. (R-71)

Parrots, African grey: Ciprofloxacin concentration in the serum reaches 3 to 78% of the enrofloxacin dose administered. [R-39] The ratio of ciprofloxacin to enrofloxacin in the serum increases with multiple dosing over 10 days. [R-39]

Pigs: The concentration of ciprofloxacin in the plasma comprises less than 10% of the amount of enrofloxacin present in the plasma. (R-29)

Sheep: In one study, the concentration of ciprofloxacin in the plasma reached 35 and 55% of the serum enrofloxacin concentrations, with intravenous and intramuscular administration, respectively, of a 2.5 mg/kg dose. [R-28] Another study found the concentration of ciprofloxacin in the plasma to be 10 to 20% of the serum drug concentration. [R-86]

Marbofloxacin—*Dogs:* 10 to 15% of the dose is metabolized in the liver. (R-97)

Elimination:

Danofloxacin-

Cattle: Because danofloxacin is rapidly eliminated, only negligible drug accumulation is expected when it is administered at the labeled dose with a 48-hour dosing interval. (R-151; 153) In the first twenty-four hours after an intramuscular dose of 2 mg/kg, 38% of the dose is eliminated in the urine. (R-162)

Pigs: In the first twenty-four hours after an intramuscular dose of 2 mg/kg, 43% of the dose is eliminated in the urine. ^(R-162)

Difloxacin—Dogs: Primarily eliminated through glucuronidation and subsequent biliary secretion. The glucuronide metabolite may be hydrolyzed back to the parent compound and reabsorbed in the gastrointestinal tract. After intravenous administration, 80% of the dose is eliminated in the feces while renal clearance accounts for less than 5% of difloxacin elimination. [R-96]

Enrofloxacin—Renal. Primarily by glomerular filtration and tubular secretion. $^{\text{(R-10)}}$

Marbofloxacin-

Cats: Primarily renal. 70% of an oral dose is excreted into the urine as parent drug and metabolites. {R-97}

Dogs: 40% of an oral or subcutaneous dose is excreted as parent drug into the urine. Elimination of parent drug into the feces is also a significant route of elimination. [R-97; 115]

Orbifloxacin-

Calves: In the first 72 hours after a single intramuscular dose of 5 mg/kg, 37% was recovered in the urine and 5% in the feces. [R-181] After intramuscular administration, 94% of orbifloxacin eliminated in the urine was unchanged parent drug, 1% was glucuronide metabolite, and 5% was an oxidative metabolite. [R-181]

Cats: In the first 72 hours after a single subcutaneous dose of 5 mg/kg, 28% was recovered in the urine and 15% in the feces. [R-181] After subcutaneous administration, 96% of the orbifloxacin eliminated in urine was unchanged parent drug and 4% was N-hydroxy orbifloxacin, an active metabolite with somewhat higher minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for pathogens sensitive to orbifloxacin. [R-111]

Dogs: In the first 72 hours after a single subcutaneous dose of 5 mg/kg, 45% was recovered in the urine and 18% in the feces. (R-181) With oral administration, 40% of the dose was excreted as parent drug into the urine. (R-97) After a subcutaneous dose, 87% of the orbifloxacin eliminated in the urine was parent compound and 13% was glucuronide metabolite. (R-111)

Pigs: In the first 72 hours after a single intramuscular dose of 5 mg/kg, 71% was recovered in the urine and 9% in the feces. (R-181) After intramuscular administration, 97% of the orbifloxacin eliminated in urine was unchanged parent drug and 3% was glucuronide metabolite. (R-181)

Precautions to Consider

Bacterial resistance

Concerns about the risk of increasing resistance of human pathogens to fluoroquinolones as well as the ability of infections in animals to resist treatment should be considered by health practitioners when prescribing these medications. There have been warnings by infectious disease experts that widespread use of fluoroquinolones may lead to increased resistance, and transfer of resistance to humans has been suggested for Campylobacter species and Salmonella typhimurium type DT-104. Increased resistance in Campylobacter jejuni infecting people was reported after 1995, the same period in which fluoroquinolones were first approved for use in poultry. The United States Food and Drug Administration withdrew drug approval for the administration of enrofloxacin to poultry in 2005 because of the emergence of resistance in *Campylobacter* species. {R-3} There has also been discussion about the appearance of resistant strains of Salmonella typhimurium during the time fluoroquinolones have been used in livestock. However, some resistant strains have been traced to farms that were not administering fluoroquinolones, leading to the suggestion that the resistance may have arisen spontaneously. (R-95) As scientists continue to uncover evidence pertaining to the potential for transfer of fluoroquinolone-resistant pathogens from animals to man, fluoroquinolones have had limited approval for use in food-producing animals and extra-label use in these animals is prohibited in the United States. [R-95; 106]

Species sensitivity

Cats: Because of the risk of retinal degeneration associated with enrofloxacin administration at high doses (20 mg per kg of body weight [mg/kg] a day), [R-1] it has been recommended that administration of high doses of all fluoroquinolones be avoided in cats whenever possible. It may be that not all fluoroquinolones have the same potential to cause retinal damage. Limited studies showed that marbofloxacin caused no visible retinal changes with fundiscopic or histologic examination when the medication was

administered to 8-month-old cats at 10 times the highest recommended dosage for 2 weeks, ^(R-97) whereas enrofloxacin has been shown to cause ocular lesions at 4 times the recommended dosage. ^(R-1) No retinal changes were visible with fundoscopic or histologic examination when orbifloxacin was administered to cats at a dose of 15 mg/kg a day for 30 days (2 times the highest labeled dose), while much higher doses (45 to 75 mg/kg) produced tapetal hyperreflectivity in the area centralis and minimal photoreceptor degeneration. ^(R-146)

Carcinogenicity

Danofloxacin and enrofloxacin—No evidence of carcinogenicity has been found in studies of laboratory animal models. [8-2; 151]

Pregnancy/Reproduction

- The attributes of fluoroquinolones make them likely to cross the placenta in many species; however, adverse effects have not yet been reported when fluoroquinolones have been administered to pregnant animals. (R-95)
- Adequate and well-controlled studies of the effects of fluoroquinolones in pregnant human beings have not been done; however, administration during human pregnancy is generally not recommended, based on reports of arthropathy in immature animals. (R-107)
- Ciprofloxacin—Ciprofloxacin crosses the human placenta. [R-107]
 Intravenous doses of ciprofloxacin of up to 20 mg per kg of body weight (mg/kg) in pregnant rats and mice have not shown evidence of maternal toxicity, embryotoxicity, or teratogenic effects. [R-107]

Danofloxacin-

- Cattle: Safety in breeding or pregnant cattle has not been determined. (R-151; 152)
- Mice and rats: No teratogenic effects were reported in rats given up to 50 mg/kg a day from days 6 to 15 of gestation or in mice given up to 100 mg/kg a day for eight days of gestation. (R-153) A reproductive study in three generations of rats established a no observable effect level (NOEL) of 6.25 mg/kg a day. (R-151)
- Rabbits: Although maternal toxicity (decreased appetite and subsequent abortion) occurred in rabbits given up to 15 mg/kg a day, no teratogenic effects in their fetuses were reported. [R-151; 153]
- Difloxacin, marbofloxacin, and orbifloxacin—Safety in breeding or pregnant animals has not been determined. [R-96-98]

Enrofloxacin-

- Cats and cattle: Effect on reproduction or pregnancy has not been established. (R-1:2)
- Chickens: No adverse effects were noted in measured reproductive parameters when male and female chickens were given an enrofloxacin dose of 150 parts per million in the drinking water for 7 days. This regimen was repeated at five different ages between 1 day and 206 days of age with no reproductive effect noted. The parameters measured included egg production, egg weight, hatchability, chick viability, and reproductive histology of treated birds and their hatched chicks. [R-4]

Dogs:

- No adverse effects were noted in measured reproductive parameters, including libido, successful pregnancy, and number of pups per litter, when male dogs were administered 5 to 15 mg/kg a day for 10 days beginning at 90, 45, or 14 days before breeding. [R-1; 5]
- No adverse effects were noted in female dogs administered 15 mg/kg a day for 10 days in the last 30 days before breeding, between the 10th and 30th days of gestation, between the 40th and 60th days of gestation, or during the first 28 days of lactation. (R-1; 5; 6)
- Rabbits: Enrofloxacin is transferred across the placenta in rabbits; ^(R-63) adverse effects on pups have not been reported. Ciprofloxacin also crosses the placenta but at a much slower

pace (6% of the rate of enrofloxacin). [R-63]

Lactation

- Because of the risk of producing arthropathies in immature animals, it has been recommended that significant levels of fluoroquinolones in the milk of nursing animals be avoided. (R-95; 107)

 Fluoroquinolones can be distributed into milk, sometimes at a higher concentration than in plasma, (R-11-14; 34) but it is not known under what conditions significant amounts might be absorbed by
- nursing animals. (R-86)

 Mastitis—It has not been shown that fluoroquinolones are effective in treating mastitis, (R-95) perhaps because of factors in milk that inhibit activity. (R-11)
- Cattle: United States federal law prohibits the extra-label use of fluoroquinolones in food-producing animals (see the Regulatory Considerations section).
 - Danofloxacin appears rapidly in milk after parenteral administration. In one study, milk concentration equaled plasma concentration 90 minutes after intravenous injection and 120 minutes after intravancular injection. [R-161] Three to four hours after intravenous administration of 1.25 mg/kg, milk concentration was 4 to 15 times the serum concentration; it peaked at 0.8 mcg/mL at 4 hours. Danofloxacin continued to be measured in milk for at least 24 hours but was no longer found in serum 6 and 12 hours after intravenous and intramuscular administration, respectively (limit of detection, 0.02 mcg/mL). [R-161]
 - Enrofloxacin appears rapidly in milk after parenteral administration, reaching a peak concentration 30 to 60 minutes after intravenous injection, followed by a gradual decline in milk concentration similar to that occurring in serum concentration. (R-11; 14) Approximately 0.2% of a 5 mg per kg of body weight dose of enrofloxacin is measured in milk in the first 24 hours; therapeutic antimicrobial concentrations can be reached. (R-11)
 - The ciprofloxacin metabolite of enrofloxacin also appears rapidly in milk, but this occurs 4 to 8 hours after parenteral administration. It concentrates to a higher peak than enrofloxacin itself. (R-11; 14)
- Horses: Following an oral dose of 5 mg/kg to lactating mares, concentration of ciprofloxacin and enrofloxacin in milk ranged from 0.25 to 0.78 mcg per mL. At this concentration, a nursing foal would ingest a dose of less than 0.1 mg per kg of body weight a day, producing plasma concentrations below detection limits in the foal. (R-86)
- Rabbits: United States federal law prohibits the extra-label use of fluoroquinolones in food-producing animals (see the *Regulatory Considerations* section). The following information is included in case of accidental dosing.
 - Therapeutic concentrations of enrofloxacin are reached in milk following a dose of 7.5 mg per kg of body weight. {R-34}
- Sheep: United States federal law prohibits the extra-label use of fluoroquinolones in food-producing animals (see the Regulatory Considerations section). The following information is included in case of accidental dosing.
 - With intramuscular or intravenous administration of danofloxacin, milk concentration exceeds serum concentration within 60 to 90 minutes. Danofloxacin continues to be present in milk after the drug and its active metabolites can no longer be measured in the serum by microbiological assay. (R-167)
 - Similarly, with intramuscular or intravenous administration of enrofloxacin, milk concentrations reached are much higher than serum concentrations. (R-147)

Pediatrics

See also the Side/Adverse Effects section for information on risk of arthropathies in immature animals.

Enrofloxacin-

Calves: Until at least 1 week of age, the elimination of enrofloxacin is slower in calves than in adult cattle. (R-13)

Adjustment of dosage, including increased dosing interval, may be necessary. [R-13]

Foals: Elimination of enrofloxacin in foals (half-life = 18 hrs) is slower than in adult horses and oral absorption in foals is approximately 42%. ^[R-86] Administering enrofloxacin at a dose of 10 mg per kg a day caused every one of five healthy foals to have lesions on articular cartilage. ^[R-85]

Rabbits: Elimination of enrofloxacin is significantly less in neonates until at least 16 days of age compared with that in adult rabbits. (R-34) The ease of penetration of enrofloxacin into milk should be considered when treating lactating does that continue to nurse. (R-35) Enrofloxacin pharmacokinetics in 30-day-old rabbits are similar to those in adult rabbits. (R-35)

Drug interactions and/or related problems

The following drug interactions and/or related problems have been selected on the basis of their potential clinical significance (possible mechanism in parentheses where appropriate)—not necessarily inclusive (» = major clinical significance):

Note: Digoxin: A small study investigating the effect of enrofloxacin administration on digoxin clearance and serum concentrations in dogs showed no effect with concurrent administration. ^{R-59}

Combinations containing any of the following medications, depending on the amount present, may also interact with this medication.

Antacids, aluminum-, calcium-, or magnesium-containing or Laxatives, magnesium-containing or

Multivitamins or

Sucralfate or

Zinc

(compounds containing divalent or trivalent cations, such as aluminum, calcium, iron, magnesium, or zinc, administered concurrently with a fluoroquinolone, may reduce the absorption of the fluoroquinolone)^(R-1; 96-98)

Diclofenac (R-189)

Flunixin^{{R-176}}

Tolfenamic acid (R-177)

(the coadministration of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs with fluoroquinolones may affect the pharmacokinetics of one or both drugs; the clinical significance of these changes is not known)

Theophylline (R-61) or

Hepatically metabolized drugs, other [R-1]

(in dogs, the clearance of theophylline was reduced by 43% with concurrent administration of enrofloxacin [5 mg per kg of body weight every 24 hours]; peak serum concentration of theophylline was significantly increased; the pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin were unaffected)^(R-62) (the concurrent administration of a fluoroquinolone with other drugs metabolized by hepatic enzymes may affect the pharmacokinetics of one or both drugs; (R-1) enrofloxacin has been shown to inhibit liver microsomal mixed-function oxidases in broiler chicks, including aniline hydroxylase and aminopyrine *N*-demethylase; (R-60) cytochrome P450 activity was not significantly affected in chickens; (R-60) in mice, there is indirect evidence that cytochrome P450 enzymes may be affected by enrofloxacin administration; (R-62) the effect of these enzyme inhibitions on specific drugs has not yet been demonstrated)

Human drug interactions and/or related problems $^{\{R-107\}}$

In addition to the above drug interactions reported in animals, the following drug interactions have been reported in humans, and are included in the human monograph *Fluoroquinolones* (*Systemic*) in *USP DI Volume I;* these drug interactions are intended for informational purposes only and may or may not be applicable to the use of fluoroquinolones in animals:

Note: There are no danofloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, or orbifloxacin products labeled for use in

human beings.

Anticonvulsants, hydantoin, especially:

Phenytoin

(concurrent administration of ciprofloxacin with phenytoin has resulted in a 34 to 80% decrease in the plasma concentration of phenytoin)

Antidiabetic agents, sulfonylurea, especially:

Glyburide or

Insulin

(concurrent use of ciprofloxacin with glyburide or other antidiabetic agents has, on rare occasions, resulted in hypoglycemia; also, hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia have been reported in patients taking quinolone antibiotics and antidiabetic agents concurrently; the mechanism is not understood and similar effects with other sulfonylurea antidiabetic agents may be expected when these medications are used with fluoroquinolones)

Anti-inflammatory drugs, nonsteroidal (NSAIDs)

(fluoroquinolones are competitive inhibitors of gammaaminobutyric acid receptor binding, and some NSAIDs have been shown to enhance this effect; concurrent administration of NSAIDs with quinolone antibiotics may increase the risks of CNS stimulation and convulsions)

Cyclosporine

(concurrent use with ciprofloxacin has been reported to elevate serum creatinine and serum cyclosporine concentrations; other studies have not found ciprofloxacin to alter the pharmacokinetics of cyclosporine)

Probenecid

(concurrent use of probenecid decreases the renal tubular secretion of fluoroquinolones, resulting in decreased urinary excretion of the fluoroquinolone, prolonged elimination half-life, and increased risk of toxicity; this interaction is more significant with fluoroquinolones excreted largely unchanged in the urine)

Warfarin

(concurrent use of warfarin with ciprofloxacin has been reported to increase the anticoagulant effect of warfarin, increasing the chance of bleeding; other studies have not found fluoroquinolones to alter the prothrombin time [PT] significantly)

Laboratory value alterations

The following have been selected on the basis of their potential clinical significance (possible effect in parentheses where appropriate)—not necessarily inclusive (» = major clinical significance):

With diagnostic test results

Coombs' test

(positive reactions for the Coombs' test may be seen in animals

receiving cephalosporins; (R-110) this may be due to changes in the red blood cells, but hemolytic anemia usually is not occurring (R-2))

Glucose, urine

(in dogs, enrofloxacin administered at a dose of 5 to 10 mg/kg has been shown to produce false-positive urine glucose results with one commercial product tested [Clinitest tablets] while the strips tested were not affected [Chemstrips 10 SG, Multistix 10 SG, and Urispec 9-way]; other than being test-dependent, this problem is probably also dosage-dependent [more common with the higher dose of 10 mg/kg every 12 hours] and dependent on the timing of collection after drug administration [with the lower dose, it occurred at 6 hours but not at 24 hours])^(R-178)

Human laboratory value alterations^{R-107}

The following laboratory value alterations have been reported in humans, and are included in the human monograph *Fluoroquinolones (Systemic)* in *USP DI Volume I*; these

laboratory value alterations are intended for informational purposes only and may or may not be applicable to the use of fluoroquinolones in the treatment of animals:

Note: There are no danofloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, or orbifloxacin products labeled for use in human beings.

With physiology/laboratory test values

Alanine aminotransferase (ALT [SGPT]) and

Alkaline phosphatase and

Amylase and

Aspartate aminotransferase (AST [SGOT]) and

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)

(serum values may be increased)

Medical considerations/Contraindications

The medical considerations/contraindications included have been selected on the basis of their potential clinical significance (reasons given in parentheses where appropriate)—not necessarily inclusive (» = major clinical significance).

Except under special circumstances, this medication should not be used when the following medical problems exist:

Hypersensitivity to quinolones

(animals with a history of hypersensitivity to quinolones are at risk for developing reactions to them) [R-1; 97; 98; 200]

Immature animals in some species

(fluoroquinolone administration during rapid growth has been associated with arthropathies and cartilage erosions in weightbearing joints in immature cats, dogs, and horses; (R-1; 5; 25; 26; 85; 96-98) in dogs, enrofloxacin has been shown to cause abnormal carriage of the carpal joint and hindlimb weakness, as well as cartilage lesions; administration of enrofloxacin should be avoided in small and medium breed dogs during rapid growth, typically 2 to 8 months of age; large or giant breeds may rapidly grow until 18 months of age)^[R-1]

Risk-benefit should be considered when the following medical problems exist:

Central nervous system (CNS) disorders^{R-1; 150} Seizures, history of

(fluoroquinolones have been associated with CNS stimulation that may lead to seizures in a few rare cases and should be used with caution; (R-1; 2; 151) the clinical significance of a report of increased seizure incidence with enrofloxacin administration to dogs with phenobarbital-controlled seizures is not known) (R-10)

Hepatic disease, severe

Renal failure

(fluoroquinolones are primarily eliminated by a combination of renal clearance and hepatic metabolism, sometimes with significant biliary secretion; the predominance of one route over another depends on the quinolone and the animal species; there is little research information on changes in elimination in various disease states in animals; the induction of moderate renal impairment in dogs [glomerular filtration rate decreased 37% and serum creatinine values increased 85% from normal controls] had only a minor effect on the clearance of marbofloxacin)^(R-108)

Patient monitoring

The following may be especially important in patient monitoring (other tests may be warranted in some patients, depending on condition; » = major clinical significance):

Culture and sensitivity in vitro and

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

(in vitro cultures and MIC tests should be done on samples collected prior to fluoroquinolone administration to determine pathogen susceptibility)

Side/Adverse Effects

The following side/adverse effects have been selected on the basis of their potential clinical significance (possible signs in parentheses where appropriate)—not necessarily inclusive:

Those indicating need for medical attention

Incidence unknown

Multiple species

Arthropathy—in immature animals, especially dogs and foals Note: The risk of arthropathy increases with increasing dose but has been reported to occur at recommended dosages in young dogs.

Danofloxacin—Articular cartilage lesions consistent with fluoroquinolone chondropathy have not been demonstrated in growing *calves* when danofloxacin is administered at the labeled dose. The typical cartilage lesions were reported at necropsy and histopathology of calves given 18 mg per kg of body weight (three times the labeled dose) or more, with a shortened dosing interval or lengthened duration of treatment compared to the labeled dosage.^[R-151]

Difloxacin—Articular cartilage lesions were seen in 15- to 16-week-old *puppies* administered difloxacin at 5, 25, or 35 mg per kg of body weight (mg/kg) a day for 90 days. ^{R-96} Lameness, in addition to cartilage lesions, was observed in puppies administered 50 or 125 mg/kg a day. ^{R-96}

Enrofloxacin—Cartilage damage has been observed in 10-to 28-week-old *puppies* with an oral enrofloxacin dose of 5 to 25 mg/kg a day for 30 days and 5- to 7-month-old *kittens* with an oral dose of 25 mg/kg a day for 30 days; (R-1; 5) changes include splitting of the articular cartilage surface and, in some cases, necrosis of the hyaline cartilage. (R-4) Arthropathy has been reported in growing horses. (R-25; 26) In manufacturer-generated data, a dose of 5 mg/kg administered to *foals* once a day was reported to cause cartilage lesions and signs of arthropathy after 6 days; (R-25) however, studies have shown no effect on cartilage in adult horses when used continuously for up to 21 days. (R-86; 136) In 23-day-old *calves*, a dose of 25 mg/kg a day for 15 days had no measurable effect on articular cartilage in the stifle joint at 2 and 9 days after the end of treatment. (R-2)

Marbofloxacin—Lameness and articular cartilage lesions were reported in large breed, 3- to 4-month-old dogs administered 11 mg/kg a day for 14 days.^(R-97)

Orbifloxacin—Microscopic cartilage lesions typical of fluoroquinolone arthropathy have also been reported with orbifloxacin administration; in one of eight, 8- to 10-week-old puppies given 12.5 mg/kg a day and all 8 puppies given 25 mg/kg a day. (R-98) Cats appear to be resistant to this affect, showing no cartilage lesions after one month of 25 mg/kg a day. (R-98)

Cats

Retinal degeneration (acute blindness, mydriasis)—reported with enrofloxacin at doses higher than 5 mg/kg a day

Note: Administering enrofloxacin to cats at a dose of 20 mg/kg can cause *retinal degeneration*, ^(R-1; 103) often manifested as temporary or permanent blindness with mydriasis. ^(R-1; 103; 119) Mild to severe fundic lesions are observed on ophthalmologic exam of affected cats, including changes in the color of the fundus and central or generalized retinal degeneration. There are also abnormal electroretinogram results and diffuse light microscopic changes in the retinas. ^(R-1) Caution is recommended when considering high dose therapy of any fluoroquinolone in cats.

Cats and dogs

Anorexia, decreased appetite, diarrhea, or vomiting—with difloxacin and orbifloxacin; ataxia—with enrofloxacin and orbifloxacin; hypersensitivity reactions (anaphlaxis or anapylactoid reactions, facial edema)—with orbifloxacin;

seizures—with enrofloxacin and orbifloxacin

Note: The above effects were not observed during preapproval clinical field trial but were noted as part of voluntary postapproval adverse drug experience reporting. {R-1; 96; 200}

Horses

Oral erosions or ulcers—with oral administration of enrofloxacin injection $^{\{R-201\}}$

Note: Some practitioners have administered enrofloxacin injection (100 mg/mL) orally to horses because of the relatively high cost of administering multiple tablets sized for cats and dogs. However, it is not unusual for horses to develop serious oral erosions or ulcers during this treatment. Even when the injection was compounded into a oral gel formulation, oral lesions were noted in about 10% of the horses treated. (R-201)

Parrots, African grey

Appetite, decreased; {R-40} polydipsia and polyuria—with an enrofloxacin dose of 30 mg/kg every 12 hours for 10 days (R-39) or in drinking water with 1.5 to 3 mg of enrofloxacin per mL of water; {R-40} may resolve within 2 or 3 days of treatment cessation^{R-39}

Incidence less frequent

Calves

Lameness

Note: Transient lameness was reported in one out of forty-one calves during a field trial in which calves were given 6 mg/kg every forty-eight hours. Two of thirty-eight calves became lame four days after a single dose of 8 mg/kg; one was still lame at the end of the study six days later [R-151]

Those indicating need for medical attention only if they continue or are bothersome

Incidence more frequent

Cats

Vomiting—with enrofloxacin, occasional vomiting was observed in up to 75% of 7- to 10-month-old cats administered a 5- to 15mg/kg dose for 30 days; however, 25% of untreated cats also vomited occasionally. (R-1)

Incidence less frequent

 $\textbf{\textit{Diarrhea}}\xspace$ —reported with marbofloxacin (2.1% of cats in one report) (R-97)

Dogs

Decreased activity—reported with marbofloxacin (4.4% of dogs in one report); ^{R-97} decreased appetite—reported with marbofloxacin (5.4%); ^{R-97} vomiting—reported with marbofloxacin (2.9%) ^{R-97}

Incidence rare

Vomiting—with marbofloxacin (<1%) (R-97)

Dogs

Vomiting—with enrofloxacin (0.7% of dogs) [R-1]

Incidence unknown

Cats and dogs

Depression; lethargy—with orbifloxacin [R-200]

Cattle, {R-2} horses, {R-24} and rabbits {R-68; 69

Local tissue reaction, transient—with injection; in cattle, can cause trim loss of edible tissue at slaughter (R-2; 151)

$Human\ side/adverse\ effects^{\{R\text{-}107\}}$

In addition to the above side/adverse effects reported in animals, the following side/adverse effects have been reported in humans and are included in the human monograph Fluoroquinolones (Systemic) in USP DI Volume I; these side/adverse effects are intended for informational purposes only and may or may not be applicable to the use of fluoroquinolones in the treatment of animals:

Note: The following human side/adverse effects are those pertaining to ciprofloxacin or to fluoroquinolones in general. Danofloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, and orbifloxacin are not available as products labeled for human use.

Note: The relative insolubility of ciprofloxacin at an alkaline pH has resulted in crystalluria, usually when the urinary pH exceeds 7. Seizures have been reported very rarely with ciprofloxacin therapy; however, the patients who did have seizures either had a previous seizure history, were alcoholic, or were taking ciprofloxacin concurrently with theophylline.

Incidence more frequent

Central nervous system (CNS) toxicity; gastrointestinal reactions; vaginitis

Incidence less frequent or rare

Arthralgia; back pain; cardiovascular reactions such as palpitation, vasodilation, or tachycardia; central nervous system (CNS) stimulation; change in sense of taste; dysuria; hematuria; hepatotoxicity; hypersensitivity reactions; interstitial nephritis; moniliasis, oral; moniliasis, vaginal; myalgia; phlebitis—for intravenous ciprofloxacin; photosensitivity; phototoxicity; pseudomembranous colitis; Stevens-Johnson Syndrome (blistering, itching, loosening, peeling, or redness of skin; diarrhea); tendinitis or tendon rupture; vision, abnormal

Indicating possible phototoxicity, pseudomembranous colitis, or tendinitis or tendon rupture and the need for medical attention if they occur after medication is discontinued:

Abdominal or stomach cramps and pain, severe; abdominal tenderness; blisters; diarrhea, watery and severe, which may also be bloody; fever; pain in calves, radiating to heels; sensation of skin burning; skin rash, itching, or redness; swelling of calves or lower legs

Overdose

For more information in cases of overdose or unintentional ingestion, contact the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (ASPCA) National Animal Poison Control Center (888-426-4435 or 900-443-0000; a fee may be required for consultation) and/or the drug manufacturer.

Reported lethal dose of danofloxacin—*Mice* and *rats:* >2000 mg per kg of body weight (mg/kg). {R-151}

Reported lethal doses of enrofloxacin— Cats: 125 mg/kg a day for 5 days. (R-1)

Dogs: Oral—125 mg/kg a day for up to 11 days. {R-1;5}

Mice: Oral-LD₅₀ for female mice is 4335 mg/kg and for male mice is 5000 mg/kg. (R-4)

Rabbits: Oral—LD₅₀ for male and female rabbits is 500 to 800 $mg/kg.^{\{\textbf{R-4}\}}$

Rats: Oral—LD₅₀ for male and female rats is more than 5000 mg/kg. $^{\{R-2\}}$

Turkey poults, 1-day-old: Oral—626 parts of enrofloxacin per million parts of drinking water administered for 21 days caused the death of 11 out of 40 birds in the first 10 days. ^{R-4} Surviving birds showed signs of listlessness and decreased body weight gain. $^{\{R-3\}}$

Clinical effects of overdose

The following effects have been selected on the basis of their potential clinical significance—not necessarily inclusive:

For danofloxacin

Note: United States federal law prohibits the extra-label use of fluoroquinolones in food-producing animals (see the Regulatory Considerations section).

Calves, 21-day-old, with a dose of 18 mg/kg, administered twice, forty-eight hours apart^[R-151]

Nasal pad erythema

Calves, feeder, with a dose of 30 mg/kg a day for 6 consecutive days(R-151)

Ataxia; exophthalmos; inappetance; lameness, transient; nystagmus; recumbency; tremors

For difloxacin

Dogs, with doses of 5, 15, or 25 mg/kg a day for 30 consecutive days ($^{(R-96)}$)

Decreased appetite; diarrhea; erythema/edema on the facial area, transient; weight loss

For enrofloxacin

Calves, feeder, with a dose of 15 or 25 mg/kg a day for 10 to 15 days or a dose of 50 mg/kg a day for 3 days^(R-2)

Note: United States federal law prohibits the extra-label use of fluoroquinolones in food-producing animals (see the *Regulatory Considerations* section).

Depression; decreased appetite; incoordination; muscle fasciculations

Cats, with a dose of 20 mg/kg a day for 21 days [R-1]

Depression; retinal degenerative effects; salivation; vomiting

Cats, with a dose ≥ 50 mg/kg a day for 6 days^{R-1}

Convulsions; depression; incoordination; loss of appetite; retinal degenerative effects; vomiting

Chicks, 1-day-old, with a dose in drinking water of 625 ppm for 21 to 28 days^(R-4)

Decreased water consumption; decreased weight

Dogs, with an oral dose of 50 to 125 mg/kg a day for 11 to 14 days^(R-1; 5; 8)

Convulsions; depression or excitation; incoordination; loss of appetite; muscle tremors; salivation; vomiting

For marbofloxacin

Cats, with a dose of 5.5, 16.5, or 27.5 mg/kg a day for 42 days

Dermatitis, perivascular to diffuse (often reddened pinnae);

excessive salivation; softened stools

Cats, with a dose of 55 mg/kg a day for 14 days

Decreased activity, decreased food consumption; dermatitis, perivascular to diffuse (often reddened pinnae); excessive salivation; vomiting, occasional

Dogs, with a dose of 5.5, 16.5, or 27.5 mg/kg a day for 42 days
Decreased food consumption; reddened mucous
membranes; reddened skin (usually involving the ears);
vomiting; weight loss

Dogs, with a dose of 55 mg/kg a day for 12 days

Decreased food consumption; dehydration; decreased activity; excessive salivation; facial swelling; reddened skin (usually the ears); tremors; vomiting; weight loss

 $For \ orbiflox a cin$

Cats, with a dose of 22.5 and 37.5 mg/kg a day^{R-98} Softened stools

Cats, with a dose of 75 mg/kg a day for 10 days^{R-98}
Decreased food consumption; diarrhea, vomiting

Treatment of overdose

Although there is no specific information available on treatment of fluoroquinolone overdose in animals, treatment of human overdose includes induction of vomiting or use of gastric lavage, observation, and supportive care, including hydration and dialysis.

Client Consultation

Care should be exercised to avoid contact of medication with the eyes or skin while handling solutions. [R-2]

General Dosing Information

Flouroquinolone antibiotics have concentration-dependent bactericidal activity. (R-21) Serum and tissue concentrations must be high enough for a long enough period of time to be effective against the target pathogen. Fortunately, minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) for fluoroquinolones are relatively low. Depending on many variables, such as the organism treated and the presence of neutrophils, fluoroquinolones can also produce a post-antibiotic effect, suppressing bacterial growth after local drug concentrations have fallen. (R-21; 112)

Product labeling for veterinary fluoroquinolone products include a dosage range and MIC data for bacterial pathogens for specific

indications in which efficacy has been confirmed. [R-1; 96-98] It is recommended that the dose be chosen based on clinical experience, the type and severity of infection, and susceptibility of the pathogen. [R-1] The effective treatment of canine infections caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [R-17] and *Staphylococcus* species [R-21] may require the high end of the dosage range.

Cats: Because of the risk of retinal damage associated with high dosages of enrofloxacin, it is recommended that caution be used when considering administering fluoroquinolone at dosages higher than those recommended for cats.

Breakpoints determined for ciprofloxacin by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI; formerly the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards)^(R-95)

MIC	
(mcg/mL)	Interpretation
≤ 1.0	Susceptible
2.0	Intermediacate
≥ 4	Resistant

Note: Be aware that ciprofloxacin may not be appropriate for use as a representative of veterinary fluoroquinolones in susceptibility testing. Use of specific antibiotic MIC ranges has been recommended. (R-110)

Breakpoints (provisional) determined by CLSI for danofloxacin in the treatment of bovine respiratory disease (*Mannheimia haemolytica* and *Pasteurella multocida*) [R-152; 156]

Zone diameter	MIC	
(millimeters)	(mcg/mL)	Interpretation
≥ 22	≤ 0.25	Susceptible
_	_	Intermediate
_	_	Resistant

Note: The disk content is 5 mcg. (R-152; 156) There are insufficient data available to establish breakpoints for the intermediate and resistant categories. (R-152) The CLSI describes this as "susceptible only," a category used for populations of organisms (usually one species) for which regression analysis (disk vs. MIC) cannot be performed. (R-156) The single breakpoint allows detection of strains with decreased susceptibility compared to the population originally tested. (R-156)

Breakpoints determined by CLSI for difloxacin in the treatment of dermal and urinary tract infections in dogs, including those caused by Enterobacteriaceae and *Staphylococcus* species^(R-156)

Zone diameter (millimeters)	MIC (mcg/mL)	Interpretation
≥ 21	≤ 0.5	Susceptible
18-20	1-2	Intermediate
< 17	> 4	Resistant

Note: The disk content is 10 mcg.

Breakpoints determined by CLSI for enrofloxacin in the treatment of dermal infections in cats and in the treatment of dermal, respiratory, and urinary tract infections in dogs, including those caused by Enterobacteriaceae and *Staphylococcus* species. ^{R-156}

Zone diameter	MIC	•
(millimeters)	(mcg/mL)	Interpretation
≥ 23	≤ 0.5	Susceptible
17-22	1-2	Intermediate
< 16	> 4	Resistant

Note: The disk content is 5 mcg.

Breakpoints recommended by the manufacturer for enrofloxacin in the treatment of *Mannheimia haemolytica* and *Pasteurella multocida* infections in cattle^(R-150) and listed by CLSI for bovine respiratory disease caused by *Pasteurella multocida* or *Histophilus somnus*^(R-156)

Zone diameter	MIC	Interpretation
---------------	-----	----------------

(millimeters)	(mcg/mL)	
≥ 21	≤ 0.25	Susceptible
17–20	0.5-1	Intermediate
≤ 16	≥ 2	Resistant

Note: The disk content is 5 mcg.

Breakpoints recommended by CLSI for marbofloxacin in the treatment of dermal infections in cats and in the treatment of dermal and urinary tract infections in dogs, including those caused by Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcus species [R-156]

Zone diameter (millimeters)	MIC (mcg/mL)	Interpretation
≥ 20	≤ 1	Susceptible
15-19	2	Intermediate
≤ 14	≥ 4	Resistant

Note: The disk content is 5 mcg.

Breakpoints recommended by CLSI for orbifloxacin in the treatment of dermal infections in cats and in the treatment of dermal and urinary tract infections in dog, including those caused by Enterobacteriaceae and *Staphylococcus* species^(R-156)

Zone diameter (millimeters)	MIC (mag/mL)	Internuctation
<u>≥ 23</u>	(mcg/mL) ≤ 1	Interpretation Susceptible
18–22	2–4	Intermediate
≤ 17	≥ 8	Resistant

Note: The disk content is 10 mcg.

CIPROFLOXACIN

Summary of Differences

General considerations: Expected to be more active than other quinolones against some gram-negative bacilli, especially Pseudomonas aeruginosa. {R-174; 199}

Regulatory considerations: Ciprofloxacin is not labeled for use in animals.

Oral Dosage Forms

Note: The text between ELUS and EL describes uses not included in U.S. product labeling. Text between ELCAN and EL describes uses that are not included in Canadian product labeling.

The $^{\text{\tiny ELUS}}$ or $^{\text{\tiny ELCAN}}$ designation can signify a lack of product availability in the country indicated. See also the Strength(s)usually available section for each dosage form.

CIPROFLOXACIN FOR ORAL SUSPENSION

Usual dose:Note: $^{\text{ELUS,CAN}}Dogs$ —Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an oral dose of 10 to 20 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours has been recommended in the treatment of susceptible *bacterial infections*, based on pharmacokinetic data. [R-95; 118; 134]

For empiric treatment of infections in dogs caused by probable Pseudomonas aeruginosa or Staphylococcus infections, the higher end of the dosage range may be preferable, pending susceptibility results. EL LUS,CAN Horses EL—Due to poor bioavailability, {R-144} oral ciprofloxacin should not be used in horses.

Strength(s) usually available:

U.S.

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

Not commercially available.

Human-labeled product(s):

250 mg per 5 mL (5%) (Rx) [Cipro].

500 mg per 5 mL (10%) (Rx) [Cipro].

Canada—

Not commerically available.

Packaging and storage: Prior to reconstitution, store below 25 °C (77 °F). Protect from freezing. After reconstitution, store below 30 °C (86 °F). Protect from freezing.

Preparation of dosage form: To prepare the oral suspension, the small bottle containing the microcapsules should be emptied into the large bottle containing the diluent. Water should not be added to the suspension. The large bottle should be closed and shaken vigorously for about 15 seconds.

Stability: The suspension is stable for 14 days when stored in a refrigerator or at room temperature (below 30 °C [86 °F]).

USP requirements: Not in USP. {R-105}

CIPROFLOXACIN TABLETS USP

Usual dose: See Ciprofloxacin for Oral Suspension.

Strength(s) usually available:

U.S.

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

Not commercially available.

Human-labeled product(s):

100 mg (base) (Rx) [Cipro].

250 mg (base) (Rx) [Cipro; GENERIC].

500 mg (base) (Rx) [Cipro; GENERIC].

750 mg (base) (Rx) [Cipro; GENERIC].

Canada-

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

Not commercially available.

Human-labeled product(s):

100 mg (base) (Rx) [Cipro].

250 mg (base) (Rx) [Cipro; GENERIC].

500 mg (base) (Rx) [Cipro; GENERIC].

750 mg (base) (Rx) [Cipro; GENERIC].

Packaging and storage: Store below 30 °C (86 °F), in a well-closed container, unless otherwise specified by manufacturer.

USP requirements: Preserve in well-closed containers. Contain an amount of ciprofloxacin hydrochloride equivalent to the labeled amount of ciprofloxacin, within ±10%. Meet the requirements for Identification, Dissolution (80% in 30 minutes in 0.01 N hydrochloric acid in Apparatus 2 at 50 rpm), Uniformity of dosage units, and Residual solvents. [R-105]

Parenteral Dosage Forms

Note: The text between ELUS and EL describes uses not included in U.S. product labeling. Text between ELCAN and EL describes uses that are not included in Canadian product labeling.

The $^{\rm ELUS}$ or $^{\rm ELCAN}$ designation can signify a lack of product availability in the country indicated. See also the Strength(s) usually available section for each dosage form.

CIPROFLOXACIN INJECTION USP

Usual dose:

Note: ELUS, CAN Dogs—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an intravenous dose of 10 to 15 mg per kg of body weight, administered slowly every twenty-four hours has been recommended in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections. $^{\rm EL(R-81)}$

Strength(s) usually available:

U.S.

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

Not commercially available.

Human-labeled product(s):

200 mg per 20 mL (Rx) [Cipro I.V. (in sterile water for injection; requires dilution prior to administration);

200 mg per 100 mL (Rx) [Cipro I.V. (in 5% dextrose injection; premixed)].

400 mg per 40 mL (Rx) [Cipro I.V. (in sterile water for injection; requires dilution prior to administration); GENERIC].

400 mg per 200 mL (Rx) [Cipro I.V. (in 5% dextrose injection; premixed)].

1200 mg per 120 mL (Rx) [Cipro I.V. (in sterile water for injection; requires dilution prior to administration); GENERIC].

Canada-

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

Not commerically available.

Human-labeled product(s):

200 mg per 20 mL (Rx) [Cipro I.V. (in sterile water for injection; requires dilution prior to administration)].

400 mg per 40 mL (Rx) [Cipro I.V. (in sterile water for injection; requires dilution prior to administration)].

Packaging and storage: Store in a cool place (between 8 and 15 °C [46 and 59 °F]) or at controlled room temperature (between 20 and 25 °C [68 and 77 °F]), unless otherwise specified by manufacturer. Protect from light and freezing.

Preparation of dosage form: To prepare a solution for intravenous infusion, the concentrate in sterile water for injection should be withdrawn aseptically from the vial and diluted to a final concentration of 1 to 2 mg per mL with a suitable intravenous solution (see manufacturer's package insert). Solutions that come from the manufacturer in 5% dextrose injection should not be diluted prior to intravenous infusion. The resulting solution should be infused over a period of at least 60 minutes by direct infusion or through a Y-type intravenous infusion set. It is recommended that administration of any other solutions be discontinued during infusion of ciprofloxacin.

Stability: When diluted with appropriate intravenous fluids (see manufacturer's package insert) to concentrations from 0.5 to 2 mg per mL, solutions retain their potency for up to 14 days when refrigerated or stored at room temperature.

Incompatibilities: Ciprofloxacin is incompatible with aminophylline, amoxicillin, cefepime, clindamycin, dexamethasone, floxacillin, furosemide, heparin, and phenytoin. If ciprofloxacin is to be given concurrently with another medication, each medication should be administered separately according to the recommended dosage and route of administration for each medication

USP requirements: Preserve in single-dose containers, preferably of Type I glass, in a cool place or at controlled room temperature. Avoid freezing and exposure to light. A sterile solution of Cipofloxacin or Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride in Sterile Water for Injection, in 5% Dextrose Injection, or in 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection prepared with the aid of Lactic Acid. The label indicates whether the vehicle is Sterile Water for Injection, 5% Dextrose Injection, or 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection. Label the Injection that has Sterile Water for Injection as the vehicle to indicate that it is a concentrated form that must be diluted to appropriate strength (1 to 2 mg per ml) with 5% Dextrose Injection or 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection before administration, and that the resulting solution is stable for up to 14 days when stored in a cool place or at controlled room temperature. Contains the labeled amount, within $\pm 10\%$. Meets the requirements for Color (where it is

labeled as being a concentrated form), Identification, Bacterial endotoxins, Sterility, pH (3.5–4.6, except that where the Injection is labeled as being a concentrated form, its pH is between 3.3 and 3.9), Particulate matter, Limit of ciprofloxacin ethylenediamine analog (not more than 0.5%), Lactic acid content (0.288-0.352 mg per mg of ciprofloxacin claimed on label, except that where the Injection is labeled as being a concentrated form, it contains between 0.335 and 0.409 mg per mg of ciprofloxacin claimed on the label), Dextrose content (if present), and Sodium chloride content (if present), Residual solvents, and for Volume in Container under Injections. [R-105]

DANOFLOXACIN

Parenteral Dosage Forms

DANOFLOXACIN MESYLATE INJECTION

Usual dose: Pneumonia—*Cattle:* Subcutaneous, 6 mg per kg of body weight, administered twice, forty-eight hours apart. (R-151; 152) Withdrawal times—Cattle: US—Meat: 4 days. {R-151}
Meat: 7 days. {R-152}
US and Canada—Not labeled to US and Canada—Not labeled for use in cattle intended for dairy production or in calves to be processed for veal. (R-151; 152) Subcutaneous injection can cause a local tissue reaction that is transient but can cause trim loss of edible tissue at slaughter. (R-151; 152)

Note: Product labeling recommends not administering more than 15 mL per injection site. (R-151)

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Strength(s) usually available:} \\ U.S. - ^{\{\textbf{R-151}\}} \end{array}$

180 mg per mL (Rx) [A180]. Canada— $\{R-152\}$

Veterinary-labeled product(s): 180 mg per mL (Rx) [A180].

Packaging and storage: Store at or below 30 °C (86 °F), in a tightly closed container, unless otherwise specified by manufacturer. Protect from light. Protect from freezing. (R-151; 152; 154)

Caution: Keep out of the reach of children and animals. {R-151; 152} Those who administer this medication should avoid contact with their eyes and skin. If contact occurs, immediately flush eyes with copious amounts of water for 15 minutes. In case of dermal contact, wash skin with soap and water. A physician should be consulted if irritation persists following exposure. In human beings, there is a risk of user photosensitization within a few hours of significant exposure to quinolones. If significant accidental exposure occurs, avoid direct sunlight. [R-151]

USP requirements: Not in USP. (R-105)

DIFLOXACIN

Oral Dosage Forms

DIFLOXACIN HYDROCHLORIDE TABLETS

Usual dose: Bacterial infections—*Dogs:* Oral, 5 to 10 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours. (R-96; 99)

Note: The 5 mg per kg dose was found to be clinically effective in the treatment of susceptible skin, soft tissue, and urinary tract infections. (R-99)

For empiric treatment of probable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* or *Staphylococcus* infections in dogs, the higher end of the dosage range may be preferable, pending susceptibility results

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Strength(s) usually available:} \\ U.S. -^{\{\textbf{R-96}\}} \end{array}$

```
Veterinary-labeled product(s):

11.4 mg (Rx) [Dicural Tablets].

45.4 mg (Rx) [Dicural Tablets].

136 mg (Rx) [Dicural Tablets].

Canada—(R-99)

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

11.4 mg (Rx) [Dicural Tablets].

45.4 mg (Rx) [Dicural Tablets].

136 mg (Rx) [Dicural Tablets].
```

Packaging and storage: Store below 40 °C (104 °F), preferably between 15 and 30 °C (59 and 86 °F), unless otherwise specified by manufacturer.

USP requirements: Not in USP. (R-105)

ENROFLOXACIN

Summary of Differences

Pharmacology/pharmacokinetics: Biotransformation—Enrofloxacin is de-ethylated to form ciprofloxacin; therapeutic concentrations of ciprofloxacin can be reached with dosing calculated to achieve effective enrofloxacin concentrations.

Side/adverse effects: *Cats*—Retinal degeneration (acute blindness, mydriasis) has been reported with enrofloxacin at doses higher than 5 mg per kg of body weight a day.

Oral Dosage Forms

Note: The text between ^{ELUS} and ^{EL} describes uses not included in U.S. product labeling. Text between ^{ELCAN} and ^{EL} describes uses that are not included in Canadian product labeling.

The ELUS or ELCAN designation can signify a lack of product availability in the country indicated. See also the *Strength(s)* usually available section for each dosage form.

ENROFLOXACIN TABLETS

Usual dose: Bacterial infections-

Cats: Oral, 5 mg per kg of body weight a day. ^{R-1} The dose may be administered as a single daily dose or divided into two equal doses administered every twelve hours. ^{R-1}

Note: The above dose recommendation is based on risk of retinal damage in cats administered doses higher than 5 mg/kg.^[R-1]

Dogs: Oral, 5 to 20 mg per kg of body weight a day. [R-1] The dose may be administered as a single daily dose or divided into two equal doses administered every twelve hours. [R-1]

Note: For empiric treatment of probable *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa or *Staphylococcus* infections in dogs, the higher end of the dosage range may be preferable, pending susceptibility results.

Note: ELUS,CAN Bustards—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an oral dose of 10 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours has been suggested for the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections, based on pharmacokinetic data. EL(R-41)

Cats—Although the efficacy has not been established, if enrofloxacin is used in the treatment of $^{\rm ELUS,CAN}Bartonella$ $henselae^{\rm EL}$ infection or $^{\rm ELUS,CAN}hemobartonellosis^{\rm EL}$ in cats, the

USP Veterinary Medicine Committee currently recommends the administration of 5 mg per kg of body weight a day. Limited research studies on the treatment of these infections have sometimes led to recommendations for higher dosages; {R-72; 148} however, there is concern about the occurrence of retinal degeneration with doses higher than those recommended on product labeling.

Dogs—ELUS,CAN An oral dose of 3 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours for seven days has been used in the treatment of Rocky Mountain spotted fever in dogs, based on a controlled therapeutic trial using disease models. ELUS,CAN Ducks pat or research. In the LUS, and the last of the last

ELUS.CAN Ducks, pet or research—In the U.S., extra-label use is banned in ducks: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an oral dose of 10 mg per kg of body weight a day has been suggested for the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in Muscovy ducks, based on pharmacokinetic data. EL(R-42)

ELUS,CAN Foals—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an oral dose of 2.5 mg per kg of body weight once a day for eight days has been recommended in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in foals. Because of the potential for arthropathy in immature animals, use is recommended in foals only when other antimicrobials are inappropriate. EL(R-85)

ELUS,CAN Horses—In the U.S., for use only in animals not to be used for food production—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an oral dose of 7.5 to 10 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours has been recommended. [R-24-27; 93] Tablets have been crushed and suspended in water for administration [R-27] or ground into a powder and mixed in sugar syrup. [EL[R-25]

ELUS,CAN Pacu, red—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, administration of enrofloxacin by immersion of fish in a bath of a 2.5 mg per liter solution of enrofloxacin for five hours, every twenty-four to forty-eight hours, has been suggested for the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in red pacu fish, based on pharmacokinetic data. EL[R-44]

ELUS,CAN Parrots, African grey—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an oral dose of 7.5 to 30 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours has been suggested in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in African grey parrots, based on pharmacokinetic data. (R-39) The risk of side effects increases with higher doses; polyuria and polydipsia have been reported with the dose of 30 mg per kg of body weight. [EL[R-39]

ELUS,CAN Rabbits, pet or research—In the U.S., extra-label use is banned in rabbits: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an oral dose of 5 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours for fourteen days has been recommended in the treatment of *pasteurellosis* in rabbits, based on clinical efficacy studies. EL(R-67-69)

Strength(s) usually available:

```
U.S.—<sup>{R-1</sup>}
Veterinary-labeled product(s):

22.7 mg (Rx) [Baytril Tablets (film-coated); Baytril Taste Tabs].

68 mg (Rx) [Baytril Tablets (film-coated); Baytril Taste Tabs].

136 mg (Rx) [Baytril Taste Tabs].

Canada—<sup>{R-102}</sup>
Veterinary-labeled product(s):

15 mg (Rx) [Baytril Tablets].

50 mg (Rx) [Baytril Tablets].

150 mg (Rx) [Baytril Tablets].
```

Packaging and storage: Store below 40 °C (104 °F), preferably between 15 and 30 °C (59 and 86 °F), unless otherwise specified by the manufacturer. Store in a tight container.

USP requirements: Not in USP. (R-105)

Parenteral Dosage Forms

Note: The text between ELUS and EL describes uses not included in U.S. product labeling. Text between ELCAN and EL describes uses that are not included in Canadian product labeling.

The ELUS or ELCAN designation can signify a lack of product availability in the country indicated. See also the *Strength(s)* usually available section for each dosage form.

ENROFLOXACIN INJECTION

Usual dose:

Bacterial infections-

Dogs:

Intramuscular, 2.5 mg per kg of body weight.^(R-86) U.S. product labeling recommends that this be an initial single dose, to be followed by a dosage regimen using enrofloxacin tablets; this was based on studies establishing the efficacy of 2.5 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours.^(R-104) Canadian product labeling recommends a maximum of six doses.^(R-102)

ELUS.CAN Intravenous, 5 to 20 mg per kg of body weight a day. The dose may be administered as a single daily dose or divided into two equal doses administered every twelve hours. To avoid adverse effects, the drug should be diluted in a 2X volume of saline and infused over 15 to 20 minutes. Mixing enrofloxacin in magnesium-containing fluids or injecting enrofloxacin into the fluid line while administering magnesium-containing fluids should be avoided, as these actions can increase the precipitation of enrofloxacin. EL[R-203]

Note: For empiric treatment of probable *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa or *Staphylococcus* infections, the higher end of the dosage range may be preferable, pending susceptibility results.

ELUS,CAN Cats: Intramuscular, 2.5 mg per kg of body weight. For dogs, U.S. product labeling recommends that this be an initial single dose, to be followed by a dosage regimen using enrofloxacin tablets; this was based on studies establishing the efficacy of 2.5 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours. EL(R-104)

Bacterial pneumonia—*Cattle:* Subcutaneous, 7.5 to 12.5 mg per kg of body weight as a single dose, or 2.5 to 5 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours for three to five days. {R-2:150}

Withdrawal times—Cattle: US—Meat: 28 days. [R-2]
Canada—Meat: 36 days. [R-150] US and Canada—Not labeled for use in cattle intended for dairy production or in calves to be processed for veal. Subcutaneous injection can cause a local tissue reaction that is transient but can cause trim loss of edible tissue at slaughter. [R-2; 150]

Note: The volume of injection at one site should be 10 mL or less (R-150)

Up to at least 1 week of age, calves eliminate enrofloxacin and the active metabolite ciprofloxacin more slowly than do adult cattle. ^(R-13)

Note: ELUS,CAN Bustards—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, a parenteral dose of 10 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours or 15 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours has been suggested for the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections, based on pharmacokinetic data. EL(R-41)

ELUS,CAN Camels—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an intramuscular or subcutaneous dose of 2.5 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours has been suggested for the

treatment of susceptible $\it bacterial~infections$ in camels, based on pharmacokinetic data. $^{\rm EL(R-45)}$

ELUS,CAN Ducks, pet or research—In the U.S., extra-label use is banned in ducks: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, a parenteral dose of 10 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours has been suggested for the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections, based on pharmacokinetic data. ELR-42}

ELUS,CAN Emus, pet or research—In the U.S., for use only in animals not to be used for food production: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, a parenteral dose of 2.2 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours has been suggested for the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in emus, based on pharmacokinetic data. EL(R-43)

ELUS,CAN Horses—In the U.S., for use only in animals not to be used for food production: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an intravenous dose of 5 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours has been used in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in horses. [R-93; 188] If a dose higher than 5 mg per kg of body weight is administered, slow injection by indwelling catheter is recommended to avoid adverse effects; dilution in 500 mL of sterile saline solution may also be necessary. EL(R-136)

Oral administration of enrofloxacin injection (100 mg/mL) to horses is not recommended because of the risk of developing serious oral erosions or ulcers during this treatment. Even when the injection was compounded into a oral gel formulation, oral lesions were noted in about 10% of the horses treated. ^(R-201)

ELUS,CAN *Llamas*, pet or research—In the U.S., for use only in animals not to be used for food production: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an intramuscular or subcutaneous dose of 5 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours has been suggested for the treatment of susceptible *bacterial infections* in llamas, based on pharmacokinetic data. EL(R-45)

ELUS,CAN Oryx—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, a parenteral dose of 1.6 mg per kg of body weight every six to eight hours has been suggested for the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in oryx, based on pharmacokinetic data. EL(R-45)

ELUS,CAN Pacu, red—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an intramuscular dose of 5 mg per kg of body weight every forty-eight hours has been suggested for the treatment of susceptible *bacterial infections* in the red pacu, based on pharmacokinetic data. EL{R-44}

ELUS,CAN Parrots, African grey—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an intramuscular dose of 7.5 to 30 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours has been suggested in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in African grey parrots, based on pharmacokinetic data. ^(R-39) The risk of side effects increases with higher doses; polyuria and polydipsia have been reported with administration of 30 mg per kg of body weight. ^{EL[R-39]}

ELUS,CAN *Pigs*, potbellied and miniature—In the U.S., extra-label use is banned in pigs: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an oral dose of 10 mg per kg of body weight every 24 hours has been recommended for pigs in the treatment of susceptible *bacterial infections*, based on pharmacokinetic data. ^(R-25) See also the *Regulatory considerations* section. ^{EL}

ELUS,CAN Pythons—Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an intramuscular dose of 10 mg per kg of body weight as a loading dose followed by 5 mg per kg of body weight every forty-eight hours has been suggested for the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in pythons. (R-48) For the treatment of Pseudomonas species infections, 10 mg per kg of body weight every forty-eight hours has been suggested, based on pharmacokinetic data. (EL(R-48))

ELUS, CAN Rabbits, pet or research—In the U.S., extra-label use is banned in rabbits: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, a subcutaneous dose of 5 mg per kg of body weight every twelve hours for fourteen days has been recommended in the control of *pasteurellosis* in rabbits. ^{EL{R-33; 67-60}

 $^{\mathrm{ELUS,CAN}}\!\mathit{Sheep},$ pet or research—In the U.S., extra-label use is banned in sheep: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an intramuscular or intravenous dose of 2.5 to 5 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours has been recommended for sheep in the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections, (R-28) based on pharmacokinetic data. See also the Regulatory considerations section. EL

Strength(s) usually available:

U.S.-

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

22.7 mg per mL (Rx) [Baytril Injectable Solution 2.27%]. {R-104}

100 mg per mL (Rx) [Baytril 100 Injectable Solution]. [R-2] Note: The more concentrated enrofloxacin injection, 100 mg per mL, is labeled only for use in cattle, {R-2} while the less concentrated injection, 22.7 mg per mL, is labeled for use in dogs. {R-104} The excipients in the two products are different; the safety of using the cattle product in other species has not been demonstrated. [R-2]

Canada-

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

50 mg per mL (Rx) [Baytril Injectable Solution]. {R-102} 100 mg per mL (Rx) [Baytril 100 Injectable Solution]. (R-150)

Packaging and storage: Store below 40 °C (104 °F), preferably between 15 and 30 °C (59 and 86 °F), unless otherwise specified by manufacturer. Protect from direct sunlight. Do not freeze. {R-1; 2}

Caution: Those who administer medication should avoid contact with their eyes and skin. If contact occurs, immediately flush eyes with copious amounts of water for 15 minutes. In case of dermal contact, wash skin with soap and water. A physician should be consulted if irritation persists following exposure. In human beings, there is a risk of user photosensitization within a few hours of significant exposure to quinolones.

USP requirements: Not in USP. (R-105)

MARBOFLOXACIN

Summary of Differences

General considerations: Expected to be more active than other quinolones against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. (R-199

Oral Dosage Forms

MARBOFLOXACIN TABLETS

Usual dose: Bacterial infections—*Cats* and *dogs:* Oral, 2.75 to 5.5 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours. (R-97; 101)

Note: The 2.75-mg-per-kg dose was found to be clinically effective in the treatment of susceptible skin, soft tissue, and urinary tract infections. (R-97)

For empiric treatment of probable Pseudomonas aeruginosa or Staphylococcus infections, the higher end of the dosage range may be preferable, pending susceptibility results.

Note: ELUS, CAN Horses—In the U.S., for use only in animals not to be used for food production: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, an oral dose of 2 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours has been recommended for the treatment of susceptible $bacterial\ infections$ in adult horses. {R-172-173} Infections susceptible at this dosage may include gramnegative infections caused by susceptible Enterobacteriaceae; however, the dose is not considered adequate for many infections caused by gram-positive bacteria, such as Staphylococcus species with MIC values ≥ 0.25 mcg/mL. (R-172-173)

Strength(s) usually available:

U.S.—{R-9

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

25 mg (Rx) [Zeniquin Tablets].

50 mg (Rx) [Zeniquin Tablets]. 100 mg (Rx) [Zeniquin Tablets].

200 mg (Rx) [Zeniquin Tablets]. Canada—{R-101}

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

25 mg (Rx) [Zeniquin Tablets].

50 mg (Rx) [Zeniquin Tablets].

100 mg (Rx) [Zeniquin Tablets].

200 mg (Rx) [Zeniquin Tablets].

Packaging and storage: Store below 40 °C (104 °F), preferably between 15 and 30 °C (59 and 86 °F), unless otherwise specified by manufacturer.

USP requirements: Not in USP. (R-105)

ORBIFLOXACIN

Oral Dosage Forms

Note: The text between ELUS and EL describes uses not included in U.S. product labeling. Text between ELCAN and EL describes uses that are not included in Canadian product labeling.

The $^{\text{\tiny ELUS}}$ or $^{\text{\tiny ELCAN}}$ designation can signify a lack of product availability in the country indicated. See also the *Strength(s)* usually available section for each dosage form.

ORBIFLOXACIN TABLETS

Usual dose: Bacterial infections—*Cats* and *dogs:* Oral, 2.5 to 7.5 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours. {R-98}

Note: Product labeling states that the high end of the dosage range, 7.5 mg per kg of body weight, should not be exceeded for cats. $\{R-200\}$

For empiric treatment of probable Pseudomonas aeruginosa or Staphylococcus infections, the higher end of the dosage range may be preferable, pending susceptibility results.

Note: ELUS,CAN Horses—In the U.S., for use only in animals not to be used for food production: Although the safety and efficacy have not been established, pharmacokinetic data suggest an oral dose of 5 mg per kg of body weight every twenty-four hours may be effective for the treatment of susceptible bacterial infections in adult horses. [R-133; 174] Tablets have been crushed and suspended in water for administration. EL[R-133]

Strength(s) usually available:

U.S.-

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

5.7 mg (Rx) [Orbax Tablets].

68 mg (Rx) [Orbax Tablets].
Canada—[R-100] 22.7 mg (Rx) [Orbax Tablets].

Veterinary-labeled product(s):

5.7 mg (Rx) [Orbax Tablets].

22.7 mg (Rx) [Orbax Tablets]. 68 mg (Rx) [Orbax Tablets].

Packaging and storage: Store between 2 and 30 °C (36 and 86 °F), ^{R-98} unless otherwise specified by manufacturer.

USP requirements: Not in USP. {R-105}

Developed: 02/17/00 Revised: 11/6/06

Interim revision: 03/28/03

			T11: 1: 1:	X 7 1	X7.1 : 1	
	Dose		Elimination half-life	Vol _D , area	Vol _D , steady state	Clearance
Species	(mg/kg)		(hours)	(L/kg)	(L/kg)	(mL/min/kg)
Cats ^{{R-182} }	10		4.53 ± 0.74		3.85 ± 1.34	10.7 ± 4.67
Dogs ^{{R-117} }	2.5		3.00 ± 0.64	4.88 ± 0.68		
	5		2.16 ± 0.78	3.06 ± 0.75		
	10		2.55 ± 0.62	2.96 ± 0.43		
DAMORE OF COM						
DANOFLOXACIN			Elimination	Vol _D ,	Vol _D , steady	
	Dose		half-life	voi _D , area	state	Clearance
Species	(mg/kg)		(hours)	(L/kg)	(L/kg)	(mL/min/kg)
				(L/Kg)		
Calves, 4 to 6 weeks of age ^{R-160}	1.25		7.4 ± 1.8		4.3 ± 0.8	9.1 ± 3.3
Calves, 4 months of age ^{R-155}	1.25		2.65 ± 0.16	3.90 ± 0.30	3.12 ± 0.13	17 ± 1
Calves, 112 kg body weight ^{R-162}	5		2.9			
Calves, 180 kg body	1.25		6.26 ± 2.27	3.99 ± 1.49	3.44 ± 1.13	7.5 ± 1.3
weight, with acute			0.20 = 2.27		J = 1.13	7.0 = 1.0
nneumonia ^{R-158}						
Calves (R-151; 153)						
Heifers	6		4.2 ± 0.29		2.6 ± 0.10	
Steers	6				2.0 ± 0.10 2.7 ± 0.19	
			4.8 ± 0.86			
Cattle, lactating ^{R-161}	1.25		0.91 ± 0.19		2.04 ± 1.10	
Camels ^{R-49}	1.25		5.37 ± 0.50		2.53 ± 0.18	7.33 ± 0.33
Chickens ^{R-170}	5		6.73		10.2	23.5
Goats ^{R-168}	1.25		4.67 ± 0.45		3.02 ± 0.28	9.5 ± 0.3
{R-169}	1.25		1.35 ± 0.06		1.41 ± 0.15	9.8 ± 0.6
Pigs, 10 kg body weight ^{R-162}	5		8			
Pigs, 25 to 39 kg body weight ^{R-164}						
Healthy	2.4		6.7 ± 0.8		5.2 ± 0.9	9.5 ± 1.2
With induced	2.5		9.4 ± 0.5		3.8 ± 0.4	4.8 ± 0.3
gastrointestinal			7. 1 ± 0.3		J.0 ± 0.¬	1.0 ± 0.5
infection*						
Sheep ^{R-165}	1.25		3.39 ± 0.75		2.76 ± 0.17	11.8 ± 2.2
{R-166}	1.25		3.35 ± 0.73 3.35 ± 0.23		2.76 ± 0.17 2.76 ± 0.16	10.5 ± 0.7
{R-167}	1.25		2.08 ± 0.64		1.9 ± 0.7	10.3 ± 0.7 11.1 ± 3.4
DIEL ON COLL						
DIFLOXACIN			THE STATE OF THE S	X 7 1	** 1	
	ъ		Elimination	Vol_D ,	Vol _D , steady	CI.
o :	Dose		half-life	area	state	Clearance
Species P-185	(mg/kg)		(hours)	(L/kg)	(L/kg)	(mL/min/kg)
Goats ^{{R-185} }	5		6.30 ± 0.11		1.1 ± 0.01	$2.16 \pm .002$
Rabbits ^{{R-184} }	5		3.25 ± 0.03		1.51 ± 0.16	9.83 ± 1.58
ENROFLOXACIN			731.	** 1	** 1	
	ъ	0 1	Elimination	Vol_D ,	Vol _D , steady	CI.
a .	Dose	Compound	half-life	area	state	Clearance
Species	(mg/kg)	measured	(hours)	(L/kg)	(L/kg)	(mL/min/kg)
Alligators, American R-	5	Enrofloxacin	21.1 ± 11.8	3.71 ± 0.97	339 + 0.84	0.78 ± 0.35

Alligators, American [R

Enrofloxacin

 21.1 ± 11.8

 3.71 ± 0.97

 0.78 ± 0.35

 3.39 ± 0.84

Alpacas ^{{R-88} }	5	Enrofloxacin	13.04	1.61	0.44	1.41
Birds						
Bustards ^{R-41}	10	Enrofloxacin	5.63 ± 0.54	2.82 ± 0.37	2.98 ± 0.32	5.71 ± 0.41
Chickens ^{R-30}	10	Enrofloxacin	4.16 ± 0.19	2.20 ± 0.17	2.43 ± 0.19	2.2 ± 0.09
{R-31}	10	Enrofloxacin				
{R-170}	10	Enrofloxacin	10.29 ± 0.45	4.31 ± 0.15	2.77 ± 0.09	4.8 ± 0.17
Emus ^{R-43}	2.2	Enrofloxacin	5.56		3.9	10.3
			3.33	1.49 ± 0.52	1.62 ± 1.04	6.00 ± 3.17
Rheas, greater, 4	15	Enrofloxacin	2.66 ± 0.46		5.01 ± 1.18	65.8 ± 17.8
months of age ^{{R-192} }						
Calves ^{R-13}						
One day of age	2.5	Enrofloxacin	6.61 ± 1.12		1.81 ± 0.1	3.16 ± 0.5
	2.5	Ciprofloxacin	9.19 ± 1.46			
One week old	2.5	Enrofloxacin	4.87 ± 0.68		2.28 ± 0.14	6.5 ± 1
	2.5	Ciprofloxacin	8.19 ± 0.85			
Cattle, lactating ^{R-11}	5	Active drug†	1.68 ± 0.18		> 1	
{R-15}	5	Enrofloxacin			2.1	21
	5	Ciprofloxacin	1.09		2.1	21
- (R-45)		•	2.67			
Camels (R-45)	2.5	Active drug	3.6 ± 0.89		1.13 ± 0.126	4.61 ± 1.03
Cats ^{{R-190} }	5	Enrofloxacin				
2 weeks of age			4.2	1.8	1.8	4.88
4 weeks of age			6.3	1.8	1.7	3.23
6 weeks of age			4.1 ± 2.2	4.4 ± 2.6	3.9 ± 1.6	12.6 ± 3.7
8 weeks of age			3.7 ± 1.4	3.9 ± 0.8	3.5 ± 0.7	13.5 ± 4.3
Adult						
Cats ^{{R-22} }	5	Enrofloxacin	6.7 ± 0.8	2.5 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.4	4.3 ± 0.9
Cais	3		6.7 ± 2.3		4.0 ± 0.3	
		Ciprofloxacin	6.1 ± 1.3			9.5 ± 0.7
Cuttlefish, European ^{{R-}	5	Enrofloxacin	1.81		0.39	4.71
193}						
Dogs ^{R-5}	1.25 to 5	Enrofloxacin	> 3			9
(R-16)	5	Enrofloxacin	2.4 ± 0.87		7.0 ± 6.4	27.1 ± 16.2
	5	Ciprofloxacin			7.0 ± 0.4	27.1 ± 10.2
{R-18}			3.9 ± 1.3			40.00 . 0.00
()	5.8	Enrofloxacin	4.4 ± 1		3.7 ± 0.6	10.88 ± 0.68
	5.8	Ciprofloxacin	5.2 ± 0.4			
Fish						
Salmon ^{R-37}	10	Enrofloxacin	34.2		6.1	2.3
Trout, rainbow ^{R-36}	5	Active drug	24.4	3.22	2.77	1.52
11040, 1411100 11	10	Active drug	30.4	2.56	2.34	0.97
Goats ^{{R-195} }	5	Active drug		2.50		
F 1 {R-85}			2.73 ± 0.29		1.94 ± 0.46	11.72 ± 2.82
Foals (R-85)	5	Enrofloxacin	17.10 ± 0.09	2.49 ± 0.43	2.47 ± 0.04	1.73 ± 0.001
Horses ^{R-27}	2.5	Active drug	5.94	1.22 ± 0.07		2.33 ± 0.17
(D.C.)	5	Active drug	6.09	0.77 ± 0.11		1.50 ± 0.17
{R-24}	5	Enrofloxacin	4.4		2.3 ± 0.5	0.51 ± 0.11
		Ciprofloxacin	5.1 ± 2.1			0.01 ± 0.11
Mares (R-188)	5	Enrofloxacin	6.68 ± 2.93	1.91 ± 0.41	1.66 ± 0.45	3 70 ± 1 45
				1.71 ± U.+1		3.70 ± 1.45
Llamas ^{R-46}	5	Enrofloxacin	3.38 ± 2.13		3.46 ± 0.98	11.7 ± 3.5
Oryx (antelope) [R-47]	1.3	Enrofloxacin	0.69 ± 0.46	0.80 ± 0.3		12.07 ± 7.12
Pigs ^{R-29}	5	Enrofloxacin	_	_	3.9 ± 0.5	6.17 ± 1.83
Neonatal rabbits ^{{R-35} }						
1 day of age	7.5 (IP)	Enrofloxacin	5.01	2.03		4.7
, ,		Enrofloxacin				
8 days	7.5 (IP)		8.5	2.02		2.7
16 days	7.5 (IP)	Enrofloxacin	6.1	2.52		4.8
30 days	7.5 (IP)	Enrofloxacin	2	6.52		33.8
Rabbits ^{R-32}	5	Enrofloxacin	2.19 ± 0.29	4.4 ± 1.4	3.4 ± 0.9	22.8 ± 6.8
{R-33}	5	Active drug	2.5	2.12	0.93	10.1
	7.5	Active drug	1.9	3.97 ± 0.9		23.9 ± 3.5
	2.5	Enrofloxacin	3.73 ± 0.44		3.02 ± 0.22	9.17 ± 2.3
Sheen ^{R-28}		Active drug				
Sheep ^{R-28} _{R-195}	5	ACHVC HIUE	3.26 ± 0.37		2.27 ± 0.57	8.86 ± 1.54
{R-195}	5	_	4 77	1 15		
Sheep ^{R-28} {R-195} {R-196} Sheep, lactating ^{R-147}	5 5 2.5	Enrofloxacin Active drug	4.77 3.30 ± 0.36	1.15 2.91 ± 0.17	0.97 2.82 ± 0.21	3.37 10.05 ± 0.43

Elimination

(hours) 4.23 ± 0.12

half-life

Vol_D,

area (L/kg)

© 2007 The United States Pharmacopeial Convention

Species
Calves, 7 months of

Dose (mg/kg)

Clearance

 $\frac{\text{(mL/min/kg)}}{3.5 \pm 0.33}$

 Vol_D , steady state (L/kg) 1.09 ± 0.06

age ^{{R-187} }					
Dogs ^{R-108}	2	10.8 ± 1.3		1.33 ± 0.10	1.60 ± 0.21
{R-115}	2	12.4 ± 2.6	1.90 ± 0.76	1.37 ± 0.19	
{R-97}	5.5	9.5 ± 0.7		1.19 ± 0.08	1.56 ± 0.13
Goats ^{R-186}					
1 week of age	2	9.66 ± 1.28		0.92 ± 0.24	1.30 ± 0.33
3 weeks of age	2	8.25 ± 2.35		0.95 ± 0.25	1.62 ± 0.50
6 weeks of age	2	6.44 ± 0.99		1.00 ± 0.24	3.00 ± 0.67
Adult	2	7.18 ± 1.09		1.31 ± 0.15	3.83 ± 0.50
Horses ^{{R-172} }	2	4.74 ± 0.81		1.17 ± 0.18	3.17 ± 0.67
{R-171}	2	7.56 ± 1.99	2.83 ± 0.75	1.48 ± 0.30	4.15 ± 0.75

ORBIFLOXACIN

		Elimination V	Vol _D ,	Vol _D , steady	
	Dose	Half-life a	ırea	state	Clearance
Species	(mg/kg)	(hours) (L/kg)	(L/kg)	(mL/min/kg)
Species Cats ^{R-98}	2.5	4.5 ± 1.8		1.3 ± 0.13	
$Dogs^{\{R-98\}}$	2.5	5.4 ± 1.1		1.2 ± 0.2	
Horses ^{{R-174} }	2.5	5.08		1.58	4.67

Note: IP = Intraperitoneal

Table II. Pharmacology/Pharmacokinetics: Other systemic data

CIPROFLOXACIN

•	Dose	•			Time to peak		
	(mg/kg)/Route,		Absorption	Peak serum	serum	Half-life,	
	Water	Number of	half-life	concentration	concentration	terminal	Bioavaila-
Species	temperature	doses	(hours)	(mcg/mL)	(hours)	(hours)	bility (%)
Cats ^{{R-182} }	10/PO	Every 12		1.04 ± 0.27	1.63 ± 0.93	2.41 ± 0.46	33
		hours for 7					
		doses					
Dogs ^{R-134}	10/PO	Single		1.4 (fr. graph)	2(fr. graph)	4.91 ± 1.26	
	20/PO	Single		2.8 (fr. graph)	2(fr. graph)	5.30 ± 1.15	
	40/PO	Single		6.6 (fr. graph)	6(fr. graph)	8.86 ± 2.78	
{R-118}	11/PO	Single		, •		4.65	
		Every 12				7.48	
		hours for 7				70	
		doses					
	23/PO	Single				3.95	
		Every 12		5.68 ± 0.54	1.53 ± 0.52	4.48	
		hours for 7					
		doses					

DANOFLOXACIN

	Dose				Time to peak		
	(mg/kg)/Route,		Absorption	Peak serum	serum	Half-life,	
	Water	Number of	half-life	concentration	concentration	terminal	Bioavaila-
Species	temperature	doses	(hours)	(mcg/mL)	(hours)	(hours)	bility (%)
Calves, 112 kg body weight (R- 162)	5/SC	Single		0.63 ± 0.09	1.0 ± 0.0	4.3	72
Calves, 190 kg body weight, with acute pneumonia ^{(R-} 158)	1.25/IM	Single		0.25	0.80	6.73	91
Calves, 217 to 286 kg body weight ^(R-153)							
Female	6/SC	Single		1.3 ± 0.17	1.6 ± 0.50	4.0 ± 0.16	87
Male	6/SC	Single		1.2 ± 0.19	3.4 ± 1.43	4.6 ± 0.83	92
Calves, 4 months							

^{*}Pigs were given an inoculum of Salmonella typhimurium.

[†]Agar-well diffusion microbiological assays use a test organism, such as *Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli*, or *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and, therefore, measure enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and any other unidentified metabolites with specific antimicrobial activity.

of age ^{R-155} Heifers, 6 months of age ^{R-153}	1.25/SC 1.25/SC 6/SC 10/SC	Single Single Single Single	0.19 ± 0.04	0.21 ± 0.01 0.34 ± 0.04 1.35 ± 0.24 2.07 ± 0.25	0.83 ± 0.11 1.6 ± 0.54 1.6 ± 0.54 2.2 ± 1.1	4.03 ± 0.29 3.6 ± 0.47 3.8 ± 0.11 3.7 ± 0.19	76
Calves, 10 months of age ^{{R-157} }	1.25/SC	Single		0.23 ± 0.05	1.25 ± 0.66		
Camels ^{{R-49} }	1.25/IM	Single		0.49 ± 0.06	0.70 ± 0.06	5.71 ± 0.92	100
Chickens ^{{R-170} }	5/PO	Single		0.47	1.5	6.62	99
Pigs, 10 kg ^{R-162}	5/IM	Single		0.80 ± 0.02	0.8 ± 0.3	6.8	76
Goats ^{R-168}	1.25/IM	Single		0.33 ± 0.02	0.74 ± 0.15	4.41 ± 0.23	100
{R-169}	1.25/IM	Single		0.33 ± 0.01	0.58 ± 0.04	2.37 ± 0.17	66
Sheep ^{R-166}	1.25/IM	Single		0.32 ± 0.02	1.23 ± 0.34		96
{R-167}	1.25/IM	Single		1.2 ± 1.0	0.5 ± 0.0	3.49 ± 0.94	100

DIFLOXACIN

	Dose				Time to peak		
	(mg/kg)/Route,		Absorption	Peak serum	serum	Half-life,	
	Water	Number of	half-life	concentration	concentration	terminal	Bioavaila-
Species	temperature	doses	(hours)	(mcg/mL)	(hours)	(hours)	bility (%)
Dogs ^{R-96}	5/PO	Single		1.8	2.8	9.3	>80
{R-113}	5/PO	Single		1.11 ± 0.07	2.84 ± 0.31	6.94 ± 0.54	
{R116}	5/PO	Every 24		1.79 ± 0.11	2.17 ± 0.26	8.52 ± 0.84	
		hours for 5					
		days					
Goats ^{{R-185} }	5/IM	Single		3.76 ± 0.22	1.71 ± 0.05	6.60 ± 0.06	95
Horses ^{{R-183} }	7.5/IG	Single		2.25 ± 0.70	3.12 ± 2.63		
		Every 24		2.41 ± 0.86	97.86 ± 1.45	8.75 ± 2.77	
		hours for 5					
		doses					
Rabbits ^{{R-184} }	5/IM	Single		3.85 ± 0.21	1.61 ± 0.04	3.82 ± 0.06	95

ENROFLOXACIN

Species	Dose (mg/kg)/ Route, Water temperature	Number of doses	Compound measured	Absorption half-life (hours)	Peak serum concentration (mcg/mL)	Time to peak serum concentration (hours)	Half-life, terminal (hours)	Bioavaila- bility (%)
Alligators, American ^{{R-} 87}	5/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.50 ± 0.27	55 ± 29	77.7 ± 4.8	
Alpacas ^{R-88}	10/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		1.42	4.0	15.32	29
•	5/SC	Single	Enrofloxacin		4.16	6.0	7.83	90
Birds								
Bustards [R-41]	10/IM	Single	Enrofloxacin	0.23 ± 0.07	2.75 ± 0.11	1.72 ± 0.19	6.39 ± 1.49	97
	10/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin	0.17 ± 0.02	1.84 ± 0.16	0.66 ± 0.05	6.80 ± 0.79	62
Chickens (R-30)	10/IM	Single	Enrofloxacin	1.83 ± 0.04	2.45 ± 0.1	1.43 ± 0.02	4.06 ± 0.06	88
	10/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin	0.92 ± 0.05	1.69 ± 0.08	2.52 ± 0.08	4.29 ± 0.1	60
	10/SC	Single	Enrofloxacin	0.36 ± 0.02	2.41 ± 0.06	1.46 ± 0.06	4.48 ± 0.04	81
{R-31}	10/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin	0.67 ± 0.05	2.44 ± 0.64	1.64 ± 0.04	14.23 ± 0.46	64
Ducks ^{R-42}	10/IM	Single	Enrofloxacin	0.07 = 0.00	1.67 ± 0.29	0.94 ± 0.18	125 = 0.10	
	10/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.99 ± 0.08	1.38 ± 0.18		
Parrots ^{{R-39} }	15/IM	Single	Enrofloxacin		3.87 ± 0.08	1.30 ± 0.16	2.31 ± 0.09	
	3/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.31 ± 0.11	4	2.59 ± 0.36	
	15/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.31 ± 0.11 1.12 ± 0.11	2	2.59 ± 0.30 2.52 ± 0.33	48
	30/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		1.12 ± 0.11 1.69 ± 0.23	4	2.74 ± 0.37	
Calves, 10	2.5/SC	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.24 ± 0.08	1.75 ± 1.04		
months of age ^{R-157}		C	Ciprofloxacin		0.11 ± 0.03	3.25 ± 1.04		
Calves (R-150)	2.5/SC	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.37	3.4	5.4	
	5/SC	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.69	3.3	5.9	
	7.5/SC	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.83	5.8	6.4	
		-	Ciprofloxacin		0.32	6.5		
Cattle, lactating	5/IM	Single	Active drug		0.73 ± 0.12	2.40 ± 0.68	5.90 ± 1.44	82
{R-11}	5/SC	Single	Active drug		0.98 ± 0.20	3.20 ± 1.09	5.55 ± 0.52	137
Camels ^{{R-45} }	2.5/IM	Single	Active drug	0.76 ± 0.46	1.44 ± 0.8	1	6.36 ± 2.03	85

	2.5/PO 2.5/SC	Single Single	Active drug Active drug	0.5 ± 0.12	Not detected 1.23 ± 0.27	1	10.58 ± 6.78	92
Cats ^{{R-190} }	5/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin	0.5 ± 0.12	1.23 ± 0.27	1	10.38 ± 0.78	92
2 weeks of age	3/10	Single	Ellionoxaciii		0.50		4.8	33.7
4 weeks of age					1.19		8.4	49.4
6 weeks of age					0.48 ± 0.22		6.0 ± 3.1	70.9
8 weeks of age					1.01 ± 0.46		3.5 ± 1.4	72.8
Adult					1.01 = 0.10		5.5 = 1	
Cats ^{{R-190} }	5/SC	Single	Enrofloxacin					
2 weeks of age					2.5		4.6	85.1
4 weeks of age					1.3		7.3	27.9
6 weeks of age					0.9 ± 0.1		6.1 ± 2.6	77.6
8 weeks of age					11 ± 0.3		5.7 ± 3.1	107.7
Adult								
$Cats^{\{\mathbf{R-22}\}}$	5/PO	Every 24	Enrofloxacin	0.2 ± 0	1.67 ± 0.11	0.6 ± 0.1		
		hours for 10						
	5/PO	days	C:		0.12 . 0.01	22.05		
	5/PO	Every 24	Ciprofloxacin		0.13 ± 0.01	2.3 ± 0.5		
		hours for 10 days						
Dogs ^{R-8}	1.25/IM	Single	Active drug		1.09	0.5		
{R-5}	1.25/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.4 (from	1	> 3	
	1.20,10	~ <u>6.0</u>			graph)	-	-	
	2.5/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		1 (from graph)	1	> 3	
	5/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		1.5 (from	1	> 3	
		Ü			graph)			
{R-175}	5/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		1.24 ± 0.39	0.94 ± 0.53	2.23 ± 0.89	63
	5/PO	Single	Ciprofloxacin		0.36 ± 0.10	3.00 ± 1.41	3.41 ± 0.70	
{R-16}	5/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		1.16 ± 0.6	0.9 ± 0.8	2.4 ± 0.5	
(7.404)	5/PO	Single	Ciprofloxacin		0.29 ± 0.19	3.6 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 3.2	
{R-194}	7.5/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		2.12 ± 0.59	2.17 ± 1.07	5.23 ± 0.96	
		a	Ciprofloxacin		1.35 ± 0.31	2.75	8.81 ± 3.03	
	10/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		2.10 ± 0.34	2.83 ± 1.13	5.05 ± 0.60	
	20/00	C' 1	Ciprofloxacin		1.30 ± 0.24	2.33	9.81 ± 2.96	
	20/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		4.74 ± 1.05	2.33 ± 0.98	4.65 ± 1.16	
{R-17}	2.75/PO	Every 12	Ciprofloxacin Enrofloxacin		2.00 ± 0.34	3.08	10.73 ± 4.01	
	2.73/FO	hours for 7 doses	Ellionoxaciii		1.03 ± 0.28	1.88 ± 0.72	3.07 ± 1	83
	5.5/PO	Every 12 hours for 7	Enrofloxacin		2.45 ± 0.84	1.55 ± 0.56	4.04 ± 0.78	
	11/PO	doses Every 12	Enrofloxacin					
	11/FO	hours for 7 doses	Elifonoxaciii		4.56 ± 0.49	2.31 ± 0.82	4.26 ± 1.03	
{R-18}	5.8/PO	Every 12	Enrofloxacin					
		hours for 15 days			1.43 ± 0.12	1.8 ± 0.2		
	5.8/PO	Every 12	Ciprofloxacin					
		hours for 15	=		0.36 ± 0.03	2.2 ± 0.3		
(D. 24)		days						
{R-21}	2.5/SC	Single	Active drug*			2.25 0.00	0.41 : 0.45	
	25/SC	Single	Active drug		0.6 ± 0.03	2.25 ± 0.09	2.61 ± 0.15	
TI (D 101)	0.5/D.C	a: .			5.77 ± 0.41	3.92 ± 0.16	6.42 ± 0.29	
Elephants ^{{R-191} }	2.5/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		1.31 ± 0.40	5.0 ± 4.2	18.4	
Fish (R-44)	7/D (G: 1	ъ с			4	20.0	
Pacu ^{R-44}	5/IM	Single	Enrofloxacin		1.64 ± 0.92	4	28.9	
	5/DO	Cinala	Ciprofloxacin		0.05 ± 0.01	4	53	
	5/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.8 ± 1.17	36		
	2.5 mg par	Single 5 hour	Ciprofloxacin Enrofloxacin		0.02 ± 0.008	36		
	2.5 mg per Liter/bath	Single 5 hour dose	Ciprofloxacin		0.17 ± 0.04	2 2		
	immersion	uose	Cipronoxaciii		0.024 ± 0.001	4		
Salmon ^{R-38}	5/PO, 9.7 °C	Single	Active drug		0.53	2.87	48.2	46
Jamion	10/PO, 9.7 °C	Single	Active drug		0.53	0.42	105.1	49
{R-37}	10/PO (in feed),	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.27	6	100.1	56
	10°C (iii iccu),	8			1.54	<u> </u>		
Trout ^{R-36}	5/PO, 10 °C	Single	Active drug		0.27	24	44.2	35
	10/PO, 10 °C	Single	Active drug		0.37	6	29.5	24

	50/PO, 10 °C	Single	Active drug		1.93	6	29.5	17
Goats ^{{R-195} }	5/IM	Single	Active drug		1.33 ± 0.17	1.85 ± 0.41	2.62 ± 0.38	98
{R-198}	5/IM	Single	Enrofloxacin		2.80 ± 0.29	0.88 ± 0.06	1.39 ± 0.19	
			Ciprofloxacin		0.64 ± 0.04	1.25 ± 0.11	1.82 ± 0.21	
{R-197}	7.5/SC	Single	Active drug		2.91 ± 0.39	2.9 ± 0.51	2.84 ± 0.57	
Foals ^{R-85}	10/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		2.12 ± 0.51	2.20 ± 2.17	18.4 ± 0.06	42
Horses ^{R-24}	5/IM	Single	Enrofloxacin				9.9	
{R-27}	2.5/PO	Every 12 hours for 3 days	Active drug	0.89	2.62 ± 0.61	1 ± 0.35		57
{R-27}	5/PO	Every 12 hours for 3 days	Active drug	0.8	5.97 ± 1.56	1.25 ± 0.43		63
	5/PO	Single	Active drug		1.85 ± 0.86	0.92 ± 0.59	7.75	
Mice ^{R-21}	1.56/SC	Single	Active drug		0.57 ± 0.06	0.37 ± 0.02	0.3 ± 0.03	
	25/SC	Single	Active drug		6.44 ± 0.46	0.54 ± 0.06	0.54 ± 0.04	
Pigs ^{R-29}	10/PO	Single	Enrofloxacin		1.4 ± 0.5	4.8 ± 1.9		83
Pythons ^{R-48}	5/IM	Single	Enrofloxacin		1.66 ± 0.42	5.75 ± 1.47	6.37	
	5/IM	Single	Ciprofloxacin		0.35 ± 0.21	13 ± 5.9		
Rabbits ^{{R-32} }	5/IM	Single	Enrofloxacin	0.07 ± 0.02	3.04 ± 0.34	0.17	1.81 ± 0.3	92
{R-33}	5/PO	Single	Active drug		0.452	2.3	2.41	61
	5/SC	Single	Active drug		2.07	0.9		72
Sheep ^{R-28}	2.5/IM	Single	Enrofloxacin		0.78 ± 0.07	1.25 ± 0.11	3.65 ± 0.31	85
	2.5/IM	Single	Ciprofloxacin		0.14 ± 0.02	5 ± 0.45	9.98 ± 2.33	
{R-195}	5/IM	Single	Active drug		1.29 ± 0.14	1.96 ± 0.42	3.53 ± 0.51	83
{R-196}	5/Drench	Single	Enrofloxacin		1.61	5.50	14.80	48
	5/Crushed tablets in feed	Single	Enrofloxacin		2.69	8.00	10.80	98
	5/Solution in feed	Single	Enrofloxacin		2.26	8.00	13.07	94
Sheep, lactating {R-147}	2.5/IM	Single	Active drug		0.74 ± 0.07	0.83 ± 0.12	3.87 ± 0.10	75

MARBOFLOXACIN

	Dose				Time to peak		
	(mg/kg)/Route,		Absorption	Peak serum	serum	Half-life,	
	Water	Number of	half-life	concentration	concentration	terminal	Bioavaila-
Species	temperature	doses	(hours)	(mcg/mL)	(hours)	(hours)	bility (%)
Calves ^{{R-187} }	2/IM	Single	0.09 ± 0.00	1.50 ± 0.08	0.51 ± 0.01	4.33 ± 0.19	104
Cats ^{{R-97} }	6.2/PO	Single		4.8 ± 0.7	1.2 ± 0.6	12.7 ± 1.1	
Dogs ^{{R-115} }	1/PO	Single	0.38 ± 0.35	0.83 ± 0.26	1.7 ± 1.2	14.7 ± 4.9	~100
	2/PO	Single	0.53 ± 0.24	1.38 ± 0.40	2.5 ± 1.2	14.0 ± 4.9	
	4/PO	Single	0.68 ± 0.59	2.93 ± 0.58	2.0 ± 1.1	12.5 ± 2.7	
	1/SC	Single	0.20 ± 0.11	0.78 ± 0.08	1.0 ± 0.6	11.5 ± 1.9	~100
	2/SC	Single	0.20 ± 0.07	1.52 ± 0.13	0.9 ± 0.2	13.0 ± 3.3	
	4/SC	Single	0.25 ± 0.12	3.04 ± 0.24	1.3 ± 0.6	13.4 ± 2.8	
{R-113}	2/PO	Single	0.23 ± 0.12	1.47 ± 0.09	1.83 ± 0.07	9.07 ± 1.90	
{R-108}	2/PO	Every 24 hours for 8		1.47 ± 0.09 1.37 ± 0.21	1.97 ± 0.97	9.07 ± 1.90	
{R-175}	5/PO	days		2 62 ± 0.95	1.05 ± 1.16	7 62 ± 2 70	105
{R-97}	2.7/PO	Single Single		3.63 ± 0.85	1.95 ± 1.16	7.63 ± 3.70	103
	5.6/PO	Single		2.0 ± 0.2 4.2 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.3 1.8 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 1.6 10.9 ± 0.6	94
Horses ^{{R-172} }	2/IM	Single		1.42 ± 0.33	0.95 ± 0.37	5.47 ± 1.33	88
{R-171}	2/PO	Single	0.16 ± 0.20	1.07 ± 0.30	0.72 ± 0.31	10.4 ± 4.3	98
	2/SC	Single	0.06 ± 0.10	0.89 ± 0.14	0.58 ± 0.20	8.78 ± 2.70	62

ORBIFLOXACIN

	Dose			Time to peak					
	(mg/kg)/Route,		Absorption	Peak serum	serum	Half-life,			
	Water	Number of	half-life	concentration	concentration	terminal	Bioavaila-		
Species	temperature	doses	(hours)	(mcg/mL)	(hours)	(hours)	bility (%)		
Cats ^{R-98}	2.5/PO	Single		2.06 ± 0.6	1 ± 0.45	5.52 ± 2.66			
Dogs ^{R-98}	2.5/PO	Single		2.3 ± 0.3	0.77 ± 0.45	5.6 ± 1.1	97		
{R-113}	2.5/PO	Single		1.37 ± 0.01	2.42 ± 0.36	7.14 ± 0.42			

Horses ^{{R-174} }	2.5/PO	Single	1.25	1.21	3.42 68
Mares (R-133)	7.5/PO	Single	2.41 ± 0.03	1.5	9.06 ± 1.33

Note: IG = Intragastric administration, IM= Intramuscular administration, PO = Oral administration, SC = Subcutaneous administration *Agar-well diffusion microbiological assays use a test organism, such as *Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli*, or *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and, therefore, measure enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and any other unidentified metabolites with specific antimicrobial activity.

References

- Enrofloxacin tablets package insert (Baytril, Bayer—US), Rev 11/00, Rec 6/10/02.
- Enrofloxacin injection package insert (Baytril [cattle], Bayer—US), Rev 2/99. In: Entriken TL, editor. Veterinary pharmaceuticals and biologicals, 12th ed. Lenexa, KS: Veterinary Healthcare Communications. 2001. p. 1131.
- Final decision of the Commissioner. Docket No. 2000N-1571.
 Withdrawal of approval of the new animal drug application for enrofloxacin in poultry. Department of Health and Human Services U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Available at www.fda.gov/oc/antimicrobial/baytril.pdf. Accessed on July 29, 2005.
- Freedom of Information summary. NADA 140-828 (supplement). Baytril (enrofloxacin) 3.23% Concentrate Antimicrobial Solution. Sponsor: Bayer Corporation. October 4, 1996. [Product approval withdrawn on September 12, 2005.]
- Freedom of Information summary. NADA 140-441 (original).
 Baytril (enrofloxacin). Sponsor: Bayer Corporation. December 27, 1988.
- Freedom of Information summary. NADA 140-441 (supplemental).
 Baytril (enrofloxacin). Sponsor: Bayer Corporation. June 6, 1990.
- USP dictionary of USAN and international drug names, 2006 ed. Rockville, MD: The United States Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc.: 2006.
- Freedom of Information summary. NADA 140-913 (original). Baytril (enrofloxacin) injectable solution. Sponsor: Bayer Corporation. May 4, 1990.
- 9. Brown SA. Fluoroquinolones in animal health. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1996; 19: 1-14.
- Vancutsem PM, Babish JG, Schwark WS. The fluoroquinolone antimicrobials: structure, antimicrobial activity, pharmacokinetics, clinical use in domestic animals and toxicity. Cornell Vet 1990; 80(2): 173-86.
- Kaartinen L, Salonen M, Alli L, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin after single intravenous, intramuscular, and subcutaneous injections in lactating cows. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1995: 18: 357-62.
- Villa R, Prandini E, Caloni F, et al. Serum protein binding of some sulphonamides, quinolones and fluoroquinolones in farm and domestic animals [abstract]. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1997; 20 (Suppl 1): 21-86
- Kaartinen L, Pyorala S, Moilanen M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin in newborn and one-week-old calves. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1997; 20: 479-82.
- Tyczkowska KL, Voyksner RD, Anderson KL, et al. Simultaneous determination of enrofloxacin and its primary metabolite ciprofloxacin in bovine milk and plasma by ion-pairing liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr B 1994; 658: 341-8.
- Malbe M, Salonen M, Fang W, et al. Disposition of enrofloxacin (Batyril) into the udder after intravenous and intra-arterial injections into dairy cows. Zentralbl Veterinarmed A 1996 Aug; 43(6): 377-86.
- Kung K, Riond JL, Wanner M. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin and its metabolite ciprofloxacin after intravenous and oral administration of enrofloxacin in dogs. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1993; 16: 462-8.
- Walker RD, Stein GE, Hauptman JG, et al. Pharmacokinetic evaluation of enrofloxacin administered orally to healthy dogs. Am J Vet Res 1992 Dec; 53(12): 2315-9.
- Monlouis JD, De Jong A, Limet A, et al. Plasma pharmacokinetics and urine concentrations of enrofloxacin after oral administration of enrofloxacin in dogs [abstract]. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1997; 20

- (Suppl 1): 61-3.
- Dorfman M, Barsanti J, Budsberg SC. Enrofloxacin concentrations in dogs with normal prostate and dogs with chronic bacterial prostatitis. Am J Vet Res 1995 Mar; 56(3): 386-90.
- Hawkins EC, Boothe DM, Guinn A, et al. Concentration of enrofloxacin and its active metabolite in alveolar macrophages and pulmonary epithelial lining fluid of dogs. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1998; 21: 18-23.
- Meinen JB, McClure JT, Rosin E. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin in clinically normal dogs and mice and drug pharmacodynamics in neutropenic mice with Escherichia coli and staphylococcal infections. Am J Vet Res 1995 Sep; 56(9): 1219-24.
- Richez P, Monlouis JD, Dellac B, et al. Validation of a therapeutic regimen for enrofloxacin in cats on the basis of pharmacokinetic data [abstract]. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1997; 20 (Suppl 1): 152-3.
- Cester CC, Toutain PL. A comprehensive model for enrofloxacin to ciprofloxacin transformation and disposition in dog. J Pharm Sci 1997 Oct; 86(10): 1148-55.
- Kaartinen L, Panu S, Pyorala S. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin in horses after single intravenous and intramuscular administration. Equine Vet J 1997 Sep; 29(5): 378-81.
- Langston VC, Sedrish S, Boothe DM. Disposition of single-dose oral enrofloxacin in the horse. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1996; 19: 316-9
- Giguere S, Belanger M. Concentration of enrofloxacin in equine tissues after long term oral administration. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1997; 20: 402-4.
- 27. Giguere S, Sweeney RW, Belanger M. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin in adult horses and concentration of the drug in serum, body fluids, and endometrial tissues after repeated intragastrically administered doses. Am J Vet Res 1996 Jul; 57(7): 1025-30.
- Mengozzi G, Intorre L, Bertini S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin and its metabolite ciprofloxacin after intravenous and intramuscular administration in sheep. Am J Vet Res 1996 Jul; 57(7): 1040-3.
- Nielsen P, Gyrd-Hansen M. Bioavailability of enrofloxacin after oral administration to fed and fasted pigs. Pharmacol Toxicol 1997; 80(5): 246-50.
- Abd el-Aziz MI, Aziz MA, Soliman FA, et al. Pharmacokinetic evaluation of enrofloxacin in chickens. Br Poult Sci 1997; 38: 164-8.
- Anadon A, Martinez-Larranaga MR, Diaz MJ, et al. Pharmacokinetics and residues of enrofloxacin in chickens. Am J Vet Res 1995 Apr; 56(4): 501-6.
- Cabanes A, Arboix M, Garcia Anton JM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin after intravenous and intramuscular injection in rabbits. Am J Vet Res 1992 Nov; 53(11): 2090-3.
- Broome RL, Brooks DL, Babish JG, et al. Pharmacokinetic properties of enrofloxacin in rabbits. Am J Vet Res 1991 Nov; 52(11): 1835-41.
- Aramayona JJ, Mora J, Fraile LJ, et al. Penetration of enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin into breast milk, and pharmacokinetics of the drugs in lactating rabbits and neonatal offspring. Am J Vet Res 1996 Apr; 57(4): 547-53.
- Fraile LJ, Martinez C, Aramayona JJ, et al. Limited capacity of neonatal rabbits to eliminate enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin. Vet O 1997: 19: 162-7.
- Bowser PR, Wooster GA, St Leger J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin in fingerling rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1992; 15: 62-71.

- 37. Martinsen B, Horsberg TE. Comparative single-dose pharmacokinetics of four quinolones, oxolinic acid, flumequine, sarafloxacin, and enrofloxacin, in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) held in seawater at 10 °C. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1995 May; 39(5): 1059-64.
- Stoffregen DA, Wooster GA, Bustos PS, et al. Multiple route and dose pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin in juvenile Atlantic salmon. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1997; 20: 111-23.
- Flammer K, Aucoin DP, Whitt DA. Intramuscular and oral disposition of enrofloxacin in African grey parrots following single and multiple doses. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1991; 14: 359-66
- Flammer K, Aucoin DP, Whitt DA, et al. Plasma concentrations of enrofloxacin in African grey parrots treated with medicated water. Avian Dis 1990; 34: 1017-22.
- Bailey TA, Sheen RS, Silvanose C, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin after intravenous, intramuscular, and oral administration in houbara bustard (Chlamydotis undulata macqueenii). J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1998; 21: 288-97.
- Intorre L, Mengozzi G, Bertini S, et al. The plasma kinetics and tissue distribution of enrofloxacin and its metabolite ciprofloxacin in the Muscovy duck. Vet Res Commun 1997; 21: 127-36.
- Helmick KE, Boothe DM, Jensen JM. Disposition of single-dose intravenously administered enrofloxacin in emus (Dromaius novaehollandiae). J Zoo Wildl Med 1997; 28(1): 43-8.
- Lewbart G, Vaden S, Deen J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin in the red pacu (Colossoma brachypomum) after intramuscular, oral and bath administration. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1997; 20: 124-8.
- 45. Gavrielli R, Yagil R, Ziv G, et al. Effect of water deprivation on the disposition kinetics of enrofloxacin in camels. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1995; 18: 333-9.
- Christensen JM, Smith BB, Murdane SB, et al. The disposition of five therapeutically important antimicrobial agents in Ilamas. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1996; 19: 431-8.
- Gamble KC, Boothe DM, Jensen JM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of a single intravenous enrofloxacin dose in a scimitar-horned oryx (Oryx Dammah). J Zoo Wildl Med 1997; 28(1): 36-42.
- 48. Young LA, Schumacher J, Papich MG, et al. Disposition of enrofloxacin and its metabolite ciprofloxacin after intramuscular injection in juvenile burmese pythons (Python molurus bivittatus). J Zoo Wildl Med 1997; 28(1): 71-9.
- Aliabadi FS, Ali BH, Landoni MF, et al. Pharmacokinetics and PK-PD modeling of danofloxacin in camel serum and tissue cage fluids. Vet J 2003; 165: 104-18.
- Jacobs-Reitsma WF, Koenraad PMFJ, Bolder NM, et al. In vitro susceptibility of campylobacter and salmonella isolates from broilers to quinolones, ampicillin, tetracycline, and erythromycin. Vet Q 1994; 16(4): 206-8.
- Barbour EK, Hamadeh S, Talhouk, et al. Evaluation of an enrofloxacin-treatment program against Mycoplasma gallisepticum infection in broilers. Prev Vet Med 1998 May; 35(2): 91-9.
- Sumano LH, Ocampo CL, Brumbaugh GW, et al. Effectiveness of two fluoroquinolones for the treatment of chronic respiratory disease outbreak in broilers. Br Poult Sci 1998 Mar; 39(1): 42-6.
- 53. Jordan FTW, Horrocks BK, Froyman R. A model for testing the efficacy of enrofloxacin (Baytril) administered to turkey hens in the control of Mycoplasma iowae infection in eggs and embryos. Avian Dis 1993; 37: 1057-61.
- 54. Hannan PCT, Windsor GD, De Jong A, et al. Comparative susceptibilities of various animal-pathogenic mycoplasmas to fluoroquinolones. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997 Sept; 41(9): 2037-40.
- Endtz HP, Ruijs GJ, van Klingeren B, et al. Quinolone resistance in campylobacter isolated from man and poultry following the introduction of fluoroquinolones in veterinary medicine. J Antimicrob Chemother 1991; 27: 199-208.
- Griggs DJ, Hall MC, Jin YF, et al. Quinolone resistance in veterinary isolates of Salmonella. J Antimicrob Chemother 1994;

- 33: 1173-89.
- 57. Lekeux P, Art T. Effect of enrofloxacin therapy on shipping fever pneumonia in feedlot cattle. Vet Rec 1988 Aug 20; 123(8): 205.
- Pellerin JL, Bourdeau P, Sebbag H, et al. Epidemiosurveillance of antimicrobial compound resistance of Staphylococcus intermedius clinical isolates from canine pyodermas. Comp Immunol Microbial Infect Dis 1998 Apr; 21(2): 115-33.
- Novotny MJ, Shaw DH. Effect of enrofloxacin on digoxin clearance and steady-state serum concentrations in dogs. Can J Vet Res 1991; 55: 113-6.
- Shlosberg A, Ershov E, Bellaiche M, et al. The effects of enrofloxacin on hepatic microsomal mixed function oxidases in broiler chickens. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1995; 18: 311-3.
- Intorre L, Mengozzi G, Maccheroni M, et al. Enrofloxacintheophylline interaction: influence of enrofloxacin on theophylline steady-state pharmacokinetics in the beagle dog. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1995; 18: 352-6.
- 62. Vancutsem PM, Babish JG. Effects of ciprofloxacin and enrofloxacin on zoxazolamine kinetics, plasma concentration and sleeping times in mice. Toxicol Lett 1993; 69: 1-14.
- Aramayona JJ, Garcia MA, Fraile LJ, et al. Placental transfer of enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin in rabbits. Am J Vet Res 1994 Sep; 55(9): 1313-8.
- 64. Butaye P, Ducatelle R, De Backer P, et al. In vitro activities of doxycycline and enrofloxacin against European Chlamydia psittaci strains from turkeys. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997 Dec; 41(12): 2800-1.
- Gutierrez CB, Piriz S, Vadillo S, et al. In vitro susceptibility of actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae strains to 42 antimicrobial agents. Am J Vet Res 1993 Apr; 54(4): 546-50.
- 66. Prescott JF, Yielding KM. In vitro susceptibility of selected veterinary bacterial pathogens to ciprofloxacin, enrofloxacin and norfloxacin. Can J Vet Res 1990; 54: 195-7.
- Suckow MA, Martin BJ, Bowersock TL, et al. Derivation of Pasteurella multocida-free rabbit litters by enrofloxacin treatment. Vet Microbiol 1996; 51: 161-8.
- Mahlet M, Stunkel S, Ziegowski C, et al. Inefficacy of enrofloxacin in the elimination of Pasteurella multocida in rabbits. Lab Anim 1995; 29: 192-9.
- Broome RL, Brooks DL. Efficacy of enrofloxacin in the treatment of respiratory pasteurellosis in rabbits. Lab Anim Sci 1991 Dec; 41(6): 572-6.
- Banish LD, Sins R, Bush M, et al. Clearance of Shigella flexneri carriers in a zoologic collection of primates. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1993 Jul 1; 203(1): 133-6.
- Line AS, Paul-Murphy J, Aucoin DP, et al. Enrofloxacin treatment of long-tailed macaques with acute bacillary dysentery due to multiresistant Shigella flexneri IV. Lab Anim Sci 1992 Jun; 42(3): 240-4.
- Kordick DL, Papich MG, Breitschwerdt EB. Efficacy of enrofloxacin or doxycycline for treatment of Bartonella henselae or Bartonella clarridgeiae infection in cats. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997 Nov; 41(11): 2448-55.
- Regnery RL, Rooney JA, Johnson AM, et al. Experimentally induced Bartonella henselae infections followed by challenge exposure and antimicrobial therapy in cats. Am J Vet Res 1996 Dec; 57(12): 1214-9.
- Schroder J. Enrofloxacin: a new antimicrobial agent. J S Afr Vet Assoc 1989 Jun; 60(2): 122-4.
- Studdert VP, Hughes KL. Treatment of opportunistic mycobacterial infections with enrofloxacin in cats. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1992 Nov 1; 201(9): 1388-90.
- 76. White PD, Kowalski JJ. Enrofloxacin-responsive cutaneous atypical mycobacterial infection in two cats. Proceedings of the 7th meeting of the American College of Veterinary Dermatology. 1991. p. 95.
- Kontos VJ, Athanasiou LV. Use of enrofloxacin in the treatment of acute canine ehrlichiosis. Canine Pract 1998; 23(3): 10-4.
- 78. Giguere S, Sweeney RW, Habecker PL, et al. Tolerability of orally administered enrofloxacin in adult horses: a pilot study. J Vet

- Pharmacol Ther 1999; 22: 343-7.
- Rodger LD, Carlson GP, Moran ME, et al. Resolution of a left ureteral stone using electrohydraulic lithotripsy in a thoroughbred colt. J Vet Int Med 1995 Jul/Aug; 9(4): 280-2.
- Heath SE, Townsend HGG, Pharr J, et al. Chronic pleuritis in a horse. Can Vet J 1989 Jan; 30: 69.
- 81. Committee consensus, 8/26/02
- 82. Manufacturer comment, 8/13/02.
- Winter RB. Using quinolones to treat haemobartonellosis [letter].
 Vet Med Small Animal Clin 1993 Apr; 88: 306-7.
- 84. Breitschwerdt EB, Davidson MG, Aucoin DP, et al. Efficacy of chloramphenicol, enrofloxacin, and tetracycline for treatment of experimental Rocky Mountain spotted fever in dogs. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1991 Nov; 35(11): 2375-81.
- Bermingham E, Papich MG, Vivrette SL. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin administered intravenously and orally to foals. Am J Vet Res 2000 Jun; 61(6): 706-9.
- 86. Panel comment, Rec 8/5/99.
- 87. Helmick KE, Papich MG, Vliet KA, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin after single-dose oral and intravenous administration in the American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis). J Zoo Wildlife Med 2004; 35(3): 333-40.
- 88. Gandolf AR, Papich MG, Bringardner AB, et al. Pharmacokinetics after intravenous, subcutaneous, and oral administration of enrofloxacin to alpacas. Am J Vet Res 2005 May; 66(5): 767-71.
- 89. Panel comment, Rec 7/21/99.
- Martinez-Martinez L, Pascual A, Jacoby GA. Quinolone resistance from a transferable plasmid. Lancet 1998; 351: 797-9.
- Smith KE, Besser JM, Hedberg CW, et al. Quinolone resistant Campylobacter jejuni infections in Minnesota, 1992-1998. New Eng J Med 1999; 340(20): 1525-32.
- 92. Panel comment, Rec 12/29/99.
- 93. Panel consensus, 1/6/00.
- 94. Office of the Federal Register. Code of Federal Regulations. 21 Parts 500 to 599. April 1, 1999. US Government Printing Office: Washington, D.C. 1999. p. 329.
- 95. Papich MG, Riviere JE. Fluoroquinolone antimicrobial drugs. In: Adams HR, editor. Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 8th ed. Ames: Iowa State University Press, 2001. p. 898-912.
- Difloxacin Tablets package insert (Dicural, Fort Dodge—US).
 Downloaded from www.wyeth.com/divisions/fort_dodge.asp on 6/10/02.
- Marbofloxacin Tablets package insert (Zeniquin, Pfizer—US).
 Downloaded from www.zeniquin.com on 6/10/02.
- Orbifloxacin Tablets package insert (Orbax, Schering-Plough— US). Downloaded from www.spah.com on 6/10/02.
- Diffoxacin Tablets package insert (Dicural, Ayerst—Canada). In: Arrioja-Dechert A, editor. Compendium of veterinary products, CD ed. Port Huron, MI: North American Compendiums, Inc. 2002.
- 100. Orbifloxacin Tablets package insert (Orbax, Schering-Plough—Canada). In: Arrioja-Dechert A, editor. Compendium of veterinary products, CD ed. Port Huron, MI: North American Compendiums, Inc. 2002.
- 101. Marbofloxacin Tablets package insert (Orbax, Schering-Plough—Canada). In: Arrioja-Dechert A, editor. Compendium of veterinary products, CD ed. Port Huron, MI: North American Compendiums, Inc. 2002.
- 102. Enrofloxacin package insert (Baytril, Bayer—Canada). In: Arrioja-Dechert A, editor. Compendium of veterinary products, CD ed. Port Huron, MI: North American Compendiums, Inc. 2002
- Fluoroquinolone therapy for cats: Concerns about altered vision. (Pfizer—US), 2001.
- 104. Enrofloxacin injection package insert (Baytril [dogs], Bayer—US), Rev 8/00. In: Entriken TL, editor. Veterinary pharmaceuticals and biologicals, 12th ed. Lenexa, KS: Veterinary Healthcare Communications. 2001. P. 1131-3.
- 105. The United States pharmacopeia. The national formulary. USP 29th revision (January 1, 2006). NF 24th ed (January 1, 2006).

- Rockville, MD: The United States Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc., 2005.
- 106. AMDUCA fluoroquinolone and glycopeptide prohibition: analysis of comments. May 14, 1998. Food and Drug Administration Center for Veterinary Medicine. Downloaded from www.fda.gov/cvm on 4/11/02.
- 107. Klasco RK, editor. USP DI Drug information for the healthcare professional. Volume I. Greenwood Village, CO: MICROMEDEX, Inc.; 2006.
- 108. Lefebvre HP, Schneider M, Dupouy V, et al. Effect of experimental renal impairment on disposition of marbofloxacin and its metabolites in the dog. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1998 Dec; 21(6): 453-61.
- 109. Dicural Tablets freedom of information summary. NADA 141-096. Approval date: November 20, 1997. Sponsor: Fort Dodge Animal Health. Downloaded from www.fda.gov/cvm on 6/7/02.
- 110. Riddle C, Lemons CL, Papich MG, et al. Evaluation of ciprofloxacin as a representative of veterinary fluoroquinolones in susceptibility testing. J Clin Microbiol 2000; 38(4): 1636-7.
- 111. Matsumoto S, Takahashi M, Kitadai N, et al. A study of metabolites isolated from the urine samples of cats and dogs administered orbifloxacin. J Vet Med Sci 1998; 60(11): 1259-61.
- 112. Spreng M, Deleforge J, Thomas V, et al. Antibacterial activity of marbofloxacin. A new fluoroquinolone for veterinary use against canine and feline isolates. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1995; 18: 284-9.
- 113. Heinen E. Comparative serum pharmacokinetics of the fluoroquinolones enrofloxacin, difloxacin, marbofloxacin, and orbifloxacin in dogs after single oral administration. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2002; 25(1): 1-5.
- 114. Bryant RE, Mazza JA. Effect of the abscess environment on the antimicrobial activity of ciprofloxacin. Am J Med 1989; 87(Suppl 5A): 23-17S.
- 115. Schneider M, Thomas V, Boisrame B, et al. Pharmacokinetics of marbofloxacin in dogs after oral and parenteral administration. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1996; 19(1): 56-61.
- 116. Frazier DL, Thompson L, Trettien A, et al. Comparison of fluoroquinolone pharmacokinetic parameters after treatment with marbofloxacin, enrofloxacin, and difloxacin in dogs. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2000; 23(5): 293-302.
- 117. Abadia AR, Aramayona JJ, Munoz MJ, et al. Disposition of ciprofloxacin following intravenous administration in dogs. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1994; 17(5): 384-8.
- 118. Walker RD, Stein GE, Hauptman JG, et al. Serum and tissue cage fluid concentrations of ciprofloxacin after oral administration of the drug to healthy dogs. Am J Vet Res 1990; 51(6): 896-900.
- 119. Gelatt KN, van der Woerdt A, Ketring KL, et al. Enrofloxacinassociated retinal degeneration in cats. Vet Ophthalmol 2001 Jun; 4(2): 99-106.
- 120. Ozturk F, Kurt E, Inan UU, et al. Penetration of topical and oral ofloxacin into the aqueous and vitreous humor of inflamed rabbit eyes. Int J Pharm 2000 Aug 25; 204(1-2): 91-5.
- 121. Garcia-Saenz MC, Arias-Puente A, Fresnadillo-Martinez MJ, et al. Human aqueous humor levels of oral ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and moxifloxacin. J Cataract Refract Surg 2001 Dec; 27(12): 1969-74.
- 122. Hanioglu-Kargi S, Basci N, Soysal H, et al. The penetration of ofloxacin into human aqueous humor given by various routes. Eur J Ophthalmol 1998 Jan-Mar; 8(1): 33-6.
- 123. Donnenfeld ED, Perry HD, Snyder RW, et al. Intracorneal, aqueous humor, and vitreous humor penetration of topical and oral ofloxacin. Arch Ophthalmol 1997 Feb; 115(2): 173-6.
- 124. Fiscella RG, Nguyen TK, Cwik MJ, et al. Aqueous and vitreous penetration of levofloxacin after oral administration. Ophthalmology 1999 Dec; 106(12): 2286-90.
- 125. Cekic O, Batman C, Yasar U, et al. Subretinal fluid levels of topical, oral, and combined administered ciprofloxacin in humans. Br J Ophthalmol 2000: 84: 1061-3.
- 126. Saez-Llorens X, McCoig C, Feris JM, et al. Trovan Meningitis Study Group. Quinolone treatment for pediatric bacterial

- meningitis: A comparative study of trovafloxacin and ceftriaxone with or without vancomycin. The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal 2002; 21(1): 14-22.
- 127. Rodriguez-Cerrato V, McCoig CC, Michelow IC, et al. Pharmacodynamics and bactericidal activity of moxifloxacin in experimental Escherichia coli meningitis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001; 45(11): 3092-97.
- 128. Destache CJ, Pakiz CB, Larsen, C, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid penetration and pharmacokinetics of levofloxacin in an experimental rabbit meningitis model. J Antimicrob Chemother 2001; 47: 611-5.
- 129. Scotton PG, Pea F, Giobbia M, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid penetration of levofloxacin in patients with spontaneous acute bacterial meningitis. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33: 109-11.
- Lipman J, Allworth A, Wallis SC. Cerebrospinal fluid penetration of high doses of intravenous ciprofloxacin in meningitis. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 31: 1131-3.
- 131. Kremery V, Filka J, Uher J, et al. Ciprofloxacin in treatment of nosocomial meningitis in neonates and in infants: report of 12 cases and review. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 1999 Sep; 35(1): 75-80.
- D'Antuono VS, Brown I. Successful treatment of enterobacter meningitis with ciprofloxacin. Clin Infect Dis 1998; 26: 206-7.
- 133. Haines GR, Brown MP, Gronwall RR, et al. Pharmacokinetics of orbifloxacin and its concentration in body fluids and in endometrial tissues of mares. Can J Vet Res 2001 Jul; 65(3): 181-7.
- 134. Abadia AR, Aramayona JJ, Munoz MJ, et al. Ciprofloxacin pharmacokinetics in dogs following oral administration. J Vet Med A 1995; 42: 505-11.
- 135. Haines GR, Brown MP, Gronwall RR, et al. Serum concentrations and pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin after intravenous and intragastric administration to mares. Can J Vet Res 2000; 64: 171-7.
- 136. Bertone AL, Tremaine WH, Macoris DG, et al. Effect of long-term administration of an injectable enrofloxacin solution on physical and musculoskeletal variables in adult horses. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2000; 217(10): 1514-21.
- 137. Agalar C, Usubutun S, Turkyilmaz R. Ciprofloxacin and rifampicin versus doxycycline and rifampicin in the treatment of brucellosis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1999; 18: 535-8.
- 138. Neer TM, Eddlestone SM, Gaunt SD, et al. Efficacy of enrofloxacin for the treatment of experimentally induced ehrlichia canis infection. J Vet Intern Med 1999; 13: 501-4.
- 139. Nicoletti P. Further studies on the use of antibiotics in canine brucellosis. Compen Contin Educ Vet Med Small Anim 1991 June; 13(6): 944-7.
- 140. Corbel MJ. Brucellosis: an overview. Emerging Infect Dis 1997 April-June; 3(2): 213-21.
- 141. Mycobacteriosis. In: Ettinger SJ, Feldman EC, editors. Textbook of Veterinary Internal Medicine, 5th ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders, 2000. p. 393-4.
- 142. Malik R, Hunt GB, Goldsmid SE, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of pyogranulomatous panniculitis due to Mycobacterium smegmatis in cats. J Small Anim Pract 1994; 35: 524-30.
- 143. Hannan PC, Windsor GD, de Jong A, et al. Comparative susceptibilities of various animal-pathogenic mycoplasmas to fluoroquinolones. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997 Sep; 41(9): 2037-40.
- 144. Dowling PM, Wilson RC, Tyler JW, et al. Pharmacokinetics of ciprofloxacin in ponies. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1995, 18(1): 7-12.
- 145. Manufacturer comment, Rec 6/26/02.
- 146. Kay-Mugford PA, Ramsey DT, Dubielzig RR, et al. Ocular effects of orally administered orbifloxacin in cats. Proceedings 32nd Annual Meeting American College of Veterinary Ophthalmology 2001. p. 56.
- 147. Haritova A, Lashev L, Pashov D. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin in lactating sheep. Res Vet Sci 2003; 74: 241-5.

- 148. Dowers KL, Olver C, Radecki SV, et al. Use of enrofloxacin for treatment of large-form Haemobartonella felis in experimentally infected cats. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2002 Jul 15; 221(2): 250-3.
- 149. Neer TM, Breitschwerdt EB, Greene RT, et al. Consensus statement on ehrlichial disease of small animals from the Infectious Disease Study Group of the ACVIM. J Vet Intern Med 2002; 16: 309-15.
- Enrofloxacin package insert (Baytril 100, Bayer—Canada), 3/1/04.
- Danofloxacin package insert (A180, Pfizer—US). Available at www.pfizerah.com. Accessed on August 23, 2005.
- 152. Danofloxacin product information (A180, Pfizer—Canada). In: Arrioja-Dechert A, editor. Compendium of veterinary products, CD ed. Port Huron, MI: North American Compendiums, Inc. 2005
- 153. Freedom of Information summary. NADA 141-207. A180 sterile antimicrobial injectable solution (danofloxacin mesylate). Sponsor: Pfizer Animal Health. September 20, 2002. Available at www.fda.gov/cvm. Accessed October 4, 2005.
- 154. Danofloxacin material safety data sheet (A180, Pfizer—US). Available at www.pfizer.com. Accessed on October 4, 2005.
- 155. Aliabadi FS, Lees P. Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic integration of danofloxacin in the calf. Res Vet Sci 2003: 74: 247-59
- 156. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals; informational supplement. NCCLS document M31-S1 (ISBN 1-56238-534-8). Wayne, PA: National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards; 2004. p. 12.
- 157. McKellar Q, Gibson I, Monteiro A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin and danofloxacin in plasma, inflammatory exudates, and bronchial secretions of calves following subcutaneous administration. Antimicrob Agents Chemo 1999 Aug; 43(8): 1988-92.
- 158. Apley MD, Upson DW. Lung tissue concentrations and plasma pharmacokinetics of danofloxacin in calves with acute pneumonia. Am J Vet Res 1993 June; 54(6): 937-43.
- 159. Apley MD, Upson DW. Regional danofloxacin lung tissue concentrations and their relationship to regional pulmonary blood flow in consolidated and nonconsolidated bovine lung. Am J Vet Res 1993 Jun; 54(6): 944-51.
- 160. Friis C. Penetration of danofloxacin into the respiratory tract tissues and secretions in calves. Am J Vet Res 1993 Jul; 54(7): 1122-7.
- 161. Shem-Tov M, Rav-Hon O, Ziv G, et al. Pharmacokinetics and penetration of danofloxacin from the blood into the milk of cows. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1998; 21: 209-13.
- 162. Mann DD, Frame GM. Pharmacokinetic study of danofloxacin in cattle and swine. Am J Vet Res 1992 Jun; 53(6): 1022-6.
- 163. Giles CJ, Magonigle RA, Grimshaw WT, et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics of parenterally administered danofloxacin in cattle. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1991; 14: 400-10.
- 164. Lindecrona RH, Friis C, Nielsen JP. Pharmacokinetics and penetration of danofloxacin into the gastrointestinal tract in healthy and in Salmonella typhimurium infected pigs. Res Vet Sci 2000; 68: 211-6.
- 165. Aliabadi FS, Landoni MF, Lees P. Pharmacokinetics (PK), pharmacodynamics (PD), and PK-PD integration of danofloxacin in sheep biological fluids. Antimicrob Agents Chemo 2003 Feb; 47(2): 626-635.
- 166. McKellar QA, Gibson IF, McCormack RZ. Pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution of danofloxacin in sheep. Biopharm Drug Dispos 1998; 19: 123-129.
- 167. Shem-Tov M, Ziv G, Glickman A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and penetration of danofloxacin from the blood into the milk of ewes. Vet Res 1997; 28: 571-9.
- 168. Aliabadi FS, Lees P. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of danofloxacin in serum and tissue fluids of goats following

- intravenous and intramuscular administration. Am J Vet Res 2001 Dec; 62(12): 1979-89.
- 169. Atef M, El-Gendi AY, Amer MM, et al. Some pharmacokinetic data for danofloxacin in healthy goats. Vet Res Commun 2001; 25: 367-77.
- 170. Knoll U, Glunder G, Kietzmann M. Comparative study of the plasma pharmacokinetics and tissue concentrations of danofloxacin and enrofloxacin in broiler chickens. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1999; 22: 239-46.
- 171. Bousquet-Melou A, Bernard S, Schneider M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of marbofloxacin in horses. Equine Vet J 2002; 34(4): 366-72.
- 172. Carretero M, Rodriguez C, San Andres MI, et al. Pharmacokinetics of marbofloxacin in mature horses after single intravenous and intramuscular administration. Equine Vet J 2002; 34(4): 360-5
- 173. Peyrou M, Doucet MY, Vrins A, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of marbofloxacin in horses: preliminary analysis. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2004; 27: 283-8.
- 174. Committee comment, Rec 11/9/05.
- 175. Bidgood TL, Papich MG. Plasma and interstitial fluid pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin, its metabolite ciprofloxacin, and marbofloxacin after oral administration and a constant rate intravenous infusion in dogs. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2005; 28: 329-41.
- 176, Ogino T, Mizuno Y, Ogata T, et al. Pharmacokinetic interactions of flunixin meglumine and enrofloxacin in dogs. Am J Vet Res 2005 Jul; 66(7): 1209-13.
- 177. Sidhu PK, Landoni MF, Lees P. Influence of marbofloxacin on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of tolfenamic acid in calves. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2005; 28; 109-19.
- 178. Rees CA, Boothe DM. Evaluation of the effect of cephalexin and enrofloxacin on clinical laboratory measurements of urine glucose in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2004 May 1; 224(9): 1455-8.
- 179. Regnier A, Concordet D, Schneider M, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of marbofloacin in aqueous humor after intravenous administration in dogs. Am J Vet Res 2003 Jul; 64(7): 889-93
- 180. Kay-Mugford PA, Weingarten AJ, Ngoh M, et al. Determination of plasma and skin concentrations of orbifloxacin in dogs with clinically normal skin and dogs with pyoderma. Vet Ther 2002 Winter; 3(4): 402-8.
- 181. Matsumoto S, Nakai M, Yoshida M, et al. A study of metabolites isolated from urine samples of pigs and calves administered orbifloxacin. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 1999; 22: 286-9.
- 182. Albarellos GA, Kreil VE, Landoni MF. Pharmacokinetics of ciprofloxacin after single intravenous and repeat oral administration to cats. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2004; 27: 155-62.
- 183. Adams AR, Haines GR, Brown MP, et al. Pharmacokinetics of difloxacin and its concentration in body fluids and endometrial tissues of mares after repeated intragastric administration. Can J Vet Res 2005; 69: 229-35.
- 184. Abd El-Aty AM, Goudah A, Ismail M, et al. Disposition kinetics of difloxacin in rabbit after intravenous and intramuscular injection of Dicural. Vet Res Commun 2005; 29: 297-304.
- 185. Atef M, El-Banna HA, Abd El-Aty AM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of difloxacin in goats. Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr 2002; 109: 320-3
- 186. Waxman S, San Andres MD, Gonzalez F, et al. Age-related changes in the pharmacokinetics of marbofloxacin after intravenous administration in goats. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2004; 27: 31-5.
- 187. Aliabadi FS, Lees P. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacokinetic/ pharmacodynamic integration of marbofloxacin in calf serum, exudate and transudate. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2002; 25: 161-74.
- 188. Papich MG, Van Camp SD, Cole JA, et al. Pharmacokinetics and endometrial tissue concentrations of enrofloxacin and the metabolite ciprofloxacin after i.v. administration of enrofloxacin to mares. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2002; 25: 343-50.

- 189. Kumar N, Singh SD, Jayachandran C. Pharmacokinetic study of diclofenac and its interaction with enrofloxacin in buffalo calves. J Vet Sci 2003; 4(2): 155-9.
- Seguin MA, Papich MG, Sigle KJ, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin in neonatal kittens. Am J Vet Res 2004 Mar; 65(3): 350-6.
- 191. Sanchez CR, Murray SZ, Isaza R, et al. Pharmacokinetics of a single dose of enrofloxacin administered orally to captive Asian elephants (Elephas maximus). Am J Vet Res 2005 Nov; 66(11): 1948-53.
- 192. de Lucas JJ, Rodriguez C, Martella MB, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin following intravenous administration to greater rheas: a preliminary study. Res Vet Sci 2005; 78: 265-67.
- 193. Gore SR, Harms CA, Kukanich B, et al. Enrofloxacin pharmacokinetics in the European cuttlefish, Sepia officinalis, after a single i.v. injection and bath administration. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2005; 28: 433-9.
- 194. Boothe DM, Boeckh A, Boothe HW, et al. Plasma concentrations of enrofloxacin and its active metabolite ciprofloxacin in dogs following single oral administration of enrofloxacin at 7.5, 10, or 20 mg/kg. Vet Ther 2002 Winter; 3(4): 409-19.
- 195. Elsheikh HA, Taha AA, Khalafallah AI, et al. Disposition kinetics of enrofloxacin (Baytril 5%) in sheep and goats following intravenous and intramuscular injection using a microbiological assay. Res Vet Sci 2002; 73: 125-9.
- 196. Bermingham EC, Papich MG. Pharmacokinetics after intravenous and oral administration of enrofloxacin in sheep. Am J Vet Res 2002 Jul; 63(7): 1012-7.
- 197. Ramesh S, Rao GS, Malik JK. Pharmacokinetic disposition of subcutaneously administered enrofloxacin in goats. Vet Res Commun 2002; 26: 563-9.
- 198. Rao GS, Ramesh S, Ahmad AH, et al. Pharmacokinetics of enrofloxacin and its metabolite ciprofloxacin in goats given enrofloxacin alone and in combination with probenecid. Vet J 2002; 263: 85-93.
- 199. Seol B, Naglic T, Madic J, et al. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of 183 Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains isolated from dogs to selected antipseudomonal agents. J Vet Med B 49: 188: 188-92.
- 200. Freedom of Information summary. NADA 141-081 (supplement). Orbax tablets (orbifloxacin). Sponsor: Schering-Plough Animal Health Corporation Approval date: March 3, 2006.
- 201. Epstein K, Cohen N, Boothe D, et al. Pharmacokinetics, stability, and retrospective analysis of use of an oral gel formulation of the bovine injectable enrofloxacin in horses. Vet Ther 2004 Summer; 5(2): 155-67.
- 202. E-mail communication with the Food and Drug Administration Center for Veterinary Medicine, June 19, 2006.
- 203. Committee comment, Rec 8/10/06.