

To the Reader

A special chapter is assigned to the collapse of the theory of evolution because this theory constitutes the basis of all anti-spiritual philosophies. Since Darwinism rejects the fact of creation—and therefore, Allah's existence—over the last 150 years it has caused many people to abandon their faith or fall into doubt. It is therefore an imperative service, a very important duty to show everyone that this theory is a deception. Since some readers may find the opportunity to read only one of our books, we think it appropriate to devote a chapter to summarize this subject.

All the author's books explain faith-related issues in light of Qur'anic verses, and invite readers to learn Allah's words and to live by them. All the subjects concerning Allah's verses are explained so as to leave no doubt or room for questions in the reader's mind. The books' sincere, plain, and fluent style ensures that everyone of every age and from every social group can easily understand them. Thanks to their effective, lucid narrative, they can be read at one sitting. Even those who rigorously reject spirituality are influenced by the facts these books document and cannot refute the truthfulness of their contents.

This and all the other books by the author can be read individually, or discussed in a group. Readers eager to profit from the books will find discussion very useful, letting them relate their reflections and experiences to one another.

In addition, it will be a great service to Islam to contribute to the publication and reading of these books, written solely for the pleasure of Allah. The author's books are all extremely convincing. For this reason, to communicate true religion to others, one of the most effective methods is encouraging them to read these books.

We hope the reader will look through the reviews of his other books at the back of this book. His rich source material on faith-related issues is very useful, and a pleasure to read.

In these books, unlike some other books, you will not find the author's personal views, explanations based on dubious sources, styles that are unobservant of the respect and reverence due to sacred subjects, nor hopeless, pessimistic arguments that create doubts in the mind and deviations in the heart.

Translated by Carl Nino Rossini Edited by Timothy Mossman

Published by GLOBAL PUBLISHING

Talatpasa Mahallesi, Emirgazi Caddesi, İbrahim Elmas İş Merkezi A Blok, Kat: 4 Okmeydani - Istanbul / Turkey Tel: +90 212 222 00 88

Printed and bound by Secil Ofset in Istanbul 100 Yil Mah. MAS-SIT Matbaacilar Sitesi 4. Cadde No: 77 Bagcilar-Istanbul/Turkey Phone: (+90 212) 629 06 15

All translations from the Qur'an are from The Noble Qur'an: a New Rendering of its Meaning in English by Hajj Abdalhaqq and Aisha Bewley, published by Bookwork, Norwich, UK. 1420 CE/1999 AH.


www.harunyahya.com - www.harunyahya.net

DARWINISTS FAIL TO CONSIDER

Say: "Have you thought about your partner gods, those you call upon besides Allah? Show me what they have created of the Earth; or do they have a partnership in the heavens?" Have We given them a Book whose Clear Signs they follow? No indeed! The wrongdoers promise each other nothing but delusion.

(Surah Fatir, 40)

HARUN YAHYA (ADNAN OKTAR)



ers to consider basic faith-related issues such as Allah's existence and unity and the Hereafter; and to expose irreligious systems' feeble foundations and perverted ideologies.

Harun Yahya enjoys a wide readership in many countries, from India to America, England to Indonesia, Poland to Bosnia, Spain to Brazil, Malaysia to Italy, France to Bulgaria and Russia. Some of his books are available in English, French, German, Spanish, Italian, Portuguese, Urdu, Arabic, Albanian, Chinese, Swahili, Hausa, Dhivehi (spoken in Mauritius), Russian, Serbo-Croat (Bosnian), Polish, Malay, Uygur Turkish, Indonesian, Bengali, Danish and Swedish.

Greatly appreciated all around the world, these works have been instrumental in many people recovering faith in Allah and gaining deeper insights into their faith. His books' wisdom and sincerity, together with a distinct style that's easy to understand, directly affect anyone who reads them. Those who seriously consider these books, can no longer advocate atheism or any other perverted ideology or materialistic philosophy, since these books are characterized by rapid effectiveness, definite results, and irrefutability. Even if they continue to do so, it will be only a sentimental insistence, since these books refute such ideologies from their very foundations. All contemporary movements of denial are now ideologically defeated, thanks to the books written by Harun Yahya.


This is no doubt a result of the Qur'an's wisdom and lucidity. The author modestly intends to serve as a means in humanity's search for Allah's right path. No material gain is sought in the publication of these works.


Those who encourage others to read these books, to open their minds and hearts and guide them to become more devoted servants of Allah, render an invaluable service.

Meanwhile, it would only be a waste of time and energy to propagate other books that create confusion in people's minds, lead them into ideological confusion, and that clearly have no strong and precise effects in removing the doubts in people's hearts, as also verified from previous experience. It is impossible for books devised to emphasize the author's literary power rather than the noble goal of saving people from loss of faith, to have such a great effect. Those who doubt this can readily see that the sole aim of Harun Yahya's books is to overcome disbelief and to disseminate the Qur'an's moral values. The success and impact of this service are manifested in the readers' conviction.

One point should be kept in mind: The main reason for the continuing cruelty, conflict, and other ordeals endured by the vast majority of people is the ideological prevalence of disbelief. This can be ended only with the ideological defeat of disbelief and by conveying the wonders of creation and Qur'anic morality so that people can live by it. Considering the state of the world today, leading into a downward spiral of violence, corruption and conflict, clearly this service must be provided speedily and effectively, or it may be too late.

In this effort, the books of Harun Yahya assume a leading role. By the will of Allah, these books will be a means through which people in the twenty-first century will attain the peace, justice, and happiness promised in the Qur'an.





Contents

Introduction	10
Darwinists Are Looking for a Miracle	. 16
What Darwinists Fail to Consider	. 24
Darwinists Never Consider the Impossibility of Molecular Evolution	. 24
Darwinists Never Consider the Extraordinary Qualities and	
Behavior Displayed by Living Things	. 44
Darwinists Never Consider that Plants Were Created through Miracles	. 73
Darwinists Never Reflect on the Formation of the Universe	. 95
Darwinists Never Reflect on the Glorious Creation of Man	. 110
Darwinists Never Realize That the Stone Age Is a Lie	. 138
Darwinists Never Consider the Existence of the Soul	. 144
Darwinists Never Admit that All Their Claims	
Over the Last 160 Years Are Invalid	. 150
Psychological Reasons Why Darwinism Deceives the World	
Putting on a Scientific Show	. 176
Various Professors and Scientists Support the Theory	
They Find It Difficult to Believe in Allah	. 184
They Submit False Evidence and Take Advantage of Readers' Trust	. 190
The Logic of Darwinism and Materialism Is a Superficial Construct	. 194
Ignoring the Fundamental Dilemmas of Evolution	. 199
Darwinists' Attempt to Spread Their Magic Spells with	
Visual Propaganda	. 203
They Try to Make Use of Certain Similarities between	
Apes and Humans	
Why Evolution Has So Many Adherents	
Darwinists' Anguish Actually Serves the Fact of Creation	. 212
The Earth Provides Evidence of Instantaneous Creation,	
But None for Evolution	
Conclusion	
The Deception of Evolution	. 222








ness of Allah. By doing so, they would appreciate His creative artistry and the magnificent works of His creations. From living in the pursuit of very simple and ordinary goals, they should have realized the need to live for the sake of Allah. They would see His absolute existence and sovereignty in all places and, willingly or not, have accepted that they are living out the destinies that He appointed for them. That explains why people who arrogantly regard themselves as superior, who maintain that living things were not created, who avoid living for Allah's sake and who seek to satisfy themselves with the life of this world rather than believing in—and living for—the Hereafter, prefer not to engage in reflection. For them, the only way of avoiding the realities they will realize if they do consider, is not to think at all!

Darwinists, who have perpetrated the greatest mass deception in the history of the science, are prime examples of such people.

This book's purpose is to reveal those matters that Darwinists fail to reflect on. By so doing, they have declared war on the fact of creation. They attribute the complexity and beauty exhibited in all their magnifi-

cence at every point in the universe to chance, simply to deny the existence of Allah. They have no qualms about maintaining that unconsciousness and random events gave rise to consciousness, reason, order, understanding and beauty. This book reveals those matters that they heedlessly ignore, or which they claim that the theory of evolution fully explains but without ever entering into the details. This book shows how their theory is actually unproven, despairing and in a helpless position in all areas.

Their deception stems from Darwinists' leading others not to reflect as themselves and indoctrinating them to believe that there is a simple explanation for life on Earth. But by means of books, conferences and video films that reveal proof of Allah's existence, many have become aware of the deception that Darwinism presents. They are now aware that "chance" cannot account for anything. They have considered the extraordinary balances in the universe and begun to investigate the reasons for their own existence, perfection and complexity. They have become aware that the lives they lead are not "simple" at all, contrary to what Darwinists maintain. Now, it is only Darwinists who fail to reflect on these matters.



Emphasizing just a few of the countless proofs of the existence of Allah and showing that Darwinists cannot explain even one of them, is of the greatest importance at this time, when Darwinism is losing its influence. In examining what Darwinists fail to consider, you will see the things to which Darwinist scientists and other evolutionary theoreticians, who managed to deceive the whole world throughout the last century, have refused to consider. This proves that they have not acted in the light of scientific evidence, but of false proofs and deceptions. Having mocked the public's powers of logic for a century and a half, they remain inspired by an outmoded, bigoted belief.

Any thinking person will encounter the reality that Allah, the Lord of all, created everything in heaven and Earth in one moment, with one single command. Darwinists, however, are unable to account for a single cell or a single bird feather. And so long as they shut their eyes and refuse to reflect, it will be impossible for them to account for these and countless other facts, unless Allah wishes otherwise. His magnificent works will continue to prove the fact of creation in a more and more powerful manner with every passing day. Allah, the Sublime and Almighty, is the Lord of all things and their flawless Creator.


That is Allah, your Lord. There is no god but Him, the Creator of everything. So worship Him. He is responsible for everything. (Surat al-An'am, 102)

Everything in the heavens and Earth belongs to Allah. Allah is the Rich Beyond Need, the Praiseworthy. If all the trees on Earth were pens and all the sea, with seven more seas besides, was ink, Allah's words still would not run dry. Allah is Almighty, All-Wise. (Surah Luqman, 26-27)

About the glorious creation of Allah, Bediuzzaman Said Nursi says this:

With what can you explain this infinitely miraculous and wonderful state of affairs? To what can you attribute these truly extraordinary arts? ¹






known molecular systems. The huge advances in the science of genetics revealed extraordinary structures such as DNA, and countless more species were discovered on Earth, all of which Darwinists would have to account for. In addition, the fossil record provided not a single example of the semi-developed life forms that the theory of evolution predicted. Actually, the record showed that species that had existed in the past and had even been described as transitional forms were still alive in the present, having undergone no changes whatsoever.

The theory of evolution could not answer any of the questions that had arisen since Darwin's time. It completely lacked any supporting evidence.

The search for a miracle that Darwin initiated is still being carried out by evolutionists today. Yet in all that time, there has not been one single development or discovery in favor of the theory of evolution. Quite the contrary, countless indisputable proofs have demonstrated its invalidity. Yet in their quest, Darwinists refuse to make any concessions. There are millions of questions that evolutionists need to answer. How did enormously sensitive systematic structures and the extraordinary complexity in life forms—which evolutionists maintain are evolved from one another—all come into being? No explanation has been offered of how the fictitious mechanisms of evolution actually work, or how they could have created life from nothing, or how one species could "evolve" into another totally different one. Darwin's theory cannot account for a single protein in living things.

Present-day Darwinists, whose hopes have constantly been dashed over the years, still maintain their absolute and essential faith in miracles and take no interest in the subjects they cannot explain. They say that the sublime attributes of countless living things all came about through luck. Asked who it was who wrought that luck,



they reply chance. In effect, they equate chance with Allah (May He forgive us). They maintain that the magnificent and breathtaking life we all witness is a miracle, yes, but only one brought about by chance.

They suggest that chance is the only power that gave rise to splendidly attractive butterflies, leopards, cats, penguins, elephants, strawberries, peaches, violets, roses, extraordinarily lovely forests and to human beings who think, see, interpret and understand and possess a flawless molecular structure. In fact, rather than make a deity out of chance, any thinking person can see that there is intelligence even in a single living cell, and that this intelligence can never be explained in terms of unconscious processes.

Darwinists maintain that the astonishing and magnificent life forms we see are the products of blind chance fashioned by luck. They claim that chance brought about the genetic changes that gave rise to butterflies, tigers, birds, penguins, elephants, strawberries, peaches, violets, roses, gloriously lovely forests and human beings able to think, see, interpret and understand and possessed of their flawless anatomical structure.

Their aim is not to attribute the creation on Earth to Allah. They are aware of the genuine miracles in every life form they examine, but they are reluctant to accept these as miracles of Allah. Because they make a deity of themselves, refusing to accept their roles as servants of Allah, they close their eyes to these obvious miracles and suppose that random phenomena devoid of any conscious, controlled and purposeful intervention constitute a creative force.

Darwinists maintain that butterflies, tigers, birds, cats, strawberries, peaches, violets, roses and human beings with all their superior characteristics all came into being by chance. Any thinking person, however, knows that, instead of deifying chance, there is intelligence even in the living cell, and that this could never emerge as the result of unconscious processes.



Their aim here is to allay the fearful implications that follow if Allah actually does exist—Surely Allah is beyond this, and to turn people away from the threatening concepts of the eternal life of the Hereafter and the Day of Reckoning. Otherwise, the claims inherent in the theory of evolution are totally illogical to any rational human being. No logical person can acknowledge the consciousness present at every point in the living



cell and yet still believe that all this emerged as the result of unconscious processes. If they have not been exposed to Darwinist indoctrination, no rational individual can find these claims logical. If they haven't been subjected to Darwinist propaganda, they will find it easy to admit that a power created life on Earth. They will declare that there is a consciousness manifest in living things' behavior, lives and bodies. They will clearly grasp the undeniable fact that a sublime Consciousness has brought these life forms into being.

However, Darwinist propaganda withholds the truth from children ever since grade school. They have no way of questioning the illogicality and scientific invalidity of the theory of evolution, which is the only alternative offered them by their textbooks and teachers. If students are rebuked for espousing any theory other than evolution, if they are deprived of any means of carrying out detailed research into the alternative, if they are exposed to one-sided Darwinian propaganda at all turns, and if books refuting evolution are withheld from libraries or their homes, then it becomes inevitable for them to become members of a bewitched society, just as Darwinists desire.


Darwinists monopolize the media in order to spread the totally illogical myth of evolution. They maintain that chance is the origin of anything and everything they cannot explain. And since there are so many things which they cannot explain, they find that the best, most effective

solution is not to examine them. Never thinking, they continue to deceive themselves and those around them. But this deception's effects do not last long on an unbiased, consciously questioning individual.

In the Qur'an, Allah calls on people to reflect on what has been created in the heavens and Earth. If people are able to see and believe in the sublime works of our Lord, then reflecting on them will further broaden their horizons and understanding, strengthening their belief in the nearness of our Almighty Creator and in the Hereafter. Such people will see that there is artistry and intellect in everything they investigate, and will better comprehend the sublime intellect, might and artistry of our Lord.

It is easy for an unthinking society to trust in the illogical, unfounded theory of evolution and to be taken in by propaganda. Thinking people, on the other hand, will clearly see that all the worlds belong to Allah, He Who is worthy of the greatest praise, the Lord of majesty and greatness.

In the creation of the heavens and Earth, and the alternation of the night and day, and the ships which sail the seas to people's benefit, and the water which Allah sends down from the sky—by which He brings the Earth to life when it was dead and scatters about in it creatures of every kind—and the varying direction of the winds, and the clouds subservient between heaven and Earth, there are Signs for people who use their intellect. (Surat al-Baqara, 164)





Darwinists never realize that the hypothalamus in the human body, no larger than a lump of sugar, needs to set a time for the human reproductive glands to develop and to release the growth hormone at just that moment. Blind chance cannot account for this piece of tissue with no sense organs or even a brain of its own to keep track of passing time and ready the requisite bodily changes.



Darwinists never realize that functions in the human body take place at just the right Louis Pasteur speed and time with the help of enzymes, and that these enzymes which are composed of unconscious molecules, cannot learn their duties by themselves.

Darwinists never realize that even though it's impossible for them to copy out a text consisting of billions of letters without errors creeping in, enzymes combine together millions of units of information as they copy DNA, without making a single mistake. This is simply more proof of the existence of Allah.

Human DNA is like a computer program but far, far more advanced than any software we've ever created . 5

Bill Gates, Head of Microsoft

- Darwinists claim that scientific experiments have shown that life can begin spontaneously through chemical reactions. But they never admit that not a single experiment exists to show this or that, furthermore, science proves this to be impossible on even the theoretical level.
- Darwinists never admit that Stanley Miller—who conducted the so-called "Miller Experiment" that they present as an important discovery in explaining the origin of life—carried out his experiment under arbitrary artificial conditions that had nothing to do with the pri-

meval Earth's real atmosphere. Nor do they realize that Miller synthesized only an amino acid, and that the emergence of amino acids in any form is hardly the same as the emergence of life.

Amino acids may be the building blocks of proteins, but there is a world of difference between building blocks and assembled structure. Just as the discovery of a pile of bricks is no guarantee that a house lies around the corner, so a collection of amino acids is along, long way from the sort of large, specialized molecules such as proteins that life requires. ⁶


Paul Davies, astronomer and evolutionist

the impossible.

Darwinists never realize that in order for a single cell to form, a great many complex mechanisms—hundreds of different proteins, the DNA code, the enzymes that interpret it, the cell membrane with its selective permeability, and many other features—all need to appear at once. They claim that "chemical evolution" took place, but this is impossible. Yet they continue to believe in

Darwinists do admit that DNA contains information about all the details in an organism. They acknowledge that this giant molecule is made up of consecutive sequences of four different components known as bases, and that these four bases—like an alphabet consisting of only four letters—contain blueprints for all the organic molecules that the body is to

Darwinists never think that the synthesis of amino acids in the laboratory does not mean the production of life, and therefore represents no evidence for evolution.



manufacture. They affirm that these components are not arranged at random, but in line with specific information, and that this information is further subdivided into genes, and that every gene is coded for different details (for example, the formula for insulin, the hormone that takes the blood sugar into the cells). Yet they do not admit that this sublime encyclopedia of information inside a tiny molecule totally demolishes Darwinists' claims of coincidental evolution.

Each of the chromosomes in the nucleus contains genes that carry all the information regarding a human being. Darwinists never consider that all the organs in the human body are constructed according to a genetic blueprint within the cells. For example, the skin is controlled by 2,559 genes, the brain by 29,930 genes, the eye by 1,794, the salivary gland by 186, the heart by 6,216, the chest by 4,001, and the lung by 11,581 genes.

Darwinists never appreciate that proteins are made up of only 20 of the more than 200 amino acids in nature, and can never account for such an intelligent selectivity.

Darwinists never realize how the shapes assumed by proteins, essential for life, are basically determined by the sequence of the amino acids that constitute those proteins. If one single amino acid fails to bond to the next in the correct sequence, the protein will lose all its functions. The amino acids constituting the proteins manage to arrive at the correct location every time.

Darwinists never realize that in order for protein production to take place, the arms of the staircase-like DNA molecule must be opened up. During the process of separation carried out by the enzyme RNA polymerase, a special enzyme prevents friction by holding onto

the two ends of the helix. Other particular enzymes prevent the helixes from winding around themselves, and there is intention in every phase of this process, which involves an enormous number of enzymes.

Darwinists never realize that it is impossible for a protein to emerge spontaneously in muddy water, for others to emerge in its wake, and for these to combine to bring into being a living cell.

It is a disgrace that we should have to content with few concrete facts when it comes to the origin of life. Even if we have a limited knowledge of when and where life on Earth first appeared, we are still ignorant of how the process in question developed and of how, in the absence of life, a chemical mass somehow came together and turned into the first living cell. ⁷

Cumhuriyet ("Science and Technology") magazine

Darwinists never realize that one "letter" being wrongly coded in any one of the rungs in DNA could lead to terrible consequences. It is therefore impossible to account for this coding in terms of coincidence.

Even if we assume an environment in which all the necessary nucleotides are present and that all the complex molecules and connecting enzymes needed for these to bond to each other are ready, the odds of these nucleotides combining in the desired order is 1 in 10⁶⁰⁰, that is mathematically zero.

As science has proven, it is impossible for a single one of the 200,000 genes that make up DNA to form by chance, let alone the whole DNA molecule with its millions of rungs.

Darwinists never realize that with its energy producing stations— factories that produce the enzymes and hormones essential for life—, a data bank in which all the data regarding the products to be manufactured is recorded, complex transportation systems and pipelines for carrying raw materials and products from one region to another, and with its highly developed laboratory to break the raw materials arriving from the outside down into useful components, the cell appears as a marvel of creation too perfect to leave any room for coincidental development.

In claiming that life evolved as the result of mutations, Darwinists never realize that 99% of mutations are harmful.

A random change in the highly integrated system of chemical processes which constitute life is almost certain to impair it-just as a random interchange of connections in a television set is not likely to improve the picture. ⁸


James F. Crow, radiation and mutation expert

Murray Eden, professor of electrical engineering at MIT delivered a paper on "The Inadequacy of Neo-Darwinian Evolution as a Scientific Theory." Eden stated that if it required a mere six mutations to bring about an adaptive change, this would occur by chance once in a billion years, while if two dozen genes were involved, it would require 10 billion years, which is much longer that the age of the earth. ⁹

Gordon Rattray Taylor, British science writer

- In claiming that life emerged as the result of blind chance, Darwinists never realize that the odds against a single, average-sized protein molecule forming by chance are 10³⁰⁰ to one.
- $^{\odot}$ And the chances of a functional protein forming by chance are 1 in 10^{950}
- In analyzing these probabilities, Darwinists never realize that probabilities smaller than 1 in 10⁵⁰ are mathematically impossible.
- Darwinists never realize that the cell, which they maintain came into being by chance and which—given the state of 19th century technology—they regarded as a balloon filled with water, has a structure as complex as that of New York City.
- Darwinists never realize that the power station known as the mitochondrion inside the cell, itself no larger than 1/100 millimeters, is far more complex than an oil refinery or hydroelectric station.
- Darwinists never realize that an identical DNA molecule exists in every one of the 100 trillion cells that constitute the human body and contains enough data to fill 1 million encyclopedia pages. Darwinists maintain that they came into being as the result of blind coincidences.
- Darwinists never realize how the unconscious atoms that comprise DNA determine the specialization of cells in the eye, hair, bones, skin, stomach and other areas.

Not even a single protein can form by chance. Darwinists are unable to explain how the building blocks of life could have emerged by way of evolution.



stream of materials to flow in and out. If we were to enter one of these openings, we would find ourselves in a world of supreme technology and bewildering complexity. \dots ¹⁰

One of the most difficult stages to be explained in evolution is to scientifically explain how organelles and complex cells developed from these primitive creatures. No transitional form has been found between these two forms. One- and multicelled creatures carry all this complicated structure, and no creature or group has yet been found with organelles of a simpler construction in any way, or which are more primitive. In other words, the organelles carried forward have developed just as they are. They have no simple and primitive forms. ¹¹

Prof. Dr. Ali Demirsoy

Darwinists never explain how unconscious blood cells can carry out such vital functions as the expulsion from the body of harmful substances like carbon dioxide and urea, distinguishing between waste and useful substances in the blood and carrying them to their correct destinations.

Darwinists never account for how the molecule albumin, one of the transporter proteins in the blood, can distinguish between fats, toxins, drugs and nutrients.

Darwinists cannot explain how albumin, an unconscious molecule, recognizes the liver, gall bladder and stomach, and always deposits the substances it carries at the right place, in the right amounts, without ever making a mistake.

Darwinists ignore how foreign bodies such as viruses or bacteria entering the body are neutralized by antibodies and leukocytes.

Darwinists never realize that when genetic copying takes place with a cell taken from an animal, nothing new is created during this process, and that this is actually proof of creation.



DNA, the cell's data bank, functions just like a planning center, assuming the responsibilities of a large number of expert artists, scientists, architects, engineers, botanists, interior designers, painters, doctors, and many more.

Has there been "progress" in evolution? Are recent plants and animals more advanced than their predecessors or, at least, more complex? Alas, no. Of course it is true that a bacterium is a simpler crea-

ture than a cassowary or an elephant , in that it has fewer moving parts, but its DNA is as richly en-

dowed as an elephant's, and it functions as well in its own circumstances as any vertebrate does. It might even be argued that certain bacteria do their job a lot better than any other animals, since they can claim an unbroken line of descent that can be traced for 3 billion years, while every other known life form has already died out or is scheduled to do so. ¹⁴

Richard Ellis, evolutionist and marine biologist

Cytochrome-C

- Darwinists never question how proteins can know such vital functions as DNA replication, production of information and cell division.
- Darwinists maintain that proteins came into being by chance, and though devoid of any consciousness or intelligence, can construct all the chemical components of the cell by working as a team, break the cell down when necessary and reconstitute these small components as simple compounds for later use.
 - Darwinists never realize that in order for the countless pro-

teins involved in the process of vision to function, they must have the appropriate molecular structure, which could never have come about by chance.

- Darwinists never explain how the molecules in the retina's cone cells come together to constitute proteins that make color vision possible, nor how it is that these proteins have shared the same structure and functioned impeccably in all the human beings who have ever lived over millions of years.
- In claiming that molecules came into being by chance, Darwinists never explain how they could have given rise to the protein melanin that protects the eye from the harmful ultraviolet rays.
- Darwinists never realize that proteins, made up of only 20 of the more than 200 amino acids in nature, can never account for such an intelligent selectivity.
- Darwinists never acknowledge that not a single right-handed amino acid is to be found in living organisms, nor how such an intelligent selectivity could have come about.

Molecular evolution is about to be accepted as a method superior to paleontology for the discovery of evolutionary relationships. As a molecular evolutionist, I should be elated. Instead, it seems disconcerting that many exceptions exist to the orderly progression of species as determined by molecular homologies: so many, in fact, that I think the exception, the quirks, may carry the more important message. 15


Christian Schwabe, Professor of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology at the University of South Carolina


- Darwinists never realize how 20 from the more than 200 amino acids in nature must be accurately selected in order to form proteins, why they are all left-handed and in the correct sequence, nor how this intelligent selection could have come into being.
- The odds of proteins emerging from left-handed amino acids only are 1 in 10^{210} , that the probability of this happening is effectively zero, and it cannot have come about by chance.

Harun Yahya

- Darwinists never recognize how amino acids, made up of unconscious atoms with no ability to see or hear, are able to constitute proteins, which are essential for life and possess the most perfect structures.
- Darwinists claim that the amino acids came into existence by chance, yet know how to assume the correct sequence for each protein and do so in an error-free manner.
- Darwinists never realize how the atoms in the minuscule protein molecule are arranged according to a blueprint and design, how they fold and bend accordingly, and how this special structure is determined for each and every protein.

Amino acids appear in two forms in nature, right- and left-handed. But the amino acids that make up proteins must be left-handed. Darwinists never think how such a careful selection can never be the result of unconscious processes.






To the side can be seen an amino acid chain with a side chain. If any of the amino acids in the chain were to be missing or change place, this protein molecule would become functionless. This chain is therefore very definitely the result of a plan, not of coincidence.

- Darwinists never explain why the nerve cell—which they claim came into being as the result of blind coincidences—should feel the need to convert an aroma into an electrical signal.
- Darwinists never explain how the body can halt production when the level of iron rises, how it is able to behave in a seemingly intelligent manner, which can never be explained by the theory of evolution in any way.

From the first cell that coalesced in the primordial soup to the magnificent intricacies of Homo sapiens, the evolution of life—as everyone knows—has been one long drive toward greater complexity. The only trouble with what everyone knows. . . is that there is no evidence it's true. 16

Lori Oliwenstein, one of the senior editors of Discover magazine

- Darwinists never explain how a protein could have formed by chance in muddy water, how a second protein joined onto the first, and then a third, or how this whole impossible system continued. It is impossible for them all to come together by chance, become alive and give rise to a cell.
- Darwinists can never explain how the nucleus in the cell, which they claim formed as the result of chance processes, came into being. And even if this happened, how did it become a living entity?



Darwinists never explain how unconscious embryo cells decide to combine together from a dispersed state, how they pack together tightly and constitute very strong veins, through which no blood ever leaks.

Darwinists never account for how the windpipe automatically closes during the act of swallowing, thus preventing food and water from entering the lungs, and how after swallowing, the valve opens again to permit air flow. It is impossible for such a system to arise by chance.

Darwinists never account for saliva, usually thought of as a very simple secretion, but which actually contains a very sensitive balance of chemical components. Molecules dissolve in saliva and attach to the taste receptor nerve endings on the tongue, and only in this way are we able to taste what we eat.


Darwinists never realize that there are no errors in the form or structure of any of the proteins inside the 200 or so types of cell in our bodies. Every protein knows how to perform its own tasks flawlessly, and that this can never be the result of chance.

Each class at a molecular level is unique, isolated and unlinked by intermediates. Thus, molecules, like fossils, have failed to provide the elusive intermediates so long sought by evolutionary biology. . . . At a molecular level, no organism is "ancestral" or "primitive" or "advanced" compared with its relatives. . . . There is little doubt that if this molecular evidence had been available a century ago. . .





According to the socalled Darwinian formula, mud + time + chance + natural events gave rise to living things, scientists, technology and whole civilizations. This Darwinist logic is exceedingly primitive and superficial. Since Darwinists are keen to preserve this superficial logic, they are unwilling to think about complex systems and miracles.



the idea of organic evolution might never have been accepted. "17 Michael Denton, molecular biologist

Darwinists never realize how, when the body needs for any particular protein, some messengers—themselves proteins—know just where to go, in a seemingly conscious manner, can locate the relevant destination in the body, and manage to transmit their message in the correct form.


Darwinists claim that the cells in the human body came into existence by chance. They are unaware of each other's locations, yet are able to establish communications by means of hormones with their own extraordinary chemical structures.

- Darwinists never realize how totally impossible it is for the enzyme RNA polymerase to possess the consciousness to select the correct information from the DNA to prevent any interruption to the activities in the cell. How can the cell survive as the result of unconscious, random events?
- Darwinists never appreciate how the enzyme RNA polymerase chooses from among the 3 billion letters contained in the extraordinary DNA molecule, and never makes an error in creating the appropriate protein.
- Darwinists never acknowledge that for protein production to occur, the arms of the staircase-like DNA molecule must be opened up. During the process of separation carried out by the enzyme RNA polymerase, another special enzyme prevents friction by holding onto the two ends of the helix. Other enzymes prevent the helixes from winding around themselves, and there is consciousness in every phase of this process, involving an enormous number of enzymes.
- Darwinists never consider that enzymes are required for DNA replication, and that enzymes also need DNA in order to be produced. For this reason, both must be present in the same place—but this is impossible, according to Darwin's claim of gradual evolution based on chance.

It is extremely improbable that proteins and nucleic acids [RNA and DNA], both of which are structurally complex, arose spontaneously in the same place at the same time. Yet it also seems impossible to have one without the other. And so, at first glance, one might have to conclude that life could never, in fact, have originated by chemical means. 18

Dr. Leslie Orgel, evolutionist microbiologist

- Darwinists never explain how each enzyme in the human body knows that it must go to the relevant location.
- Darwinists never realize how newly-manufactured proteins use various molecular motors to move inside the cell. Some of these at-



tach to proteins and carry them to their destinations, with never any confusion regarding that destination.

Darwinists never explain how the protein insulin instructs the body to store excess sugar so that sufficient sugar in the blood is maintained. Otherwise the result would be death when the cells' needs were not met.

Darwinists never acknowledge that the hormone adrenaline can be recognized by muscle, heart and bone cells only when it has exactly the right structure. Then it can stimulate functions inside these cells and thus protect the body against physical stresses.

Darwinists never reveal that to synthesize proteins, some 300 macromolecules consisting of

more than 80 ribosomes, more than 20 amino acid messengers, over a dozen helper enzymes, more than 100 enzymes that perform final functions and more than 40 RNA molecules work together in the cell in complete coordination.

Darwinists Never Consider the Extraordinary Qualities and Behavior Displayed by Living Things

Darwinists never realize that the anglerfish has no ability to invent the long rod extending from its head that it uses for hunting. Such a device could not have come about by chance, yet this rod is essential for the anglerfish's survival.

Darwinists never appreciate the self-sacrifice displayed by penguins that live at the South Pole, in an environment covered in ice and where temperatures fall to as low as -40 degrees and wind speeds reach 100 kilometers (62 miles) an hour. They incubate their eggs without eating anything at all for four months.



Darwinists never admit that coincidences can never explain how worker bees cause their own deaths by stinging their enemies as they defend their hive, without ever a thought for their own survival.

Darwinists never realize how crocodiles, whose sharp pointed teeth tear apart the prey they catch can carry their young in their mouths without any harm ever befalling them.

Darwinists never consider how although a dragonfly's wings are only 1/3000 millimeter (1/118 inch) thick, they never tear in flight.

Darwinists never appreciate that thanks to the two diagonal pairs of wings on its body, a dragonfly can fly at 40 kilometers (24 miles) an hour—an astonishing speed for insects.

Darwinists never realize how fireflies, whose luminescence they claim is the result of coincidental developments, manage to produce light at an efficiency of one hundred percent.

Darwinists never ask why the bombardier beetle, which produces a 100-degree chemical weapon in its

The way that penguins can survive for four months without eating anything while hatching their eggs at temperatures of 40 degrees Centigrade and withstanding winds travelling at up to 100 km per hour is an instance of selfsacrifice Darwinists prefer not to think about. There can be no explanation of this in terms of the theory of evolution.



own body for use against enemies, is never harmed by this corrosive chemical.

- Darwinists never realize the impossibility of a butterfly thinking up the idea of mimicking the form of a dry leaf to better camouflage itself, and of converting that idea into a change in its own wings.
- Darwinists never realize that the scent perceptions and scent memory system of living things are one example of the sublime creation and perfect order seen everywhere in the universe. They can never account for these flawless systems in terms of chance and coincidences.
- Darwinists never consider that to be able to spin its web, a spider needs to possess architectural expertise, the ability to calculate, and a great many details such as the speed and weight of its eventual prey. It is illogical to claim that an entity with no intelligence could acquire all these abilities by trial and error.
- Darwinists never realize that chance can never account for the sublimity of nature's creations, the perfect systems that exist, and the scientific intelligence and incomparable artistry they display.



Darwinists are unable to explain the consciousness by which organisms such that on the left decide to camouflage themselves as protection against enemies, and prefer not to think about it.

Darwinists never realize how elephants and rhinoceroses have learned to take mud baths to remove parasites on their skin, or how they treat wounds with ash-rich soil containing antiseptic properties. It is impossible for the animals to know about the antiseptic properties of a substance in the earth. All living things in na-

ture act under the inspiration of Allah.

Now the life-span of everything is short, although its value is high and the subtleties of its artistry are most exalted and beautiful. This implies that everything is only a sample, a form of something else, that it has the function of drawing the gaze of the customer to the authentic and original object. This being the case, it may be said that the variegated adornments of this world are the samples of the bounties of Paradise, prepared by the Compassionate and Merciful One for His beloved servants. ¹⁹

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

- Darwinists never realize the mutual devotion, loyalty and solidarity among elephants. A newborn elephant is welcomed with love and affection. The altruism and co-operation in all living things absolutely refute the claim that nature is simply a battleground.
- Darwinists never consider that it is totally impossible for albatrosses that fly for 15,000 kilometers (9,321 miles) on their month-long

journeys without ever landing on the ground; for swallows to fly halfway around the world on their migrations, for locusts being able to swarm 3,000 kilometers (1,864 miles), and for eels that set off on a 6,000-kilometer (3,728 miles) journey shortly after they are born, if they had to learn the methods of doing so all by themselves.

Darwinists never realize how ants, devoid of any mathematical intelligence or experience, use the position of the Sun to find their way.


Darwinists never consider that the fly's eyes consist of 8,000 cells, divided into 4,000 each on the right and left sides of its head. Each cell contains a lens perceiving an image from a slightly differ-

ent angle, and that it is impossible for this system to have come about by chance.

Darwinists never explain how, in building their combs, honey-bees employ two angles of exactly 109 degrees 28 minutes and 70 degrees 32 minutes. There is never the slightest deviation from these. The openings of the cells are raised by them by 13 degrees, thus preventing honey from running out of them. All the honeybees on Earth know these calculations and implement them with perfect accuracy.

Darwinists never realize how, despite spending all its life under water, the water spider cannot breathe the air dissolved in the water. To survive, it rises to the surface and suddenly dives back down again to make use of the air bubbles suspended from its feet and various parts on its body.

Darwinists never admit how the fibroin proteins in the spider's web have a special flexibility, which prevents prey caught in the



All the bees on Earth know that combs have to be constructed according to very specific angles. This comes as a severe blow to Darwinists, who make a deity out of chance.

web from escaping and increases the strength of the web. This feature cannot be explained in terms of chance.

Darwinists never realize that all frogs have large eyes and eyelids, and that most have a special membrane to lubricate and clean their eyes. Their eyes are sensitive to blue light, making it easy for them to find water while they are on dry land. Again, it is impossible for these features to have come about by chance.

In claiming that life came into being as the result of random coincidences, Darwinists never realize how a light as efficient as that produced by fireflies—which produce the maximum amount of light and lose almost no energy—can still not be reproduced artificially, despite long years of research by scientists.

In claiming that life came into being as the result of random coincidences, Darwinists never realize how a light as efficient as that produced by fireflies—which produce the maximum amount of light and lose almost no energy—can still not be reproduced artificially, despite long years of research by scientists.


Darwinists, in claiming that the intelligent behavior and altruism in living things—which they refer to as "instincts"—came into being by chance, never realize that they have no explanation for how this concept of instinct first emerged and how living things maintained it over the course of generations.


In claiming that all living things on Earth acquired their characteristics from an imaginary force they refer to as "Mother Nature," Darwinists never realize that this abstraction to which they ascribe divine status actually consists of stone, soil, grass, trees and flowers and lacks any intelligence or consciousness.

Darwinists, who claim that only the strongest survive, never realize how lions, which can be so savage towards their prey, can also treat their cubs with enormous affection.

In claiming that the way living things are able to treat their own disorders is a feature that emerged by chance, Darwinists never realize how the Angora goat knows—without using the-trial-and error method and eating all the herbs around—that the herb spurge will neutralize the effect of the venom when it is bitten by a snake.

- In claiming that a dinosaur grew wings while trying to catch flies, Darwinists never realize that the fly already had perfected its ability for flight system with wings able to flap 1,000 times per second.
- Darwinists never realize that the way birds fly in a "V" formation in order to save energy can never be explained in terms of blind chance.
- Darwinists never realize that penguins, with a body temperature of 40°C, can withstand external temperatures of -40°C by making use of a layer of fat under their skins. Thanks to this special provision, which could never have come into being by chance, they are unaffected by the resulting 80°C differential in temperature.
- Darwinists are unable to explain how the hummingbird, whose heart beats 500 to 1,200 times a minute throughout the day, is not






its surroundings, and chance cannot endow an animal with the ability

to change its color for defensive purposes.

Harun Yahya

- Darwinists never appreciate how the nitrogen cycle, which makes life on Earth possible, is achieved by bacteria so small that they can be seen only under a microscope.
- Darwinists never realize how venom produced by bacteria living in the intestines of the puffer fish extends as far as its muscles, but does not harm the fish itself and simply protects it against predators.
- Darwinists never admit that a great many living things, which they claim emerged by chance, use camouflage to protect themselves. With these features given them by Allah, they have survived down to the present day.
- Darwinists never admit that their logic can't account for the affection and protection that mothers of many species bestow upon their offspring.
- Darwinists never perceive that living things have structures too perfect and flawless to have emerged by chance.





- Darwinists never appreciate the superior qualities of ants, which live in colonies, possess a very powerful communications network, produce and store food, protect their young in the event of an attack and fight to defend their colonies.
- Darwinists never proclaim that when examined under a microscope, a lobster's eye resembles a flawless piece of graph paper, and that lobsters see using the reflection, rather than the refraction principle.
- Darwinists never point out that throughout the winter, bacteria in lakes break down organic wastes that sink to the bottom and convert them into minerals, thus preparing the nutrients for nature to use again in the spring.
- Darwinists never consider that the structure of living things is too perfect and complex to come about by chance. They never consider that three of the 23 species of bird capable of learning to sing (the parrot, canary and flycatcher) are far distant from one another, according to evolutionists' imaginary familial relations. This totally discredits their scenarios. It is quite irrational to imagine that such complex abilities could be acquired by chance by even a single species of bird, let alone by 23.
- Darwinists fail to consider that in addition to fireflies, various undersea life forms also produce their own light, and that they are completely different to one another in terms of the use they make of this light, its duration and form. The complex structures capable of manufacturing light in living things without harming them can never emerge as the result of chance.
- Darwinists never acknowledge that bacteria, too small to be seen with the naked eye, have mechanisms on their surfaces that emit and perceive electrical signals. Thanks to these mechanisms, they transmit information to one another, and it is impossible for such behavior, requiring an intelligent mind, to have come into being by chance.



Diatoms

Darwinists

never explain how electric eels,
which live in conductive water and
emit electric shocks powerful enough
to kill a human being, take intelligent precautions
to avoid being electrocuted themselves from the instant they discharge their electricity into the water.

Darwinists never realize how a group of shrimps can stun their prey using their pincers, producing a strong sound louder than even the noise of a submarine, yet they remain unaffected by that noise themselves.

Darwinists never explain how certain wasps learned to paralyze a caterpillar rather than killing it, in order that it might remain fresh to feed the wasp's offspring for a long time. The female wasp needs an almost surgical knowledge in order to administer exactly the right dose of venom at the right spot, so as not to kill the caterpillar.

Darwinists never appreciate the astonishing consciousness displayed by the single-celled, microscopic marine algae known as diatoms when they construct shells for themselves made out of silicon, the building block of glass. Only Almighty Allah could have taught this ability to these organisms, which are too small to be seen with the naked eye.

Darwinists never explain how blind termites just 1 to 2 centimeters (0.393 to 0.787 of an inch) long manage to construct miniatured cities up to 4 to 5 meters (157 to 196 inches) in size, several of which may sometimes be joined together, and how they display such a superior level of collective intelligence.

Darwinists never account for the termites' flawless ventilation

system, the chambers set out according to function (nurseries, hatcheries, royal chambers, agricultural areas) all built by blind insects which they claim came into existence by chance through natural processes.

Darwinists never explain how the order exhibited in colonies consisting of millions of termites was established, how every termite knows its own task, how they always co-operate together, and

how no evolutionary mechanism could ever bring this

about.

Darwinists never admit that since the presence of more than one potential king and queen in the termite colony will lead to chaos and a breakdown of discipline, termites take wide-ranging measures to ensure there is only one king and one queen.

Termites, tiny life forms, build enormous nests.

To the side can be seen a crosssection of a termite mound.

Harun Yahya

- Darwinists never admit that just as plants reproduce by pollen, insects are pollen-carriers, and that Allah has created insects and plants in complete cooperation with one another's needs.
- In claiming that an animal is concerned solely with its own interests, Darwinists never account for how a penguin endures four months of cold and hunger to protect its egg, and that Allah inspires such self-sacrifice in living things.

Fourth Principle: You will understand also that the adornments of this world are like samples and forms of the blessings stored up in Paradise by the mercy of the Compassionate One for the people of faith. 20

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi




Darwinists never realize the care, devotion and self-sacrifice displayed by the parents of newborn storks in the jungles of Thailand. They protect their chicks against the burning heat by sheltering under their wings. This behavior can never be explained in terms of chance.

Darwinists never realize that random factors can never explain how polar birds, which cannot realize the purpose served by camouflage, adjust the color of their plumage to adapt to the changes in their surroundings as the seasons pass.

In claiming that nature consists of little more than conflict and struggle—that living things live savage, selfish lives—Darwinists are unable to explain how animals pretend to be injured or even give up their own lives to protect their young, and display feelings of love and compassion.

The jet engine analogy suggested that animals ought to be risible monstrosities of lashed-up improvisation, top-heavy with grotesque relics of patched-over an-






tiquity. How can we reconcile this reasonable expectation with the formidable grace of the hunting cheetah, the aerodynamic beauty of the swift, the scrupulous attention to deceptive detail of the leaf insect? ²¹


Richard Dawkins, evolutionist biologist

Darwinists know that the human eye has only three photoreceptors to distinguish colors, but never realize that the mantis shrimp has 16 photoreceptors and can even perceive ultraviolet light! These creatures could not have come into existence by chance.

Darwinists never realize that worker bees feed the newborn larvae in the most careful and attentive manner, to such an extent that a single larva is visited around 10,000 times by worker bees during the course of its growth. Chance could never make any living thing do this.







Darwinists never realize how all larvae are fed in different ways at different times, and how the bees give their larvae food

according to the size they have reached, and never make any mistakes in this regard.

- Darwinists cannot account for why the larvae stop eating on the seventh day, how the worker bees learned to seal up the cells containing the larvae with a lightly domed cover of wax, how the larvae spin a cocoon around the chamber containing them using a substance they produce themselves. Thus they pass through the pupal phase. It is essential that both larvae and worker bees, without exception, know all this must take place on the seventh day.
- Darwinists never realize that the protein known as fibroin inside the cocoons woven by bees has powerful antibacterial properties, thanks to which the larvae are protected against germs.
- Darwinists never explain how a larva devoid of eyes, wings or brain, that has never yet seen the outside world and is unaware of the conditions it will be living under can manufacture a special formula that obstructs bacteria.


- Darwinists never marvel at how, during the pupal phase, the bee's wings with their special structure, the eyes ideally suited to the tasks it will perform, the sting it will use against enemies, its glands for the production of wax, the tiny hairs that assist in the collection of pollen—in short, all its bodily systems, will develop perfectly and how at the end of the three weeks, the adult bee is finally ready to emerge from the chamber.
- Darwinists never explain how, even though they are all separated by hundreds of miles, bees all over the world build exactly the same combs, collect honey in the same way, have the same communications and colony-building systems and share the identical division of labor. How could this ever have come about by chance?
- Darwinists never appreciate how humming birds are able to cross the Gulf of Mexico (1500 kilometers, or 932 miles) on fewer than 10 grams (0.0220 pounds) of fuel.
- Darwinists never marvel at the air-conditioning and ventilation systems in termite nests, so perfect in terms of equipment and energy consumption. Living things cannot possibly acquire such a sublime architectural ability by chance.
- Darwinists are totally at a loss to explain how polar fish and frogs return to life after letting themselves freeze in the event of unsuitable climatic conditions. Yet they never suffer any damage during their suspended animation.
- Darwinists never realize that it is Almighty Allah Who inspires bees, turtles and birds with the ability to make their very long journeys.
- Darwinists never realize that through photosynthesis, leaves produce 300 billion tons of sugar a year, and that such a process cannot be carried out even under laboratory conditions.
- Darwinists never question how the fly species Ornia ochrea can identify the source of sounds better than any other living thing, despite


the space between its ears being no greater than half a millimeter. Such an extraordinary ability could never arise by chance. With all its features this tiny fly exhibits the sublime creative artistry of Almighty Allah.


A tyrannical current born of Naturalist and Materialist philosophy will gradually become strong and spread at the End Times by means of materialist philosophy, reaching such a degree that it denies Allah. . . The members of that current, who deny Allah, each ascribes dominicality to his soul like a little Nimrod. And the greatest of them, the Dajjal, who will come to lead them, will manifest awe-some wonders, a sort of spiritualism and hypnosis; he will go even further, and imagining his tyrannical, superficial rule to be a sort of dominicality, he will proclaim his godhead. ²²

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

- Darwinists never realize that moulds, single-celled fungi used in the manufacture of drugs, could never come into being by chance, but were created by Allah.
- Darwinists claim that all the life forms on Earth evolved. They never realize that lichens, the product of symbiosis of algae and fungi that live together, could not have combined by chance.
- Darwinists never realize the illogicality of claiming that carnivorous plants, which snap their leaves shut in order to trap insects landing on them, even though they have no muscular systems, and which produce enzymes to digest the insects they catch but have no consciousness, emerged as the result of natural events.
- Darwinists never explain how ducks came to be able to float on the surface of the water, how their bodies have air sacs like miniature balloons, that they empty out these sacs out to dive under the surface. These features have been bestowed on ducks by Allah.
- Darwinists never acknowledge that half an acre of farm land contains several tons of bacteria and 1 ton (2,205 pounds) of fungi, 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of single-celled protozoan life forms, some 50 kilograms (110 pounds) of yeast and the same amount of algae, that







Darwinists never consider how dragonflies, which have remained unchanged ever since they were first created for hundreds of millions of years ago, possess greater maneuverability than even today's best helicopters.

Darwinists Never Consider that Plants Were Created through Miracles

It is unlikely that a book, whose words hold the entire book within itself and whose letters hold an entire line within themselves, can come into being without the existence of an author. Likewise, the existence of the Book of the Universe depends on the existence of Allah, the Ever-Lasting. ²³

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

Darwinists never realize that coincidences can never account for the way that poppy flowers release their pollen at the exact time when there are the most pollen-bearing insects around and that their biological clocks ensure their own times of blooming.

Darwinists never understand that it is impossible for unconscious plants like maize and beans to have learned to emit a special secretion in their leaves to protect themselves against predators. With those secretions, they can attract the hornets to eat the parasites that feed on these plants. That defense strategy cannot have been developed by a plant devoid of any consciousness.

Darwinists never realize how tiny seeds, the majority of which resemble tiny bits of wood, eventually turn into giant trees 4 to 5 me-

from where it is growing to eventually reach the daylight.

Photosynthesis is a rather complicated event, and it seems impossible for it to emerge in an organelle inside a cell, because it is impossible for all the stages to have come about at once, and it is meaningless for them to have emerged separately. 24

Prof. Ali Demirsoy


- Darwinists never point out that a single square millimeter of leaf contains 500,000 chlorophyll molecules. In every leaf there are millions of these glorious molecules, which are essential for photosynthesis and cannot be obtained in any laboratory.
- Darwinists never realize that the highly complex process of photosynthesis cannot have come into being by chance. It cannot have been subsequently learned by a cell. The first living plants on Earth were specially designed in order to perform photosynthesis. Almighty Allah has created plants together with that ability.
- Darwinists never realize that, apart from green plants living on Earth, algae capable of making photosynthesis absorb a 30% level of carbon dioxide and meet 70% of the planet's oxygen needs.


Just as an ordinary machine, with its orderly and measured unity, reveals the existence of a skilful and scrupulous craftsman,

each one of the countless [living] machines crowding the universe indicates thousands of miracles of wisdom. To the degree of the Sun's light, the glowworm's light brightly testifies that those living beings exist due to the existence of their skilful and eternal Master, and that He deserves to be worshipped. ²⁵

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

Even though all plants are sown in soil with the same prop-





erties, receiving the same sunlight and irrigated with the same water, each has its own unique colors, scents and shapes, and that this alone is a proof of Allah's creative artistry.

Darwinists never appreciate that fruits with just the right level of sugar, unique perfumes and tastes emerge from a seed, and that this information cannot form in a tiny seed by chance.

Darwinists never explain how some tree species, such as poplars, lose up to 265 liters (70 gallons) of water an hour in hot weather, yet they never dry up. How did they come to possess an efficient root system, which cannot be a product of chance?


Darwinists never explain how pollens, essential to the reproduction of various plant species, are able to reach other members of the same species hundreds of kilometers, carried along by the wind.

Darwinists never realize that the outer surface of pollen grains are coated with a resistant substance known as exine, which protects the pollen against high pressure and temperatures. By whichever means it is transported, it can remain alive at a distance of many kilometers from the original plant.

Darwinists never account for the more than 500,000 varieties of plants on

A small seed resembling a lump of wood is the source of countless fruits, flowers, trees, colors, perfumes, flavors and beauties sprouting from beneath the ground. Darwinists never think of this extraordinary fact.

The outside of pollens is protected by a substance known as the exine. This is an essential precaution for the transportation of pollen and can only be accounted for by a sublime creation.



Earth, each of which has its own unique features and systems.

Darwinists never realize that plants need a root system to absorb substances they need from the soil, and that it is impossible for plants themselves to have designed this capillary mechanism.

No cell possesses the capacity to "learn" a process in the true sense of the word. It is impossible for any cell to come by the ability to carry out such functions as respiration or photosynthesis, neither when it first comes into being, nor later in [its] life. 26

Hoimar Von Ditfurth, German biologist


- Darwinists never realize that each species of plant has unique features, such as its own scent, taste and color. Moreover, every single plant cell possesses far too complex a system to have come into existence by chance.
- Darwinists never realize how it is that even while there is a high concentration of pollen in the air, a plant can transmit its pollen grains to other members of its species without their being caught by other species.
- Darwinists never understand how the process of photosynthesis, which cannot be fully replicated by scientists in a laboratory, is regularly performed by unconscious plants.


- Darwinists never explain how annual desert plants protect themselves against droughts by remaining dormant in seed form, and that once it has rained, these seeds sprout very quickly. How are plants, devoid of consciousness, able to implement this plan?
- Darwinists never realize how an apple seed contains all the information about the tree it will grow into, its shape and color, its fruits, the flowers that will bloom, the smell of those flowers and all the other features possessed by that tree.

Indeed, how could the creation of spring be difficult for the Possessor of Absolute Power, Who each spring makes the face of the world into a plain of resurrection, and brings forth there a hundred thousand examples of resurrection? ²⁷

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

- Darwinists never realize how a single seed will eventually develop into a tree 4 to 5 meters (157 to 196 inches) tall and weighing hundreds of kilos. This growth cannot be explained by any scenario of gradual evolution.
- Darwinists never realize that the leaves of coniferous trees possess a special protective coating against the winter cold, and that their waxy surfaces prevent water evaporation to make the leaves more resistant.
- Darwinists never realize how all a plant's details—for example, the red color of the rose, the folds in its leaves, the number, the softness and the velvety texture of its petals, its perfume—are all installed inside the embryo. No coincidence has the power to gather together so much detailed information inside that tiny embryo's DNA.
- Darwinists never appreciate that a seed requires careful protection until the embryo can germinate. This is why seeds have outer casings that vary according to the species and







ter passes through it from the outside, allowing the seed to sprout.

Darwinists never realize how the center of gravity of the seeds of the plant Zanonia, which float in the air, is based on exceedingly delicate measurements, thanks to which the seeds can be hurled con-

siderable distances. This special creation could never have come about by chance.

Darwinists never explain why seeds of cress and similar plants are covered in a hydrophobic (water-repellent) coating, which allows them to make use of water's surface tension and thus not to sink. Thanks to this system, such seeds are able to cross entire rivers.


Darwinists never understand how some plants realize that they must enter a kind of state of suspended animation in order to survive very arid seasons. They therefore lose the water they contain from their tissues through a genetically controlled process. By means of a hormone known as absissic acid, hor-


mones inside the seed slow into this state of suspended animation, and this takes place in a seemingly conscious manner.


Darwinists never realize how a seed

Pollen, protected by perfect armor until it germinates, is the first stage in the formation of a glorious plant. The germinating plant develops flowers and bears fruit, proving it is a glorious work of art. This life form is a miracle created by Allah.









facture carbohydrates, the fundamental food source of all living things, by using solar energy—manage to carry out this process in as little as one billionth of a second.

Darwinists never appreciate the process by which plants, which have no central nervous systems or any brain to control them, absorb specific quantities of minerals and water from the soil and make use of air and the Sun to produce food for all animal life.

Darwinists never explain that maple trees, which possess no intelligence, protect themselves against harmful pests with the tannin they manufacture. Much more

powerful than man-made pesticides, its production can never be explained in terms of coincidences.

Darwinists can never account for the irreducible complexity in all plant systems by the theory of evolution.

Darwinists never appreciate how pollens, essential to the reproduction of various plant species, can reach other members of the same species hundreds of kilometers (miles) away by being carried along by the wind.

Darwinists never appreciate the extraordinary exception of the coconut, the seeds of palm tree. Most seeds that sprout as soon as they come into contact with water. But thanks to its tough shell, coconuts, do not germinate during their 80-day journey in salt water and are thus able to survive.


Since the universe and beings exist, and within

them are acts and creation; and since an orderly act cannot occur without an author, a meaningful book cannot exist without a scribe, nor a skilful embroidery without an embroiderer, the purposeful acts that fill the universe surely have an Author, and the meaningful letters and amazing embroideries that cover the face of the Earth and are renewed from season to season have a Scribe and an Embroiderer. ²⁹

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

Darwinists never realize that chance can never account for how trees can supply water to even their uppermost leaves, without the need for any pump or similar system.

Darwinists never realize the intelligence and consciousness with




which trees' leaves carry out complex chemical processes in their cells in order to fall only in autumn.

- Darwinists never admit that the superior, highly rational system by which the plane tree emits poison into the soil to protect the tree from weeds without damaging the tree itself could never emerge by chance.
- Darwinists never realize that plants were created, together with the many various defensive mechanisms they use to protect themselves.
- Darwinists' theory of evolution can never account for the way that when attacked, a leaf informs other leaves on the same plant and

Pollens that travel hundreds of kilometers behave as if they know they will reach other members of their species. Darwinism remains speechless in the face of such a system.

tells them to protect themselves by emitting jasmonic acid.


All of these fruits and the seeds within them are miracles of Divine wisdom, wonders of Divine art, gifts of Divine mercy, material proofs of Divine unity, and bearers of the good news that Divine favors will be granted in the Hereafter. Just as they are all truthful witnesses to His all-embracing power and knowledge, each of them is a mirror confirming His unity in all the corners of the world of multiplicity and in all the parts of the world of this




tree, a world that has become multiple. They turn the gaze from multiplicity to unity. 30

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

- Darwinists fail to consider that plants and their leaves have a sublime evaporative cooling system, a system that can never be accounted for in terms of chance.
- Darwinists can never explain in terms of evolution how the impermeable waxy layer covering the leaves' surfaces, known as the cuticle, reduces water loss to a minimum.
- Darwinists never realize that chance cannot explain how desert plants "learned" to conserve water rather than allowing it to evaporate, and how they came to possess features ideally suited to harsh desert conditions.
- Darwinists never fully understand how trees, despite their outer surfaces being covered in a dry bark can pass many tons of water





various lipids; sugars; wall fragments; and other complex carbohydrates. Quite how individual plant cells accommodate this prodigious amount of information is not understood."

On the page of the Earth, raise your head, open your eyes, and look at the great Book of the Universe. You will see that on it as a whole a stamp of Unity is read out which is as clear as it is big. 31

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

- Darwinists never realize that the process in which the lotus plant wipes away the dust particles on its flat leaves by using raindrops could never have come about by chance.
- Darwinists never think that the theory of evolution can never account for the camouflage ability possessed by many plants to protect themselves from predators and is able to deceive humans as well.
- Darwinists never realize the way that the numerical series known as the Fibonacci series, is encountered so often in different plants that it can never be explained in terms of evolution.

Darwinists Never Reflect on the Formation of the Universe

Darwinists never realize that each of the planets, great or small, in the solar system has been specially arranged, with their positions and movements and countless other details, and that even a millimetric deviation in a planet's positions could disrupt all the interdependent balances in the solar system.







Darwinists never understand that the distance between the Earth and the Moon is of the greatest importance to life on Earth, and maintaining of a great many vital balances such as the timing of tides. The slightest change in that distance could give rise to fatal results.

Darwinists never worry that even a 10% reduction in the amount of solar energy reaching the Earth would result in our planet being covered in a layer of ice several meters thick.

The Sun too is merely a flash manifesting in the sea of the skies... $^{\rm 32}$






Harun Yahya

Darwinists know that the universe we live in emerged some 15 billion years ago from a single point. But such a great explosion should actually have caused enormous chaos. The atoms or sub-atomic particles should have been scattered randomly all throughout the emptiness of space. But such a thing did not happen. Instead, a highly systematic and organized universe emerged.

Darwinists never realize that the very high energy emitted by a single explosion in the Sun is equivalent to that of a 100-billion-ton bomb of the kind dropped on Hiroshima. But by means of our planet's atmosphere and magnetic field, this radiation reaches the Earth in a highly reduced form.

Factors such as the Earth's angle of inclination, its size and its distance from the Sun, could never have combined together by chance. The sensitivity they possess shows that the entire system was brought into being by a Supreme Creator. That Supreme Creator is Allah, Lord of the Worlds.



Darwinists never realize that oxygen is a corrosive element and should normally be expected to oxidize our tissues. In order to prevent this, Allah has created the oxygen in the atmosphere in a neutral form, O_2 , which does not easily enter into chemical reactions.

If you want to imagine the death agonies of the world as the Qur'an points them out, think of how the parts of the universe are bound to one another with an exact, exalted order. They are held with such hidden, delicate, subtle bonds and are so bound within an order that on a single one of the lofty heavenly bodies receiving the command: "Be!" or, "Leave your orbit!", the world will go into its death agonies. ³⁴

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi


- Darwinists never question how carbon-based compounds form vastly different organic structures, from the cell membrane to tree bark, from the lens in the eye to deer antlers, and from egg white to snake venom. Carbon makes life possible on Earth and gives rise to exceedingly diverse substances by combining with hydrogen, oxygen and nitrogen atoms in different three-dimensional shapes and sequences.
- Darwinists never question why, in contrast to all other known liquids, water begins to expand at temperatures below + 4°C, thus enabling ice to float. This attribute was especially created in order to support the life of numerous creatures living in the seas.
- Water is 10 billion times more viscous than tar, 1,000 more viscous than glycerol, 100 times more so than olive oil and, 25 times more so than sulphuric acid. Darwinists fail to consider how this viscosity of water is of the greatest importance to the efficient functioning of the system, and that if its viscosity were similar to that of tar, no heart—itself consisting of a large percentage of water—could possibly pump blood.
 - Darwinists never realize that the universe is not eternal and

that it came into being out of nothing with the explosion of a single point of zero volume.

They also never realize that the Big Bang, which led to the formation of the universe, also brought about an order with an extraordinary sensitivity that pervades the whole universe.

Darwinists never realize that under the second law of thermodynamics, all systems left to natural conditions gradually become disordered. For that reason, the universe and everything in it should be moving towards an inevitable end.

Water is essential for the human body. For that reason, water has a specific viscosity value that enables the circulatory system to operate flawlessly. This and other characteristics unique to water prove that this liquid is a marvel of Creation. But Darwinists never think of this.




- Darwinists never realize that if the force of gravity were slightly greater, many of the larger stars in the universe would collapse into black holes.
- Darwinists never appreciate that the extraordinarily complex sub-atomic particles of just 0.0000001 of the atom's volume act in an extraordinary sensitivity and order.
- Darwinists claim that atoms such as phosphorus and carbon combined together as the result of coincidences and organized themselves under the effects of natural phenomena such as lightning, volcanoes, ultraviolet rays and radiation in such a way as to give rise to proteins, cells, fish, cats, rabbits, lions, birds, human beings and all of life. But they fail to realize that these very atoms are devoid of consciousness, intelligence, and life itself.
- The density of the universe, the speed at which it expands, the star systems, the features of the galaxies, their forces of gravity, orbits,


The universe is built around extraordinary balances and delicate systems. The fact that giant stars and planets move with such delicate systems definitively refutes coincidence. But Darwinists are evidently unwilling to consider this.

Darwinists never think how if gravity were slightly greater than every one of the great stars would have turned into a black hole.

courses, speeds and the substances they contain—all depend on highly delicate balances and calculations. In the same way, our Earth, the atmosphere that surrounds it, and its ideal structure for human life, are all examples of an extraordinary creation. Yet Darwinists never realize that the slightest variation in these balances would totally disrupt the entire system.

- Darwinists never calculate that if the physical and chemical properties of water or the composition or temperature of the Earth's atmosphere were just slightly different to what they actually are, then there would be no clouds. In the absence of clouds, there would be no source of fresh water on Earth.
- Darwinists fail to consider the way rain falls at specific levels for the maintenance of life in various regions of the Earth, and the regulation of its form and speed cannot be explained in terms of the theory of evolution.





Darwinists Never Reflect on the Glorious Creation of Man

... Thus, all these things demonstrate as decisively as two plus two equals four that everything is subjugated to the Maker of this wondrous palace, that is, to the Owner of this strange world. Everything is like a soldier under His command. Everything turns through His strength. Everything acts through His command. Everything is set in order through His wisdom. Everything helps the others through His munificence. Everything hastens to the assistance of the others through His compassion, that is, they are made to hasten to it. And so, my friend! Say something in the face of this, if you can! ³⁵

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

- Darwinists never realize how the feet, whose tissues, veins and muscles are never harmed when we run or stand up and down, could not have come into being by chance.
- Darwinists never realize that since neither Internet technology nor even the simplest telephone exchange can form by chance, coincidence cannot account for the far more complex system inside the brain, which requires engineering, information, consciousness, intelligence and technology.
- Darwinists, who claim that a succession of coincidences can give rise to a complex organism, never realize that the human body, can function flawlessly only when all its organs are present simultaneously.
- Darwinists never realize that it is irrational to claim that the protein thrombin, constantly traveling in the blood but which brings about clotting at only one site in the event of bleeding, could have come into existence through random changes.
- Darwinists never realize how it is that stomach acids, powerful enough to dissolve a razor blade, do not harm the stomach itself.
- Darwinists never appreciate that cells that come into being by multiplying from a single cell in the womb, gradually diversify to form very different tissues and organs. The human nose, hand and kidney

ultimately derive from that same original cell. These cells multiply to the extent necessary and then cease doing so once a complete organ is formed.

Darwinists never realize how during the process of development in the womb, every one out of billions of cells assumes its allotted place. For example, they establish some 120 trillion electrical connections to exchange information among the cells in the brain. They never make a single error in creating this impeccable electronic apparatus.

Darwinists never appreciate that the total length of the 5 billion or so capillary vessels in the human body is 950 kilometers (590 miles). If 10,000 of the smallest of these were laid side by side, they would measure no more than the lead part of a lead pencil. The way blood flows through these narrow channels over an entire lifetime can never be the work of unconscious coincidences but is a manifest proof of the greatness of Allah. (www.fossil-museum.com)

Darwinists never realize that in reading one sentence, the eyes perform some 100 billion processes.

Allah, they possess one of the most perfect systems in the world.


Through the will and mercy of

Is it at all possible that the One Who gives life to this vast, dead and dry earth; Who in so doing demonstrates His power by deploying more than three hundred thousand different forms of creation, each of them as remarkable as man; Who further demonstrates in this deployment His all-embracing knowledge by the infinite distinctions.

tions and differentiations He makes in the complex intermingling of all of those forms; Who directs the gaze of all His slaves to everlasting bliss by promising them resurrection in all of His heavenly decrees; Who demonstrates the splendor of His Dominion by causing all of His creation to collaborate with one another, to revolve within the circle of His command and His will, to aid one another and be submitted to Him; Who shows the importance He has given to man by creating him as the most comprehensive, the most precious and delicate, the most valued and valuable fruit on the tree of creation by addressing him without intermediary and subjugating all things to him—is it at all possible that so Compassionate and Powerful a One, so Wise and All-Knowing a One, should not bring about resurrection; should not gather His creatures together or be unable to do so; should not restore man to life, or be unable to do so; should not be able to create Heaven and Hell? Nay, indeed, by no means is any of this possible. ³⁶

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi


- Darwinists never appreciate that a drop of blood contains some 250 million red blood cells, 400 thousand white blood cells and millions of thrombocytes. A great miracle that produces molecules with these properties and lets them all function together. Allah has flawlessly created blood right down to the finest detail.
- Darwinists never realize that to prevent death from loss of blood, more than 20 enzymes all have to be present at the same time to help blood clot. This complexity is incompatible with the mechanism of evolution.
- Darwinists never realize who directs the sequence of the 20 varieties of enzymes during the course of blood clotting? Unconscious atoms could never achieve this vital sequencing of their own accord, and the answer to that question can never be found in any Darwinist explanation.
- Darwinists never realize why transporter proteins in the blood carry the needs of hundreds of different kinds of cells in the body in the form of packages. This is incompatible with the principle of supposed






a result of evolutionary processes, prevents the heat emitted by a muscle's contraction from doing any harm to that muscle. The blood's heat-dispersal mechanism could never come into existence by chance.

- Darwinists never realize how it is that different cells in the blood, which they claim came into being by chance, know their own tasks, perform them without making any errors and how they continue discharging their responsibilities uninterruptedly for an entire lifetime.
- Darwinists never realize that 2.5 million erythrocytes need to be produced every second in the blood, which they maintain came into existence through unconscious coincidences. Were it not for this, the veins would become blocked due to a decrease in the blood's viscosity. This vital equilibrium could never develop through random processes and phenomena.
- Darwinists never question that the human eye forms an image on the retina in less than 1/10 second. The image occupies a space no more than 1 square millimeter (0.00155 square inch) in size. The human eye possesses a mechanism faster and more practical than 64 computers equipped with the latest technology.



- Darwinists never realize that there are around 1,000 different scent receptors in the human nose. By way of the magnificent scent perception system in the nose, human beings can detect more than 10,000 smells through combinations of these different receptors.
- Darwinists never realize that after a given length of time, sense receptors stop sending the brain signals about substances in contact with the skin. The skin thus gradually grows accustomed to the material touching it. What a great blessing this represents for human beings!
- Darwinists never appreciate that though it takes only a few seconds to read a single sentence, if just one of the enzymes in the human body were not to work, that sentence would take 1,500 years to read.
- Enzymes are responsible for activating cells and initiating and accelerating reactions, and one enzyme can accelerate a reaction 10¹⁰ times—in other words, 10 billion times. But Darwinists never realize



Harun Yahya

that if enzymes did not do their jobs, a great many reactions that keep you alive would have to wait until you had read this sentence. Inactive cells, unaware of one another, would one by one begin to die.

Darwinists never wonder that nerve impulses travel through the body at a speed of approximately 9 kilometers (5.59 miles) a second, thus protecting you against a wide range of dangers.

Every day the

19,308 kilometers

never think how

this cannot happen by


chance.


through the human body. Darwinists

Darwinists never calculate that there is less than one millionth of a gram of hormones in a liter of blood, despite which hormones have such vitally important heart sends 36 billion liters of blood functions to perform.

> Darwinists never realize that every day, your heart pumps 7,200 liters (1,584 gallons) of blood over a distance of 100,000 kilometers (61,140 miles).

> > Darwinists never realize that blood passes through the kidneys an average of 300 times a day.






Trunk and lower limbs

Left lung

Tear glands




When human beings blink, tears cover the eye in the form of a very thin film. This layer makes the surface of the eye smooth and transparent. It is impossible to see properly without it. Darwinists never think how chance cannot be the great force that brings this about.

- Darwinists never realize that every second you spend reading, your retinas perform 10 billion calculations.
- Darwinists never realize that your roughly 5.6 liters (1,232 gallons) of blood course through your body three times every minute and travel around 100,000 kilometers (62,140 miles) every day.
- Darwinists never realize that the muscle that enables your eyes to blink is the fastest in the body. Thanks to this muscle, we blink an average of 15,000 times a day.
- Darwinists never realize that 5 liters (1.1 gallons) of blood have to travel through the veins that extend to every part of a body of average weight, that if part of this is taken away, 1 liter (0,22 gallon) for instance, it will be more difficult to move the remaining blood, and that if the blood does not fill the veins the fine blood vessels will stick together, blood will cease to flow and the cells will rapid-

ly begin to die, and that cells can only remain without oxygen for one or two minutes.

Darwinists never reflect how in secreting meat digesting acids, the stomach, itself

Gastric fluid does not harm the stomach as it digests all the foodstuffs entering it. Darwinists have no explanation of how such a consciousness emerged.



made of flesh, avoids digesting itself and how this is incompatible with the claim of chance.

Darwinists never realize that the 500 highly complex functions carried out in a single liver cell, most of them in a flawless succession in a matter of milliseconds, cannot be replicated even under laboratory conditions. It is impossible for these functions to have come about by chance.

And in man is a three-pound brain which, as far as we know, is the most complex and orderly arrangement of matter in the universe 38

Isaac Asimov, biochemist and science writer

Darwinists never account for how surplus, flawed or diseased cells in the body destroy themselves. Some dead cells are not cleared away since they can still be of use. These macrophage cells decide which dead cells to eliminate and which to leave, just as if they were conscious entities.

Darwinists never account for how the pituitary gland, a small piece of tissue no larger than a chickpea, controls all the hormones in our bodies and the hormonal system itself.

Darwinists do not understand how growth hormone secreted by the pituitary gland controls the division of trillions of cells in a perfect order, or how this tiny gland decides at what stage the body and its organs should stop growing.


Darwinists don't understand how mother's milk meets all the newborn's needs.



Baby food manufacturers are still unable to manufacture an equivalent to mother's milk.

- Darwinists never realize how the receptor cells in the hypothalamus monitor the body's level of water 24 hours a day, and take the necessary precautions when they determine any excess or deficiency.
- In claiming that the eye evolved in stages, Darwinists never realize that the eye is irreducibly complex and that it cannot function unless all its 40 main components are all present and working in harmony together. By itself, this organ totally undermines the theory of evolution.
- Darwinists never admit that the human eye provides an image far superior to and clearer than that of the most advanced cameras.
- Darwinists never realize that every neuron in the human brain has about 1,000 to 10,000 synapses, or connections with other cells. There are some 1 quadrillion synapses in the brain, enabling some 1,000,000,000,000,000 acts of communication. It is impossible for all this complexity to have come about by chance.
- Darwinists never realize that while the fastest data processing man-made computers perform 10⁹ operations per second, the human

In order for the eye to see, the 40 complex components within it must all be present at the same time. If just one of these is missing, the eye will not work. Darwinists tell tall tales about how exceedingly complex components can all come together by chance and perform the process of seeing in a coincidental manner. But they never think that no logical person can ever believe that claim.





brain—which they claim came into existence by chance—can perform 10¹⁵ operations a second.

- Darwinists never admit that it is absolutely impossible for chance to organize nerve cells in such a way as to establish an astonishing communications network.
- Darwinists never examine how the cells of the immune system produce substances known as antibodies against microbes or other foreign bodies known as antigens, which either try to kill them or else prevent them from reproducing. The most important feature of these antibodies is their ability to distinguish between hundreds of thousands of different microbes and prepare themselves to destroy them.
- Darwinists never consider that some antibodies are even able to recognize artificial antigens produced in the laboratory and then injected into the human body.

Darwinists never realize that antibodies immediately identify and produce the most effective weapon to be used against a given foreign body.

The defense cell binds to the antigen

The active defense cell produces more antibodies.

Darwinists never consider that the human kidneys

Antibodies bind to the antigen

Foreign substances entering the body, known as antigens, are eliminated thanks to special antibodies the body manufactures against them. Highly conscious processes take place, from the recognition of a microbe to its destruction. Darwinists never think of how such a conscious system came into being.

The macrophag e binds to the antigen

The macrophage destroys the antigen.


are around 10 centimeters (3.937 of an inch) in length and weigh 100 grams (0.220 of a pound) and contain more than 1 million micro-purification plants. In these plants, the blood that carries everything essential for our survival is constantly cleansed and purified. Not even the giant machines built by human beings can replicate the kidney's functions.

When we look at the main divisions of mankind, we find many differences that are visible to the unaided eye \dots It is highly likely that all these differences are determined genetically, but they are not determined in any simple way. For example, where skin color is concerned, there are at least four gene differences that contribute to variations in pigmentation. ³⁹

Luigi and Luca Cavalli-Sforza, evolutionist geneticists

- Darwinists never understand how, when cells inside the human body need to be manufactured or need to be destroyed, these functions are performed with perfect timing and in a perfect order, completely beyond our will or knowledge.
 - Darwinists never appreciate though the enzymes, which carry

A dialysis machine is the product of technology that gills huge hospital wards, ties patients to bed for hours on end and inevitably produces side-effects. These devices, which are used as the only effective solution to kidney disorders can only do a very limited part of what the kidneys, no bigger than a fist and consisting of fat, protein and blood, do. Yet Darwinists claim that this magnificient organ, the like of which human beings have been unable to develop, came into being by chance.



electrons through the fluid between the cells, are not conscious, were they to scatter the messages they carry randomly rather than to their correct destinations, the resulting chaos in the brain would totally demolish the sensory system, severing all links with the outside world.

Darwinists never discuss the way that bone cells known as osteoclasts alter the length and shape of bones and shrinknotches on the bone surface. While the osteoclast removes bone, osteoblast cells begin manufacturing bone in such a way as to maintain the skeleton.

All these actions take place in perfect order in every bone in

the body.

Darwinists never realize that the bone cells, which they maintain came into being through unconscious coincidences, form the hardness, length, shape, protrusions and interfaces of every bone in the body, and do so without ever making an error.


Darwinists never realize that human development takes place according to specific limits. When a particular height has been reached and the hands and feet attain their mature size, how it is possible that they decide to stop growing any more?

Darwinists never appreciate how molecules invisible to the human eye work con-

stantly to keep us alive.

Darwinists never realize that the human DNA molecule contains enough information to fill millions of encyclopedia pages, yet is composed of unconscious atoms such as phosphorus, carbon, nitrogen, hydrogen and oxygen.


Darwinists never realize that




Human beings' limbs grow at a specific rate during the developmental phase, after which growth stops. It is impossible to ascribe this flawless control to chance.

the body produces some 260 to 400 billion blood cells every day. This production, which takes place in the bone marrow, depends on the differing division abilities of a special cell known as the stem cell.

- Darwinists claim that blood came into being by chance, yet they never admit that nothing resembling it can be produced in even the most advanced laboratories.
- Darwinists seldom appreciate that if the very first animal's blood did not clot, then blood loss from the slightest injury could result in death.
- Darwinists never understand how although the protein thrombin causes blood to clot and travels within the blood, it does not





cause clotting in the vessels it passes through.

Darwinists never appreciate that the human foot requires 54 different muscles working together simultaneously in order to take a single step.

Darwinists never appreciate that the air we all breathe contains many harmful substances and germs, but that the microhairs in our nasal passages protect us against most of them.


Darwinists never explain how, though the 200 or so different types of cell in the body possess basically the same mechanisms, they have such very different tasks. For example, a liver cell carries out 500 different chemical processes in a matter of milliseconds (one thousandth of a second), and a heart cell produces electricity for our entire lifetime.

Darwinists never realize that even when adults are asleep, their hearts are able to pump 340 liters (74 gallons) of blood every hour.

Darwinists never realize how the 5 to 6 liters (1.1 gallons) of blood in the human body represents some 7 to 8% of body weight. If its red blood cells were laid out end to end, they would form a line almost 100,000 kilometers (62,140 miles) long, enough to stretch twice around the Earth.

Darwinists never reflect on how stem cells comprise just one of the ten thousand kinds of cells manufactured in bone marrow. Though these cells look no different from any other cells, they have the sublime ability to grow in to different types of cell according to the body's needs, giving rise to new cells that replace damaged ones inside the body.


Darwinists never consider how the human hand that enables them to perform countless actions—from drinking to driving a car,




from writing to sewing—has been equipped with 27 bones and a perfect system of muscles and nerves that controls them. Human beings open and close their hands at least 25 million times during their lives, and it is impossible for such a mechanism to be replicated artificially.

Darwinists never appreciate that the heart pumps blood constantly, from birth to death. This pump began working by using its own electrical system to meet our bodies' needs, and in one hour, it produces enough energy to lift an average-size car one meter off the ground.

Darwinists never recognize how the heart starts beating suddenly while a person is still a fetus in its mother's womb. From that mo-





The heart pumps blood through the human body from birth to death. This glorious process that the heart carries out by using its own electrical system, cannot be explained by any Darwinist mechanism.

ment, it causes blood to flow through the body by constantly expanding and contracting. It pumps blood at every moment of our lives, without our being aware of it, and beyond our conscious control.

Darwinists never appreciate that the double-layered membrane around the heart lets it function comfortably and protects it against blows. Thanks to the viscous fluid between these two layers, the heart is able to expand and contract for a whole lifetime.

Darwinists never realize that not even a

Body single one of the components that make up the
heart can have arisen spontaneously. No matter what
perfect features it may possess, a heart with no circulatory system or
blood to pump will serve no purpose at all. According to their own
Darwinist logic, an organ with no function should disappear, and no
organ like the heart with all its complex features could have ever come
into existence by chance.

Darwinists never recall that the heart requires an electrical impulse in order to contract, that the cells permitting those contractions will not work without electricity, yet the heart, a mere piece of muscle, is able to produce the required electricity.

Darwinists never explain how the protein keratin can produce structures such as hair and nails, with completely different properties, over the course of a lifetime, without ever making a mistake.

In maintaining that collagen, a protein that produces both smooth elastic skin and hard, tough bones came into being by chance,
Darwinists never realize that this protein occurs in just those regions where it is needed, in a literally intelligent manner, producing skin in some locations and bone in others.

Darwinists never realize how the brain, which they maintain emerged by chance, functions without interruption, and with no nerves becoming tangled.

Darwinists
never realize how
atoms, which they

maintain behave in a random manner, come to constitute the tear gland.

Darwinists never stop to wonder how the eyebrows, which they maintain came into being through unconscious processes, decide to stop growing after reaching a specific length.

Darwinists never realize how the body, which they maintain came into being by chance, formed a brain, eyes, a skeletal system and joints; and cells possessing a nucleus, mitochondria and DNA.

Darwinists may write books about anatomy and employ fre-

quent Latin terminology, but are still unable to explain how these cells came together in such an ordered manner.


Darwinists never realize that while various cells in the stomach secrete acids to break down foodstuffs, other cells alongside them secrete sticky mucus which coats the surface of the stomach, shielding it against those acids and preventing enzymes from damaging it.


Darwinists never appreciate that the stomach acids, powerful enough to dissolve a razorblade, could destroy the stomach in a very short time. For this reason, stomach acids and the mucus to protect the stomach against them must have co-existed together from the very beginning.

The empty stomach contains an enzyme known as pepsinogen which has no digestive properties. But with the arrival of foodstuffs, stomach cells begin producing hydrochloric acid (HCL), which immediately alters the structure of the pepsinogen in the stomach, converting it into a very powerful enzyme known as pepsin. This immediately breaks down the foodstuffs in the stomach. It is totally impossible for a fluid that is completely harmless when the stomach is empty, but which turns into a powerful destructive agent when the stomach is full, to have come into being by chance.

Darwinists never admit that a membrane known as the periton in the outer tissue of the stomach protects it from friction caused by rubbing against the intestine as it carries out its digestive functions. The slippery fluid secreted by this membrane works as a kind of external lubricant for the stomach and intestines, protecting these organs from friction as they rub against one another.

Darwinists never realize that a group of special cells in one small part of the small intestine are charged with trapping Vitamin B12. Throughout the course of our lives, they distinguish between Vitamin B12 and trillions of other molecules inside the body. They trap the B12 and lets it enter the bloodstream and reach the bone marrow for the production of blood. How can intestinal cells realize the importance of





the Vitamin B12 they transport? How do they differentiate between this and trillions of other molecules?

er knows that the stomach does not dissolve fats. It produces the special secretion known as bile when fatty foods reach the intestine, at exactly the right time and the right location.

Darwinists never realize that melanin, which gives the eye its color, provides extra protection by filtering out various spectra of light that would damage the retina, nor how melanin decided to do this.

Darwinists Never Realize That the Stone Age Is a Lie

Darwinists never acknowledge that at the very time when they claim that "primitive humans" were alive, there is proof that the people of the time actually enjoyed a civilization not so very different from our own. They used forks and spoons, welcomed guests, and led regular, settled lives.

- Darwinists never consider that buttons carved out of bone used circa 10,000 BCE are evidence that the people of that time wore sophisticated clothing culture, and that any culture that employed buttons must also have known about sewing and weaving.
- Darwinists never consider how a 95,000-year-old flute is proof that people living tens of thousands of years ago appreciated music, and that there is no such thing as primitive man
- Darwinists never consider how people of circa 10,000 BCE used copper implements, indicating that therefore, their society must have known about smelting and have had the technical know-how to extract copper from rock and then work it.
- Darwinists never consider how the smooth finish on the surface of stones—from what they describe as from the Stone Age and claim to be the products of a primitive culture—is not the result of polish, because polish cannot survive intact for thousands of years. This patina was produced by using steel tools on the surface of the rock—a task requiring great skill.
- Darwinists never question how a metal bowl was crafted from an alloy of zinc and silver 100,000 years ago, as reported in Scientific American magazine in 1852. The bouquets of flowers, bunches of grapes and other designs on it are the product of an advanced artistry. Clearly, the people who produced that alloy had developed a culture capable of working metal.
- Darwinists never consider that a temperature of 1,084.5oC is needed to extract molten metal turn copper ore, that bellows or some other device is essential to ensure a flow of air during that process. A kiln must be constructed to maintain such a temperature, and that tools such as ladles and tongs to extract the metal from the kiln must also be in existence. For these reasons, people who lived in the past can in no way be regarded as primitive. (Also see Harun Yahya, A Historical Lie: The Stone Age, Istanbul: Global Publishing, 2006.)

Harun Yahya





These buttons made from bone and dating back to around 10,000 BC show that the people of the time had a clothing culture. But Darwinists refuse to admit that such a superior culture ever existed.

This necklace, made from stones and shells and dating back to the Late Neolithic, shows that such concepts as art, aesthetics and technology existed at that time. But Darwinists do not think about what these findings tell us.



Evolutionists maintain that in the period between 11,000 and 7,000 BC human beings had only just adopted a settled mode of life and became civilized. The fact is, however, that tools from that time prove the existence of a flawless culture and civilization. The evidence for these societies that made use of technology for a settled lifestyle, knew about medicine and art and were highly civilized totally refutes Darwinists' claims.

Adnan Oktar



These stones, dating back to around 10,000 BC, were used as a kind of bead. Darwinists never think that the perfect holes in the stones could never have been made by smashing one against another, or of the fact that a technology employing iron and steel were used.

These pins and awl are evidence that the imaginary time known as the Stone Age never happened. But this fact is ignored by Darwinists.



These 95,000year-old whistles show that people at that time had a highly developed sense of music. To put in another way, the idea of the Stone Age is a Darwinist deception. Darwinists never consider how countless discoveries, such as the remains of a metal bowl dating back 100,000 years, metal objects dating back 2,8 billion years, an iron bowl estimated to be 300 million years old, fragments of textiles dating back 27,000 years—plus metal remains showing that people in Europe were melting such metals as magnesium and platinum thousands of years ago—have entirely discredited the evolutionist deception of primeval peoples.

Darwinists never acknowledge how many discoveries belonging to ancient humans have been ignored by evolutionist scientists or else concealed in museum basements. The public has been given histories of early human societies that are entirely the product of evolutionists' imaginations, rather than the true history of mankind.

Darwinists never consider how the performance of brain surgery during the time they refer to as the Stone Age, and the discov-



A hammer head from 10.000 BC

The bracelets above date back to around 9000-8500 BC; the one on the left is made of marble, and that on the right from basalt. In order for such extremely hard substances as basalt and marble to be made into smooth, round coils, sharp, shaping tools made from steel have to be used. Therefore, these objects from a time when Darwinists claim only stone tools were being used, refute all their claims.

ery of adornments and the raw materials for dyes from excavations dating back to a time when they claim that human beings had no knowledge of art, are important evidence that there has never been a "primitive" time in human history.

Darwinists never consider how archaeological findings have revealed that human beings have possessed a cultural understanding since the day they first came into being. Periodic advances, retreats and abrupt changes in that understanding are possible, but do not mean that any evolutionary process ever took place. Instead, they represent evidence of cultural development and change.

Darwinists never consider how the archaeological remains left behind by the people of the past are inconsistent with the anatomical and biological remains that evolutionists maintain should exist—and that this once again totally discredits Darwinist claims on the subject.



The picture shows tools made from obsidian (a kind of volcanic glass) or bone, hooks, and various objects made from stone. These findings indicate that civilized human beings using technology were alive at this time. And this entirely eliminates the myth of evolution.


Darwinists Never Consider the Existence of the Soul

A tyrannical current born of Naturalist and Materialist philosophy will gradually become strong and spread at the end of time by means of materialist philosophy, reaching such a degree that it denies Allah. 40

Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

- Darwinists never realize that throughout their whole lives, they can perceive only the images established by electrical currents in their brains. They can never directly experience the external origins of any image.
- Darwinists never realize that the sounds they hear, the sights they see and the scents they smell are merely a totality of perceptions formed in their brains, and that they can never prove the material existence of any of them.

Darwinists never realize that they have no answer to the question of "Who is it who perceives the outside world?"



Darwinists never realize that the high-quality sound they perceive inside their brains consists merely of electrical signals, and that their transmission can never be accounted for in terms of chance.

Darwinists never realize that materialism cannot explain what does the perceiving in the human mind, and cannot account for the superior "awareness" of mankind.

Darwinists never appreciate that the human brain—the most complex structure in the world and which they imagine to have been brought about by chance—presents to us a perfectly clear and vivid world, and that this sublime mechanism cannot be replicated by unconscious atoms in any manner whatsoever.

But even if you accept that consciousness is something that comes from the brain (and not quite everyone does), and you find a pattern of brain activity that correlates with a conscious experience, there is still a problem. Why should the activity of a mass of neurons feel like anything? Why does pricking your finger feel like pain? Why does a red rose appear red? ⁴¹

New Scientist magazine

Darwinists claim that the brain came into being by chance, yet it functions hundreds of times faster, in a much more complex manner than the fastest computer, and its functioning cannot be replicated electronically.

Darwinists never realize that the attributes that make human beings human, such as emotion, thought, feeling, love and belief, are not the results of activity in the brain's neurons, and there can be no material explanation for them.

Darwinists never realize that the human soul is what rejoices, gets surprised, thinks, analyses, diagnoses, recognizes the sounds it hears, and delights in the image and perfume of a rose.

Darwinists never realize that materialism is invalidated in the light of the human soul, of whose existence there is full evidence, and evolution can never account for the existence of that soul.


Darwinists never realize that concepts such as love, loyalty, friendship and honesty can never be explained in materialistic terms, and that the fictitious mechanisms of evolution can bring no light to bear on them.

A coding system always entails a nonmaterial intellectual process. Physical matter cannot produce an information code. All experiences show that every piece of creative information represents some mental ef-

fort and can be traced to a personal ideagiver who exercised his own free will, and who is endowed with an intelligent mind.

 \dots No known law of nature, no known process and no known sequence of events can cause information to originate by itself in matter. \dots ⁴²

Prof. Werner Gitt, director of the German Physics and Technology Institute



Darwinists never realize that because coincidences have no consciousness, they cannot create art and beauty, nor intelligent and conscious human beings who hear, see, smell and touch, and who understand beauty, art and science.


Darwinists never realize that the way that the colorful images forming through electrical signals in the brain, in complete darkness, is a miracle that cannot be explained in terms of coincidences.

Given the structure of the brain, Darwinists never realize how and where a function such as memory emerges when expected and required.

Darwinists never understand how it is that, while they sleep, they can dream of a sky radiant with the brightness of the Sun's rays. They can feel chilled and dampened by rain, smell the enchanting fragrance of a rose and eat the most delicious foods they can never have enough of—all inside of a 1,300 to 1,400 nerve node inside the darkness of the brain.

[T]he information contained in the genetic code, like all information or messages, is not made of matter. . . . The meaning is not a property of the arrangement of the symbols or alphabet of the code. The message or meaning in the genetic code is non-material and cannot be reduced to a physical or chemical property. 43 Dean Overman

The interior of the brain is pitch black. But we see a vivid, brightly colored world within our brains. Darwinists are reluctant to think about the fact that we live in an image that the soul that perceives, takes pleasure and rejoices in.



Darwinists Never Admit that All Their Claims Over the Last 160 Years Are Invalid

But just in proportion as this process of extermination has acted on an enormous scale, so must the number of intermediate varieties, which have formerly existed, be truly enormous. Why, then, is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediate links?

Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain; and this, perhaps, is the most obvious and serious objection which can be urged against my theory. 44 Charles Darwin

Darwinists never consider that not one single intermediate-form fossil exists to corroborate their theory of evolution.


Darwinists never consider how a large part of the Earth's rock strata has been examined and that, despite all the fossils unearthed and progress in the science of palaeontology, evolutionists still have not found one example that they can submit as evidence.


Darwinists never admit that comparing fossils millions of years old with living specimens once again reveals the invalidity of the theory of evolution.

Darwinists never admit that every piece of research they perform in order to support the theory of evolution ends in disappointment. Every discovery they make reveals more proofs refuting evolution. With life as shown in the fossil record remaining unchanged for millions of years, and with the facts shown by science, it becomes clear that Allah has created the universe and all living things.

Darwinists imagine that so-called "living fossils" prove that a process of evolution took place on Earth, but in fact, these fossils reveal a natural history that is the exact opposite of Darwin's theory. They prove that living species did not emerge gradually from a process of evolution, but were created with their flawless forms in a single moment.

The Cambrian Explosion has attracted the interest of scientists since Darwin (1859) wrote that "the sudden manner in which several groups of species first appear in our European formations—[and] the almost entire absence, as at present





known, of formations rich in fossils beneath Cambrian strata—are undoubtedly of the most serious nature." 45

Richard Ellis, evolutionist marine biologist

Darwinists never realize that far from proving their own claims, the fossils of complex invertebrates such as snails, trilobites, sponges, sea urchins, crustaceans and sea lilies in Cambrian rock beds prove that living things appeared suddenly and with no forebears before them, and that they demolish the myth of Darwin's evolutionary tree.

There is no need to apologize any longer for the poverty of the fossil record. In some ways, it has become almost unmanageably rich, and discovery is outpacing integration. \dots The fossil record nevertheless continues to be composed mainly of gaps. ⁴⁶

T. Neville George, professor of Paleontology

Darwinists never realize that these words, "This follows from the extreme difficulty or rather impossibility of conceiving this immense and wonderful universe, including man, with his capacity of looking far backward and far into futurity, as the result of blind chance or necessity." actually belong to Charles Darwin. ⁴⁷

Darwinists claim that there are functionless vestigial organs in the human body and those of other living things, but never realize that such organs are a misinterpretation that arose from the medical ignorance in the past. These organs, regarded as vestigial by Darwin and his followers, actually have very important functions.

Darwinists even maintained that a large part of DNA was functionless junk. Yet they never admit that these past claims too stemmed from scientific ignorance. With advances in genetics, the functions of these genes have been revealed.

Darwinists imagine that variations within living species, such as the different beak structures among the finches living on the

Galapagos Islands, is powerful evidence for evolution. Yet they never realize that changes on the micro level, such as the differences between finches' beaks, can never produce new biological information such as new organs, and that these are therefore no proof of evolution.

Darwinists never realize that all the mutations carried out in experiments on fruit flies have produced only sickly and deformed specimens. No useful or advantageous mutation has ever been observed. Nor can new species ever be produced through mutations under the control of scientists. Rather than providing evidence for evolution, all this is further proof that evolution never took place.

Were you told that there was fierce competition among animals? Have you always watched scenes of bloody fighting accompanied by rousing music in documentaries? Were you taught how it is "natural" for the strong to crush the weak? Were you even shamelessly told that since that is a law of nature, it applies to human beings, too? The fact is that within-species fighting among animals very seldom ends in death. It is generally limited; for example, if the antlers of two stags were to become entwined, they might both starve to death Other forms of combat are also generally for show and usually short-lived. When one participant realizes it cannot defeat the other, it withdraws. The victor may feel



For Darwinists. mutations are a false god that works miracles. The fact is. however, that there are countless instances documenting the harmful characteristics of mutations, but not a single finding of any improvements caused by mutations.

a certain pride for a time, but does not vaunt it for a whole lifetime, and when it calms down, it returns to its lair or to the mate for whose sake it became involved in fighting in the first place. 48

A. Murat Aytekin, evolutionist biologist

Darwinists never realize the fact that some fossils that they portray as ancestors of the horse are actually far younger than it. There cannot have been any transition of the kind claimed by evolutionists, and that the scientific claims regarding the horse's evolution are a complete deception.

Darwinists never discuss the evolutionist scientist Ernst Haeckel, who claimed that the forms of human fetuses in the womb undergo the supposed evolutionary process as other living things—that

the human embryo has gills that subsequently disappear. But Haeckel resorted to fraud in his illustrations, sought to depict embryos as similar to one another by altering his drawings of them. His claims have been dismissed as a scientific hoax.

Darwinists claim that human beings and apes are descended from a common ancestor and that there exist fossil remains and traces of supposed ape-men. They never realize that all the fossils supposedly representing human ancestors either belong to an extinct species of ape or else to different human races.



It was realized that the Industrial Revolution moths for years depicted as evidence for evolution were nothing more than Darwinist sleight of hand and propaganda.




Darwinists imagine that Great Britain's well-known Industrial Revolution moths are evidence of evolution by way of natural selection. Yet they never realize that once this tale was proved to be a scientific fraud, yet another of evolutionists' supposed proofs lost all credibility.

The central problem resolves around the adaptive value or not of intermediate stages. For natural selection to work, intermediate steps have to confer greater reproductive success. Hence, it is legitimate to ask, in a model of selection acting alone, what use is half a wing? 49

Gabriel Dover, evolutionist professor of Genetics

- In claiming that the different organs in living species emerged gradually, Darwinists maintain that, for example, a reptile's legs gradually evolved into wings. Yet they never realize that a limb that is half-foreleg and half-wing will serve no purpose at all. A creature with such limbs could not survive, and that therefore, such a species would die out before it could develop any further.
- The central problem resolves around the adaptive value or not of intermediate stages. For natural selection to work, intermediate steps must confer greater reproductive success. Hence, it is legitimate to ask, in a model of selection acting alone, what use is a half-developed wing?
- Darwinists never consider how outdated the theory of evolution is, having first been proposed in the technological environment of the mid-1800s.
- In claiming that species evolved from one another, Darwinists never realize that the fossil record has never produced a single piece of evidence to confirm this.
- In claiming that living species increased in number by evolving from one another over long periods of time, Darwinists never realize that all the known phyla,—including several others that since became extinct—emerged suddenly and at the same time in the Cambrian period, before which only the single-celled organisms existed.
 - Darwinists never admit that once the famous fossil



Archaeopteryx is a flying bird, not an intermediate form. Darwinists never think how this 150-million-year-old bird they tried to portray as evidence for evolution in fact, and contrary to all their claims, refutes it.


Archaeopteryx was recognized as a true bird and that there was no reptile able to be proposed as its ancestor, they were left with no evidence to support their claim that birds evolved from reptiles.

Darwinian evolution works by the indirect and inefficient mechanism of natural selection. $^{\rm 50}$

Stephen Jay Gould, evolutionist paleontologist and author

- Darwinists never admit that the fossil record proves that living things have remained unchanged for millions of years.
- Darwinists never realize that the theory of evolution, a fictitious hypothesis put forward under the 19th century's primitive understanding of biology, has never been confirmed by any scientific findings or experiments.
- In claiming that Archaeopteryx is a missing link between reptiles and birds, Darwinists never realize that Archaeopteryx was a bird in every way.
- In suggesting that Archaeopteryx was a partly evolved bird, Darwinists never realize that birds were alive in the same period, and even earlier.

- Darwinists never admit that the Cambrian Period definitively demolishes the concept of gradual, chance development over a long period of time, which is the fundamental claim of the theory of evolution.
- Since Darwinists deny the existence of Allah and creation, they never recognize that the way that the Earth was filled with a great many living things with different bodily structures and complex organs, all at the same time, is proof that these organisms were created.
- Darwinists can never answer the question of how the flawless structure of wings emerged as the result of a succession of random mutations. In short, a reptile's front legs can never turn into wings as the result of some impairment or mutation arising in its genes.
- Darwinists never consider that the Cambrian Period demolishes the idea of "gradual, stage by stage, chance development over long periods of time"—the fundamental claim of the theory of evolution—along with the concept of punctuated evolution.



That individual kinds of fossils remain recognizably the same, throughout the length of their occurrence in the fossil record, had been known to paleontologists long before Darwin published his Origin. Darwin himself . . . prophesied that future generations of paleontologists would fill in these gaps by diligent search. . .

. One hundred and twenty years of paleontological research later, it has become abundantly clear that the fossil record will not confirm this part of Darwin's predictions. Nor is the problem a miserably poor record. The fossil record simply shows that this prediction is wrong. 51

Niles Eldredge, a palaeontologist of the American Museum of Natural History, and the anthropologist Ian Tattersall

Darwinists never understand that the variety of living plant species, each with utterly perfect systems, that appeared suddenly in fossils from the Carboniferous period, 360 million years ago, again places evolutionists in an insuperable predicament. A wide variety of fossil plants from this period are little different from plant species living today.

In claiming that a fish that emerged from the sea evolved into a reptile, through an evolutionary process that lasted millions of years, Darwinists never recognize that a fish cannot survive on dry land for more than a few minutes before dying.

Darwin himself wondered, "First, why, if species have descended from other species by fine gradations, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms?" But Darwinists never consider why, some 160 years later, not a single intermediate form has ever been found.

Darwinists never realize that the inconsistencies set out by Charles Darwin around 160 years ago in the chapter "Difficulties on Theory" in his Origin of Species still apply today, and that those inconsistencies have even increased with the advances in biology.

Darwinists never realize that no new species can result by way of natural selection, only fitter members of the same species.

Darwinists never realize that no new species can be produced

by way of mutations either.

Unfortunately, large numbers of well-trained scientists whose disciplines lie outside of evolutionary biology and paleontology have gotten the idea that the fossil record is far more Darwinian than it is. This probably arises from the oversimplification inevitable in secondary sources: low-level textbooks, semi-popular articles, and the like. Also, some wishful thinking is probably involved. In the years after Darwin died, his advocates hoped to find the progressions he had predicted. These have not been found—yet the optimism has died hard, and some pure fantasy has crept into textbooks. 53

Science magazine

Darwinists never realize that it is impossible for the reptile's lung, with its two-way passage of air, to evolve into a bird's lung with its one-directional flow, because there can be no intermediate model between the two. Such an intermediate vertebrate whose lungs do not function fully could not breathe, and would survive for longer than a few minutes.

In claiming that the reptilian jaw and ear gradually turned into the mammal jaw and ear, Darwinists never realize that there is no answer to how this came about. In particular, it is impossible for an ear with a single bone to turn into one consisting of three bones, and for the sense of hearing to be preserved during the transition.

Darwinists never realize that the variations they have regarded for half a century or so as evidence of evolution have in fact nothing to do with the origin of species. For instance, though different breeds of cattle can be obtained by different genetic combinations over a period of years, cattle will never develop into another species, such as giraffes or elephants.

Darwinists never realize that "homology" is a superficial hypothesis based solely upon external appearances. It has not been confirmed by any concrete findings ever since Darwin's day. No fossils of the imaginary ancestors of living things with homologous structures that evolutionists claim must have existed have ever been encountered in any strata on Earth.

LIVING FOSSILS OVERTURNED EVOLUTION

Skate Period Cretaceous Age: 144-65 million years

Region: Lebanon

Darwinists maintain that all living things undergo changes. That is what makes fossil specimens dating back millions of years so important. Living things never evolved or changed. While

just one single living fossil proves that, the Earth is filled with countless examples. This 130-million-year-old skate is one of these highly significant specimens.

Sea Lily

Period: Carboniferous Age: 345 million years

Region: Missouri,

USA





The picture shows a perfectly preserved 345-million-year-old sea lily. The fact that this living thing has remained unchanged for millions of years is sufficient to obliterate the theory of evolution.

Adnan Oktar





The 50-million-year-old ginkgo leaf fossil in the picture is identical to present-day ginkgo leaves. Darwinists ignore the fact that living things have remained unchanged for millions of years and do not think about this important fact.





Tortoise


Period: Oligocene Age: 23-37 million years Region: Nebraska, USA

Living things never evolved, but were created by Almighty Allah, Who displays the evidence of this everywhere. No thinking, rational person will have any difficulty in seeing this.



Rabbit Skull Period: Oligocene Age: 33 million years

Region: White River Formation, Wyoming, USA



Our Lord has created all living things by commanding them to

"Be!" The 33-million-year-old rabbit fossil in the picture once again confirms this truth by being identical to present-day rabbits. The fossil record has declared the invalidity of the theory of evolution. Darwin's expectation of intermediate forms has ended in countless examples of Creation. But Darwinists never think about the fact of their defeat.

Adnan Oktar



Globe Fish Period: Jurassic Age: 150 million years

Region: Solnhofen Formation, Germany



The picture shows a 150-million-year-old globe fish. This living thing, identical in structure and appearance to present-day globe fish, once again reveals that evolution is a figment of the imagination.






This 58-million-year willow leaf also performed such a complex process as photosynthesis and reproduced in the same way as present-day willows and has remained unchanged for millions of years. This stasis inflicts terrible despair on evolutionists and once again reveals the fact that Allah creates all living things.



The preservation of a 50-million-year-old sequoia leaf, one of the largest trees on Earth, verifies that the plant has undergone no chances. Living things never evolved, but were brought into being, created, out of nothing. Darwinists witness how there is a stunning creation in every point in the universe, but they never think about it.



May Fly Period: Cretaceous Age: 125 million years Region: China



The theory of evolution is unable to explain even a single piece of DNA possessed by a mayfly. Yet the fossil record shows that these organisms were living with all the characteristics they have today, some 125 million years ago. The fossil record, Darwinism's greatest hope, has totally discredited the theory of evolution.

Wasp

Period: Eocene

Age: 54-37 million years

Region: Green River Formation, USA





Darwinism thrives in a climate of ignorance. It suggests that intermediate form fossils exist when

they do not. It constantly offers up "false evidence." Yet Darwinists are confronted by "genuine evidence" obtained from all over the world. The fact that this 50-million-year-old wild bee is identical to present-day specimens is by itself enough to show this. But the impression Darwinists seek to give is a far cry from this reality.

Chapter 3

Psychological Reasons Why Darwinism Deceives the World

"I believe that one day the Darwinian myth will be ranked the greatest deceit in the history of science"... 54

Søren Løvtrup

Darwinism is built on an exceedingly primitive logical premise. All the adherents of the theory, not excepting Darwin himself, act from a highly superficial understanding. They never go into researching any detail, and are reluctant to think about the glorious systems that each of those details displays. Their logic is simplistic: "First there was the Big Bang, and the universe then formed through a series of coincidences," they say. But they never pause to consider how atoms—with their highly complex structure and the working of whose sub-particles is still not fully understood—came into being in the first place.

They never question how giant galaxies with their own highly delicate systems, and the particular conditions on the Earth on which we live, could have come into being by chance, as they maintain. "A protein formed spontaneously in a bit of slime, and that protein one day



dences that occurred spontaneously over the course of exceedingly long periods of time. "Chance" is a word endowed with magical properties for the Darwinist mindset. The answer to any question you might put to a Darwinist will be, "Chance managed it somehow."

Darwinists ascribe an extraordinary power to coincidences, and also imagine that some learned scholars know all the secrets of Darwinism. They imagine that these people will one day stand up and produce all the scientific evidence that has so far proved totally elusive, and thus prove evolution as an absolute fact. They are utterly mistaken, however. This expectation has survived since Darwin's day.

Darwin established his theory on a logical weakness and dreamed that the evidence would one day be found to eliminate the major dilemmas facing his theory. That hope was to be dashed however, in the same way as the hopes of modern-day Darwinists: The theory of evolution has not been proved by 21st century science and technology. On the contrary, they have utterly refuted it. Yet Darwinist scientists ignore that fact. Because what matters for them is to keep the theory on its feet, despite having been conclusively disproved. It is not science and the facts that science demonstrates that count, but their devotion to Darwinism, to which they cling like a religion.

The American professor Phillip Johnson describes the true face of this devotion to Darwinism:

The leaders of science see themselves as locked in a desperate battle against religious fundamentalists, a label which they tend to apply broadly to anyone who believes in a Creator Who plays an active role in worldly affairs. These fundamentalists are seen as a threat to liberal freedom, and especially as a threat to public support for scientific research. As the Creation myth of scientific nat-

For Darwinists, chance is a false god that works miracles. The fact is, however, that chance cannot give rise to beautiful flowers, technology, butterflies, birds, grapes, strawberries, human beings, or even a single cell. But Darwinism ignores this huge logical weakness.



uralism, Darwinism plays an indispensable ideological role in the war against fundamentalism. For that reason, the scientific organizations are devoted to protecting Darwinism rather than testing it, and the rules of scientific investigation have been shaped to help them succeed. 55

Among the methods they most commonly resort to are scientific hoaxes, lies and deceit, all of which have been unmasked time and time again. They take advantage of the fact that most people are unaware of the defeat suffered by the theory of evolution at the hands of scientific findings and they offer their own spurious evidence. These fake proofs are portrayed as scientific data that cannot possibly be denied. A whole string of lies and deceits are maintained as if they represented information whose truth cannot be doubted for a moment. At the same time, they give the impression that there's absolutely no need to question the claims they come up with.

Many soon fall victim to this indoctrination and begin regarding the theory of evolution as an inseparable component of science.


Due to this intense propaganda, many of them are unaware that there is still not one single intermediate-form fossil to show that evolution ever happened. Others believe that such fossils must exist, but are kept under lock and key somewhere. A great many do not know that the extinct life form Archaeopteryx was actually a bird, just like those flying around today. Some are still unaware that the Coelacanth, for years portrayed as an intermediate-form fossil and thus, as evidence for evolution, has been shown to be a bottom-dwelling fish with highly complex characteristics, that is still living in the deep seas today. Some imagine that multi-celled life forms emerged one day from a collection of mud, and even think that frogs can appear spontaneously from within pools of water.


Others, completely misled by Darwinian indoctrination, believe that reptiles grew wings and turned into birds as they chased after insects. But again, because of Darwinist indoctrination, they never wonder how the insects these reptiles were chasing were already able to fly,



beating their wings up to 500 times a second. That is because Darwinism is an ideology based on thinking as little as possible. It actually encourages people not to pay attention to detail, but to believe blindly whatever they are told. The truth can be established only when the facts regarding these matters are made fully public. When the fossil record is placed in the open; when the structure of the cell is made clear for all to see; when the discoveries made in countless branches of science such as paleontology, biology, anatomy, zoology, botany, biogenetics and biomathematics are shown to people, then they will see the true face of Darwinism and immediately realize that the indoctrination to which they have been exposed for so long consists of no more than a myth.

As you have seen, Darwinism is grounded in speculation, false evidence, and fictitious events alleged to have come about by chance. Darwinism is a huge mass deception, a trick played on all of humanity.





funny were perceived as scientific facts. The theory of evolution is promoted in a way that recognizes no ethical principles and rejects such concepts as respect, honesty, and scientific accuracy.

The psychological reasons why Darwinism deceived the world can be listed as follows:

Putting on a Scientific Show

Evolutionary biology has been severely hampered by a speculative style of argument that records anatomy and ecology and then tries to construct historical or adaptive explanations for why this bone looked like that or why this creature lived here. These speculations have been charitably called "scenarios"; they are often more contemptuously, and rightly, labeled "stories." Scientists know that these tales are stories; unfortunately, they are presented in the professional literature where they are taken too seriously and literally. ¹⁵⁶

Stephen Jay Gould, evolutionist and paleontologist

One of the best-known deception techniques is the Darwinist lie that evolution is a scientific concept and that science supports the theory of evolution. The theory is described as a turning point in the history of science, and those who oppose the tenets of evolution are condemned as being anti-scientific. In fact, however, this is a terrible deception that has nothing to do with the facts. The theory of evolution is a dogma that emerged as an inevitable requirement of materialist philosophy. Darwinism is a kind of shamanistic religion. In the same way that shamanism is based on the worship of various natural forces, so is nature worshipped in Darwinism, as an entity with mysterious and mythical powers. It believes that minerals, the Sun, lightning and wind combined together in a coincidental manner to give rise to life and suggests that everyone believe this scenario. Darwinism does not present the scientific evidence and then debate whether or not the theory is true, but puts on a show, using scientific terminology, to give the impression that the theory is valid.

Every single Darwinist publication claims that the theory of evo-

lution is scientific. Yet Darwinism has been unable to produce the slightest shred of scientific evidence to support any of its claims. Darwinism has not been able to explain how a single cell has arisen. The mechanisms by which thousands of different life forms allegedly evolved from a single cell have not been demonstrated scientifically. Not a single example has ever been offered to support the fictitious claim that one species can turn into another. There are no findings to prove the longstanding evolutionary myths of fish turning into amphibians or reptiles into birds. Not one single transitional-form fossil has turned up in any of the excavations carried out over the last 150 years. Moreover, the theory of evolution is silent in the face of new discoveries made by the science of genetics.

In short, there is nothing scientific about the theory of evolution. Science totally refutes the theory of evolution. Astronomy, biology, paleontology, geology, physics, chemistry, geophysics, embryology and the like are all sciences. Darwinism is not; it is a primitive belief system. Darwinists use borrowed scientific facts to support their propaganda. The ways they misuse science are all too familiar:

- 1. First of all, they propagate the idea that the public cannot understand scientific matters, that only a handful of elite scientists can do so,
- 2. In their writings and lectures, they use scientific terms that most people are unfamiliar with in order to strengthen that indoctrination,
- 3. They use lengthy, complicated formulae, Latin terms and expressions, and an opaque and turgid style to give the impression that they are discussing something very complex. Even though what they are saying is complete violation of logic, still they make many people believe it is wholly scientific,
- 4. By frequently quoting from Darwinist scientists, academics and evolutionist publications, they seek to give the impression that the whole scientific community accepts evolution. They hope thereby to cover up the fact that evolution actually conflicts with science. Their



Darwinist publications are the evolution deceit's most important propaganda tools. Misleading headlines and supposedly convincing scientific terminology are intended to portray evolution as a scientific reality. But these publications contain not a single piece of evidence to confirm evolution.

aim is to give the impression that this theory is a reality accepted by all scientific authorities.

Phillip E. Johnson summarizes these Darwinist techniques:

The theory is sustained largely by a propaganda campaign that relies on all the usual tricks of rhetorical persuasion: hidden assumptions, question-begging statements of what is at issue, terms that are vaguely defined and change their meaning in mid-argument, attacks on straw men, selective citation of evidence, and so on. The theory is also protected by its cultural importance. ⁵⁷

Phillip E. Johnson

In fact, however, neither Latin words, nor grammatical constructions, nor quotes from any number of evolutionist academics can erase the insoluble predicament in which evolution finds itself. The scientific defeat that evolution has suffered may possibly be concealed for a while longer, but the facts will eventually come out, because evolutionist references have no scientific data to support them. All there is in Darwinist journals, books, newspapers, television programs and conferences is speculation of one kind or another by various evolutionists. But when all is said and done, all these are still mere hypotheses—or rather speculation. When you ask Darwinists on what scientific basis they rely, they will answer you with a profound silence.

It needs to be emphasized yet again that the weighty, incomprehensible language and technical style Darwinists that employ presents no evidence for the theory of evolution. The sole reason for their using this technique is to convince the public that evolution is scientific. But the more people grasp the true nature of the theory of evolution and see that it is based on deception, the more these fraudulent methods will lose credibility and influence.

A human being created from a single sperm is one of the signs of Allah . . . Just think what your condition was before and what it has become! Could men and jinn come together to create an eye, or an ear, or intellect, or power, or knowledge or the soul from sperm? Would they have the power to make bones, nerves, skin, and hair? Even if they wished to understand the nature and spirit of man, the essence of his existence, after these had been created by Allah, they would still be unable to do so. . . . Look at Allah's blessings and foresight, that glorious power and wisdom, and how they enfold humanity. How amazing it is that someone who sees a picture on a wall, or an attractive piece of calligraphy or embroidery will stop to admire them, to wonder how the artist had made them, and to say what great artistry they represent, will heedlessly fail to think about this glorious Universe and the entities created by Allah, and His artistry and wisdom, when he sees them! ⁵⁸

Imam Gahazali

Various Professors and Scientists Support the Theory

That certain scientists are Darwinists may give rise to the erroneous impression that the whole scientific world believes in the theory of evolution, and that it's therefore a scientific fact. Indeed, a great many people in the scientific community have been taken in by Darwinist indoctrination. But their devotion to Darwinism is not the result of any scientific approach. Since the theory of evolution provides a basis for materialism and atheism, it leads people to adopt Darwinism for ideological reasons. Many know full well that when the invalidity of Darwinism is exposed, materialism will also die, for which reason they embrace Darwinism with all their might, even though it violates reason, science and logic.

In an article evaluating claims made by the Marxist zoologist Richard Lewontin, Phillip Johnson describes how Darwinism is espoused out of materialist concerns:


Darwinism is based on an "a priori" commitment to materialism, not on a philosophically neutral assessment of the evidence. Separate the philosophy from the science, and the proud tower collapses. When the public understands this clearly, Lewontin's Darwinism will start to move out of the science curriculum and into the department of intellectual history, where it can gather dust on the shelf next to Lewontin's Marxism. ⁵⁹

Phillip Johnson

Unaware of this, a large section of the public thinks that these people espouse evolution out of loyalty to science. But Darwinists' loyalties actually lie with the dogma of materialism, not with science.

Professor of Biochemistry Michael Behe describes how the scientific community has adopted evolution because of its materialist world view and how science is portrayed to the public:

So information about the way the universe works almost invariably passes through a rigid materialistic filter before it reaches the general public. The scien-



tific literature reflected this sense of understanding. Papers commonly talked about the model, either in general or regarding particular aspects of it, as known and secure events of nature. Textbooks followed suit by communicating this comprehension to students. Such descriptions did not highlight, or commonly even mention, the immense lacunae of ignorance, the unanswered questions about the model's fidelity to nature. Instead they gave the impression that it was all known, or at least almost all known, a certified product of laboratory research. . . . When evidence was reported that did not seem to fit the theory, it, not the theory, became suspect. . . . The problem is rather socially contagious materialism, spread more by social pressure than by rational argument. 60

Michael Behe, Professor of Biochemistry

The social pressure that Behe refers to is intensely felt in the academic world. In many countries, Darwinist academics are supported in schools and universities while efforts are made to obstruct the careers of those who believe in Allah. Because they reject Darwinism, their books and articles are excluded from scientific publications. They are also accused of backwardness, even though nothing could be further from the truth. In most European countries, if scientists wish to pursue an academic career, they must turn a blind eye to Darwinist nonsense, or even support it. Otherwise it is very difficult to make progress in an academic career, or even to obtain permanent tenure in universities.

Evolutionist scientists have established a kind of dictatorship in universities and academia. Fierce criticism emerges from most of the scientific world, especially from those academic bodies and institutions where the materialist mindset predominates, when scientists who believe in Allah put forward scientific evidence for Creation. But no such opposition is voiced to atheist or materialist propaganda. That is why some scientists remain silent, even though they are perfectly aware of the invalidity of evolution; out of concern for their future or fear of losing their jobs.

As you have seen, ideological concerns or pressure and intimidation underlie the lip service that the scientific world gives to evolution. The idea that the world of science supports evolution—so it must be a theory that's been tested and whose truth has been established with scientific data—is utterly misleading. This deception is the result of the psychological warfare techniques that Darwinists employ, and in no way reflects the true state of affairs. In addition, contrary to what Darwinists persist in maintaining, not all the scientific world is evolutionist. Despite all these methods of intimidation and pressure, some scientists, academics and professors still openly express their opposition to evolution. They expose the dilemmas facing the theory and attempt to impart the facts to the public. What is more, the number of scientists escaping the spell of Darwinism is rising every day.

Despite Darwinist scientists' efforts to influence the public, Darwinism's falsehoods and deceptions are actually in a state of collapse. The most important reason is that despite the efforts of evolutionist scientists, everyone has been warned of the theory's total lack of scientific evidence.

When Abu Hanifa, who lived on the shore opposite Baghdad, failed to take his place at the debate at the appointed time, a number of questions formed in the minds of his unbelieving opponents and the crowd. Everyone was curious Why has he not come? Won't he be coming? Is he afraid? Has he been unable to find any proofs?. Shortly after the appointed time, Imam-ul-A'zam arrived. His opponent was greatly encouraged, and his denial and arrogance had grown.

Abu Hanifa apologized and began explaining why he was late. "I was unable to find a boat to carry me here from the other shore. I began waiting. I thought that a rowing boat or other small conveyance might arrive and that I could cross on that. Just then, I saw that all the trees had fallen over. I witnessed that a boat had formed spontaneously from the bits of wood lying about. I also saw that there were a sail and oars. I was delighted not to have to keep you waiting and jumped into the boat. The boat then spontaneously carried me here."... His opponent and the multitude were baffled. His opponent, an advocate of naturalism who claimed that everything was created by nature, said that it was impossible for such an event to take place in the manner described. The Imam smiled and replied: "Since you deny the possibility of even a small boat coming into being

spontaneously with no builder or artist, how can you believe that the whole glorious universe appeared spontaneously with no Creator? The universe is the work of Allah, not of the universe itself. There is no point in starting a debate and argument over the existence of Allah when there is so much evidence in plain sight." ⁶¹

Al Imam al-Azam Abu Hanifa

They Find It Difficult to Believe in Allah

People who see the impossibility of the delicate balances in the Universe and the complex structures in living things coming into existence by chance can see that these are the work of a Mind, in other words, Allah's. Yet some people, out of ignorance, misconception and distorted logic, find it difficult to believe in Him. One of the chief reasons is that they regard themselves as superior beings and are reluctant to believe in any Creator greater than themselves. (Allah is beyond this.)

The root causes of this hesitation are fears of thinking about the Day of Reckoning and the Hereafter, and a desire to avoid the responsibilities that these impose. Belief in Almighty Allah, the Omniscient and the Lord of All, and acceptance of His sublime existence, brings with it the need to believe in the Hereafter and to worship Him. People who believe in these things will know that they are responsible for everything they do in this world, and will behave accordingly. They will strive to avoid the selfish desires of earthly passions, to use their free will, and to be ethical and of sound conscience under all circumstances.

This state of affairs—a source of spiritual and material wealth and beauty and one which enhances a person's quality and nobility—may seem difficult to some. But the truly difficult thing is to live in violation of one's creation, and to ignore and suppress the voice of one's conscience. The source of the suffering and stress experienced by so many today is the way people stifle their consciences and follow earthly de-




It is Allah Who created the seven
heavens and of the Earth (the same
number, the Command descending down
through all of them, so that you might
know that Allah has power over all
things and that Allah encompasses
all things in His knowledges
(Surat at-Talag, 12)


sires, and the suggestions those desires put in their minds.

Darwinists, however, are unwilling to believe in Allah and also make use of this flawed mindset in others for their own ends. They hope to obtain a measure of superiority by elevating their own desires to an undeserved level and refusing to believe in Allah, to Whom all power and might actually belong. Out of a terrible ignorance, they regard themselves as divine (Surely Allah is beyond this) and imagine that all events are under their control. They cannot understand that they are ruled by fate and prefer to think about it as little as they possibly can. Maintaining that everything develops by chance, they also claim that they themselves live in a supposedly random and uncontrolled environment. Since they ignore the fact of the Hereafter, they imagine that they will live forever in this world, which they would like to believe is endless, or else they imagine that after they die, they will cease to exist completely.

Since they never reflect on death and the Day of Judgment to follow, they imagine that these events will never happen. They imagine that this approach, the product of a very infantile mindset, will bring them peace of mind throughout their lives.

The theory of evolution, maintaining that life is the work of blind coincidences, represents significant support for those with such a mindset. They imagine that they have provided an alternative to Creation to account for the origin of life, and cling strongly to that belief. A short while later, they come to believe in that lie themselves and continue to espouse the theory of evolution, even though they may clearly see its nonsensical nature, because questioning it would mean abandoning the philosophies and world views on which they've built their whole lives. To admit the invalidity of Darwinism would be to admit that Creation is the only explanation for the universe's formation and the emergence of living things. This is at complete variance with Darwinist ideology, which supports the ideas of irresponsibility and haphazardness.





of our Almighty Lord. No matter how much they claim to live free of responsibility, in the Hereafter they must still account for every moment of their lives. Refusal to believe in Allah and resorting to various deceptions to that end will therefore constitute no remedy, and those methods will do them no good at all.

Thousands of people who now understand the state of collapse in which Darwinism finds itself, have freed themselves from its spell and turned to the truth. Darwinists must also accept the truth, and see that admitting and correcting one's errors is an excellent way of behaving. People who change their mistaken attitudes while they can still do so in this world may hope for our Lord's mercy and compassion in the Hereafter.

One is forced to conclude that many scientists and technologists pay lip service to Darwinian theory only because it supposedly excludes a Creator. . . . 63 Michael Walker, evolutionary anthropologist

If there is a world that contains wisdom, and help, and compassion and justice, of course there is also the Hereafter—like the soul to the body that is the world. Everything in the world looks to that Hereafter. That means that is where we will go. Denying the Hereafter means denying this world and its contents. In the same way as death and the grave await one, so do Paradise and Hell await. ⁶⁴ Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

They Submit False Evidence and Take Advantage of Readers' Trust

Take a complete, illustrated catalogue of London's National Gallery. Shred it into tiny pieces and cast them into the wind from the gallery's steps above Trafalgar Square. Wait a few weeks, then scour the square for surviving scraps of paper. Now try to reconstruct the history of painting from your haul. If you manage to produce a coherent story—schools, styles, genres, named painters and all—you are probably a palaeontologist. ⁶⁵

Henry Gee, evolutionist and editor of Nature magazine

The theory of evolution is devoid of any scientific foundation and is unsupported by any proof. Therefore, Darwinists have sought a solution by using false evidence. The history of Darwinism contains many hoaxes that were later exposed. The most notorious of these are the Piltdown Man "fossil," made up of an orangutan jaw added onto a

human skull and for years depicted as an intermediate form; the Nebraska Man—whose skull and the reconstruction illustrations made from it were based on a single boar tooth; Ota Benga, the native African who was placed in a cage and for years exhibited as another transitional form, and dinosaur fossils with feathers added onto them. Evolutionists have used these false proofs and fantastic tales to convince people of the myth of evolution. The way they produce false evidence to portray a lie as indisputable fact reveals the Darwinian mindset. These hoaxes also reveal that evolutionists' position has been hopeless ever since the theory was first

proposed. Their very despair has led

them to perpetrate lies and hoaxes.

(Top right) Piltdown Man is one example of a forgery, produced by adding an orangutan jaw to a human skull. (Side) Nebraska Man was a reconstruction based on a single molar, subsequently revealed to belong to a wild pig. These evolutionist hoaxes are highly significant evidence in terms of the pay the evolution lie is presented.

FORGERIES

Darwinists have no qualms about producing false evidence and taking unfair advantage of the public's trust in science through the articles and books and the exhibitions they hold. The widespread public expectation that scientists are unbiased maintains the deceptions of the theory of evolution. In so doing, they count on the fact that few laymen have any means of investigating, researching and questioning the fossils presented to them. They are unwilling to admit that not one single fossil supports evolution, that it has proved impossible to produce a cell even in laboratories equipped with 21st-century technology, and that life cannot emerge from inanimate matter. And they are equally unwilling for others to reflect on these facts. They come up with various hypotheses to keep others from considering these truths, then adorn these tales with false evidence and suggest that something that does not really exist at all has an independent existence.

Another technique is to present accurate data together with evolutionary lies. As they read valid, logical accounts of biological and medical matters in evolutionist publications, readers also read fictitious accounts of how anatomical structures came into being spontaneously. When providing accurate technical information about genetics, for example, it is improper to state that this all came about by chance. There are concrete proofs and observations regarding the technical data supplied, but no evidence that the system emerged through evolution. But the reader, combining these two separate strands of information, imagines that evolution is a scientific theory that's been proven in exactly the same way as genetics. The fact of the matter is very different. Findings that actually refute Darwinism are depicted by Darwinists as supporting evolution. This is a deception.

In the 21st century, Darwinists will lose this psychological struggle, and all their deceptions will come to an end. Darwinist ruses have already begun to lose their influence over the scientific community. A great change has been taking place over the last 20 to 30 years in particular, and is also manifesting in the world of science. Scientists in

many countries, and particularly the United States, have begun to admit that Creation is the sole reality indicated. By scientific evidence, the Darwinian spell is being lifted and materialism, its most frequently employed weapon, is being eradicated.

In the words of Stephen M. Barr, science shows the existence of Allah, and more and more people are coming to recognize this every day:

Science has taken us on just such an adventure. Armed not with weapons but with telescopes and particle accelerators, and speaking by the signs and symbols of recondite mathematics, it has brought us to many strange shores and shown us alien and fantastic landscapes. But as we scan the horizon, near the end of the voyage, we have begun to recognize first one and then another of the old familiar landmarks and outlines of our ancestral home. The search for truth always leads us, in the end, back to God. ⁶⁶

Stephen M. Barr, theoretical particle physicist

New fossil discoveries are fitted into this preexisting story. We call these new discoveries "missing links,", as if the chain of ancestry and descent were a real object for our contemplation, and not what it really is: a completely human invention created after the fact, shaped to accord with human prejudices. ⁶⁷

Henry Gee, Editor of Nature magazine,

From our vantage point in the present, we arrange fossils in an order that reflects gradual acquisition of what we see in ourselves. We do not seek the truth, we create it after the fact, to suit our own prejudices. ⁶⁸

Henry Gee, Editor of Nature magazine,

... with many of the animals in this book, there are no photographs of whole animals; there are drawings of largely incomplete skeletons, and no specimens except fossils. So I have had to do what the dinosaur illustrators do: reconstruct the exterior of the animal from the skeleton and make up the skin, scales, feathers or coloration. In a 2000 article entitled "What did dinosaurs really look like . . . and will we ever know?" William Weed wrote, "Dinosaur skeletons are rarely found intact, and figuring out how the scattered bones fit together is not always clear. Then making the leap of placing tissue and skin on those bones is a process fraught with unknowns." ⁶⁹


Richard Ellis, evolutionist marine biologist

The Logic of Darwinism and Materialism Is a Superficial Construct

Materialism is built on the erroneous concept that only matter exists, and there can be no question of any conscious intervention behind it. Everything supposedly takes place at random and in an uncontrolled manner, including a person's life and choices. This world view, devoid of any scientific foundation, maintains that humans inhabit a world in which everything develops at random, and that therefore they bear no responsibilities at all.

The method most frequently employed to reinforce this illogical idea is to ignore fine details and evaluate everything superficially. The Darwinist, materialist perspective has no answers to the questions of Why and How? Rational conclusions that can be drawn with even a very brief and simple logical progression are ignored. Slogans and explanations merely intended to indoctrinate are believed unconditionally, with no attempt to question or reflect on their true significance.

One of the best-known slogans of materialism and Darwinism, kept constantly in the public view, is that life consists of a fight for survival. According to this falsehood, individuals must do all in their pow-



er to adapt to this fictitious struggle and survive as one of the fittest. Humans, supposedly another species of animal, are under no obligation to possess such moral attributes as loyalty, devotion, trustworthiness, conscience, friendship, sacrifice or respect. On the contrary, to survive they must be as ruthless, unloving and selfish as possible. They must crush the weak in order to become stronger and, if necessary, must destroy others for their own survival. Moreover, in an environment where everything is the product of blind chance (which is absolutely not the case), humans have no need to worry about death, what happens next, or the Hereafter. Sheltering behind the concept of absolute matter and avoiding any rational, logical reflection on the Day of Reckoning, they imagine that they can absolve themselves of all responsibility.

In fact, however, materialism, itself proposed under the primitive scientific conditions of the 19th century, is an ideology dating back to ancient Greece, and all of its claims have been disproved. Many fields of science—including astronomy, physics, chemistry, nuclear physics, particle physics and biology—have proven that matter is not timeless and eternal, that the universe was created from nothing, that the delicate balances that sustain it cannot have emerged as the result of blind coincidences, that nothing develops haphazardly, and that metaphysical realities lie above and beyond the physical.

Yet rather than consider these scientific realities and analyze the situation in an unbiased manner, materialists and Darwinists continue to reiterate the same hollow concepts in a highly superficial way. For example, though genetics and paleontology have proved the exact opposite, they still tell tales regarding man's supposedly ape-like forebears. They draw "reconstructions" of half-ape, half-human creatures, describe how these imaginary beings spent their days, and never once

Human beings are entities with souls. Because they bear the spirit of Allah, they know love, affection, compassion, friendship and devotion. They are not created to have no responsibilities, but are tested in this world. They will be held to account for all they do. But since Darwinists regard man as half-animal they are reluctant to admit the fact.



consider whether these imaginings are at variance with scientific findings. They aim to maintain the falsehood that man is no more than an irresponsible animal.

But humans are not created free of all responsibility. They are tested in this world, and will be called to account for all they have done. Humans are servants created by Allah and have a responsibility to Him. The events going on around them do not take place at random. Everything they see and hear is part of the test to which they are subjected. After their deaths, they will be confronted by the reality of the Hereafter and will be unable to return to correct their errors. Everything they did during that lifetime of testing will be raised before them, and they will be judged with total justice and embark on either the eternal life of Paradise or the eternal life of Hell.

Humans will have to account for themselves to Allah. Unlike other living things, they are created in possession of a soul. Allah reveals this in these verses:

He Who has created all things in the best possible way. He commenced the creation of man from clay; then produced his seed from an extract of base fluid; then formed him and breathed His Spirit into him and gave you hearing, sight and hearts. What little thanks you show! (Surat as-Sajda, 7-9)

Darwin's idea [bears] an unmistakable likeness to universal acid: it eats through just about every traditional concept. ⁷⁰ Daniel Dennet, evolutionist professor

Conclusion: Since there is life in this world, those who understand the secret of life and do not misuse their lives will manifest eternal life in the realm of eternity and everlasting Paradise. In this we believe! ⁷¹


Bediuzzaman Said Nursi

Ignoring the Fundamental Dilemmas of Evolution

One important technique employed by the adherents of the theory of evolution is demagoguery regarding such details as the behavior of living things. Yet they ignore the fundamental issues that evolution really should account for. For example, evolutionists pose their own questions such as "Was Neanderthal Man able to speak?" and devote many pages or entire conferences to discussing them. Their aim is to distract their listeners and give the impression that Neanderthal Man has already been proved to be the ancestor of modern man. The only questions remaining are minor details such as whether he was able to talk. (Since the Neanderthals were an extinct human species no different from present-day humans, there's no doubt that they were also able to talk.)

Yet evolutionists are silent when it comes to how the first living cell appeared. No evolutionist references mention how such complex structures as DNA or the eye first came into existence. In any case, it's impossible for them to discuss these matters, because no evolutionary scenario can account for the formation of such complex structures, which can be observed and tested. This means that every baseless scenario can easily be disproved in the laboratory. Well aware of this, evolutionists give the impression that all these issues have been resolved. They view concentrating on the fine details, which are far more suited to manipulations, as the most effective psychological technique.

Darwinists' avoidance of fundamental issues may well be effective with people who lack sufficient knowledge on the subject. But today, people are much more aware of the invalidity of the theory of evolution and have seen the theory's helplessness in accounting for the first cell. As a result, these manipulations have become much less effective. People now expect the theory of evolution, which claims to be able to



tion, possessing no reality and based on no scientific evidence, and are entirely figments of Darwinist scientists' imaginations. Darwinists use these reconstructions as their main propaganda tool.

account for everything, to offer evidence. In the absence of that evidence, they are beginning to grasp the extent of this terrible deception.

Almighty Allah will of course do away with all these snares, and has said as much in verses:

 \dots Allah always confounds the schemes of the disbelievers." (Surat al-Anfal, 18)

Despite the bright promise that paleontology provides a means of "seeing" evolution, it has presented some nasty difficulties for evolutionists, the most notorious of which is the presence of "gaps" in the fossil record. ⁷²


David B. Kitts evolutionist paleontologist,

In any case, no real evolutionist \dots uses the fossil record as evidence in favor of the theory of evolution as opposed to special creation. ⁷³ Mark Ridley, Professor of Zoology

The known fossil record fails to document a single example of phyletic evolution accomplishing a major morphologic transition and hence offers no evidence that the gradualistic model can be valid. ⁷⁴

Steven M. Stanley, evolutionist

Evolutionists have lined up some fossils which seem to fill small gaps between closely related creatures. However, accepting small transitions as evidence in support of the grand evolution scenario is like believing [that] a single stepping stone proves that a bridge once spanned the Mississippi River in the same location. Evolution requires transitional forms between the most basic animal groups . . . yet none exist. Creation asserts that there have never been transitions between basic kinds of life. This is exactly what the fossil record shows. What better evidence for creation could there be? ⁷⁵ Richard L. Kleiss, author




Darwinists' Attempt to Spread Their Magic Spells with Visual Propaganda

Darwinists possess not one shred of scientific evidence with which to support their theories. The fictitious process that, according to evolutionists, took place over millions of years, should have left behind countless traces and remains in the fossil record. But in reality, not one fossil indicates that any such process ever happened. This lack of fossil evidence is so complete that Darwinists have not a single specimen of an intermediate-form fossil, though countless fossil remains show that living things have remained unchanged for millions of years, ever since they were created.

This lack of evidence has impelled Darwinists to give the impression that imaginary fossils actually exist. Since they cannot submit any real evidence, Darwinists instead resort to deceiving people through visual propaganda and indoctrination. One such technique involves reconstructions.

The word reconstruction means "rebuilding" a model or drawing of a life form on the basis of a few fragments of bone. During this completely one-sided process, various fossil discoveries are interpreted in an utterly distorted manner. For example, a life form's entire family will be reconstructed and its social life depicted in great detail. Yet such detailed reconstructions may sometimes be based on no more than a single molar tooth or arm bone. What emerges is completely the result of the imagination of the artist creating the reconstruction, which constitutes no new evidence at all. All the "ape-men" depicted in the media to date have all been reconstructions.

Using the same bone fragments, different artists may also come up with completely different life forms, because from looking at bone fragments alone, there is no way of determining what a life form's soft tissues were like. Indeed, the fossil fragments obtained by evolutionists generally consist of no more than part of the facial bones, a section of



the vertebrae or even a single bone and on occasion, even one single tooth. (Nebraska Man, his family, and his social life were all reconstructed based on the discovery of just one tooth. The tooth in question

was given the name of "Nebraska Man," though there was no fossil evidence to indicate any of that life form's distinguishing features.

Shortly afterwards, however, it was established that the tooth in question actually belonged to a wild boar. This depiction of an imaginary humanoid took its place alongside all the other evolutionary frauds. This is a significant example of the kind of deception that Darwinists turn to in their reconstructions, drawings and models that evolutionists can interpret exactly as they wish.

These reconstructions have no scientific validity and contribute nothing in the way of evidence for evolution. They are all fantasies dreamed up to convince people that semi-ape, semi-human beings once lived in the past.

But no matter how much Darwinists attempt to mislead through reconstructions, they have no evidence to indicate the validity of evolution. Meaningless reconstructions may once have influenced the public, but most people now realize that the ape-men depicted in newspapers and magazines are mere fantasies. They now have comprehensive information on the subject, and all the answers to refute evolutionist claims. They know that the ape-men in question never existed. They have realized that humans, like all other living things, never underwent evolution, and that the images they see are scientifically worthless. They can now see through all the deceptive methods employed by evolutionists.

It is astonishing that over a billion fossils have been found, yet there is no undisputed transitional form between very different types of animals or even animal features (such as a reptile's scale turning into a bird's feather). How can we say that evolution (the idea that man is the result of slow changes over time from earlier creatures) be considered a reasonable theory in light of this lack of evidence? ⁷⁶

Richard L. Kleiss, author

They Try to Make Use of Certain Similarities between Apes and Humans

Another method that Darwinists employ to mislead the public is to use certain similarities between apes and humans and the intelligent behavior that apes display. On the basis of that intelligent behavior exhibited by apes, Darwinists come up with the facile supposition that "If they developed a bit more, shaved, and ate well, they could easily be human beings." On the basis of that conjecture, they then begin dreaming up false evidence and scenarios. In reality, however, they know of the profound anatomical differences between apes and humans. Yet superficial thinking leads them away from that fact. They believe what they want to, rather than considering the facts and scientific findings, and they set about deceiving others accordingly.


Pointing out the similarities between apes and humans can influence anyone without much knowledge of biology, genetics and anatomy. Darwinists take features of ape behavior and their general appearance and produce evolutionary fantasies. The scenario of man's supposed ape-like forerunners is an important tool for Darwinism, which fails to consider the complexity in living structures and can provide only simplistic explanations.

But the differences between human beings and apes are too profound to be bridged in any way. In addition to these enormous anatomical and genetic differences, monkeys are no different from dogs or horses in terms of learning. No matter how much training they may receive, for no matter how long, they will still never come to resemble human beings. Monkeys can imitate the actions and behavior they see. Properly trained, they can distinguish the shapes and colors of objects and exhibit intelligent reactions, but this training will not permit them to turn into human beings one day. If such were possible, then other animal species known for their intelligence would also have turned into sentient human-like creatures. After training, parrots, for example, can

distinguish between squares and circles, between red and blue, and can arrange objects in the manner requested. Furthermore, and unlike apes, parrots are also capable of talking by imitating sounds. That being so, there is a far greater probability—according to the illogical claims made by Darwinists—of parrots turning into human-like beings.

In order to back up this impossible scenario, the product of superficial thinking, Darwinists must produce countless lies and false evidence. Yet rational people want to see facts that emerge as the result of research and scientific inquiry. What science does show is that humans, with their complex characteristics and superior attributes, were created from nothing in a single moment.

Almighty Allah confirms this in the verses:



lump into bones and clothed the bones in flesh; and then brought him into being as another creature. Blessed be Allah, the Best of Creators! (Surat al-Muminun, 12-14)


Why Evolution Has So Many Adherents


Darwinists' best-known method of deceiving people, but perhaps one of their greatest errors, is in claiming to be a majority. Darwinists imply that their theory is true by maintaining that the great majority of scientists—and society as a whole—subscribe to the theory of evolution.

Arda Denkel, a professor of philosophy and evolutionist scientist from Bogaziçi University in Istanbul, sets out the baseless illogicality of the indoctrination, stating that "because so many people accept it, the theory of evolution must be true":

Will the fact that large number of eminent individuals and institutions are in favor of evolution prove the concept of the theory of evolution to be true? Or will the concept be confirmed by virtue of a judicial court verdict? . . . "The Concept of Evolution is supported by all leading scientists in Turkey, by the presidents of TUBA and TUBITAK [official scientific institutions], university rectors and deans." The support of such respected individuals is of course an excellent thing. But is it acceptance by respected and powerful individuals that confirms the truth of something? I would like to recall one historical event. Did not Galileo state and defend the truth against all the eminent individuals, lawyers and, particularly, scientists of his time? Did other proceedings in the courts of the Inquisition not produce a similar picture? The support of respected and esteemed bodies is not something that creates the truth, and is not directly connected to scientific accuracy. ⁷⁷

The fact that Darwinism was once accepted by a majority stems from people failing to evaluate what they were told, to their unquestioning acceptance of superficial accounts of evolution given, and the implicit threat that "Anyone who does not believe in evolution is not scientific."





Darwinists' Anguish Actually Serves the Fact of Creation

Darwinists have understood the significance of countless instances of the Fact of Creation in the appearance of millions of "living fossils." They have realized that the theory of evolution is a lie and have been astonished to see many other realizing this too. They have suffered shock at having been misled for the last 150 years, and have now embarked on their last, despairing maneuvers. They have been confronted with facts they never considered, and never wanted to, over the years, and must now reflect on the scientific evidence.

As a result, they now feel exceedingly weakened, whereas back in the 1980s, they had been highly sure of themselves. Submitting empty evidence instead of true science, deceiving others on fraudulent and hollow grounds, no longer serves any purpose. The Fact of Creation is emerging even more clearly, and the evidence merely serve the fact of Creation and science.

All that Darwinists have left to propose against the Fact of Creation is childish fairy tales, empty claims and illogical and false evidence.

Darwinists have no way out of this position. Whether they remain silent or raise a fuss, the end result will still be the same: The climate of corruption created by the theory of evolution will, by Allah's leave, be brought to an end by the efforts of true believers.

Darwinism has now come to its end. Darwinists and materialists, now totally discredited, must abandon their meaningless arrogance and stubbornness and free themselves from this 160-year-old spell. There is but one truth, that Almighty Allah created all things, living and inanimate.


The Earth Provides Evidence of Instantaneous Creation, But None for Evolution


It is most important that Darwinists should consider that if evolution really did take place on Earth, that would mean that Allah created living things by way of evolution—and this fact would have won its adherents in all those books espousing the Fact of Creation.

In addition, had Almighty Allah made evolution responsible for the creation of living things, no doubt the Earth would be full of countless proofs of this. Allah makes a single sperm and egg able to create a human being, for instance, and constructs the human body by dividing a cell into two, then four, then eight and so on. Had Allah so wished, He could have created a similar system for the evolution of living things, and the proofs of this would be plain to see.

Allah has created the development of the baby in such a way as to be completed in nine months. Had He so wished, He could have applied this same speedy development to all living species, and living things could have been created in such a way as to complete their development in, say, 900 million years. Were there any evidence of this on Earth, no doubt the proponents of the Fact of Creation would go along with it, present the evidence, and act out of the knowledge that Allah had used evolution to create the Earth's countless living things.


But such is not the case. The theory of evolution currently rests on no evidence whatsoever, and even the most specious claims are unable to maintain it. Everything stated about the evolution of living things, every claim made, is merely another component of an enormous lie.











It is enough for there to be a little chemical slime around, for chance, random and uncontrolled events to occur and for adequate time to pass. The logical weakness of this belief is manifest, no matter how adorned with scientific verbiage it may be, no matter how filled with chemical formulae. Even someone lacking education, unable to read or write, or a child who has not attained school age, would find this claim totally ridiculous. Yet this is exactly what all evolutionist sources would have us believe.

The way that Darwinists are resisting the Fact of Creation stems from their panic that their deceit should have been unmasked. Yet their endeavors are all in vain. Allah, Who is worthy of all praise and Lord of the finest titles, is the Great, Glorious and Omnipotent Creator of all things. Should He so wish, Almighty Allah is powerful enough to create the whole Universe and everything within it in a single moment. Their reluctance to believe this inflicts a logical collapse on Darwinists, their arrogance in the face of Allah and their doubts about His Omnipotence. (Allah is beyond this.)

In one verse, Allah states:

"Were We exhausted by the first creation? Yet they are dubious about the new creation." (Surah Qaf, 15)


In the face of the definitive scientific evidence that demolishes Darwinism, the best thing to do is what the majority has done in recent times—to accept that Darwinism is a deceit. Few people can remain unaffected by this important truth, and have no qualms about accepting the invalidity of Darwinism's claims, maintained for so long. In the early 1980s, for example, around 30 to 40% of the people in Turkey did not believe in evolution. Yet an international opinion poll conducted in 2006 revealed that 75% of the Turkish people now no longer believe in it.

According to another poll by the Turkish Economic and Social Studies Foundation (TESEV), 87.4% of the Turkish public believes that Allah created man. Just because people have spent many years in error



does not mean that they must continue believing in that error forever. It is doubtless highly illogical for anyone who can use their reason to think, to persist in an error in full knowledge that they are being deceived.

Simply considering a few facts around them will enable thinking people to understand that Darwinism has no rational, logical and scientific explanations for the origin of life. Every thinking individual will see the absolute and indisputable existence of Allah, Lord and Sovereign of All. This is a blessing from Allah and, for those capable of comprehending it, represents salvation in this world and the next.







Appendix

The Deception of Evolution

Darwinism, in other words the theory of evolution, was put forward with the aim of denying the fact of Creation, but is in truth nothing but failed, unscientific nonsense. This theory, which claims that life emerged by chance from inanimate matter, was invalidated by the scientific evidence of miraculous order in the universe and in living things, as well as by the discovery of about 300 million fossils revealing that evolution never happened. In this way, science confirmed the fact that Allah created the universe and the living things in it. The propaganda carried out today in order to keep the theory of evolution alive is based solely on the distortion of the scientific facts, biased interpretation, and lies and falsehoods disguised as science.

Yet this propaganda cannot conceal the truth. The fact that the theory of evolution is the greatest deception in the history of science has been expressed more and more in the scientific world over the last 20-30 years. Research carried out after the 1980s in particular has revealed that the claims of Darwinism are totally unfounded, something that has





Darwin's theory was not based on any concrete scientific finding; as he also accepted, it was just an "assumption."

Moreover, as Darwin confessed in the long chapter of his book titled "Difficulties on Theory," the theory failed in the face of many critical questions.

Darwin invested all of his hopes in new Scientific discoveries, which he expected to solve these difficulties. However, contrary to his expectations, scientific findings expanded the dimensions of these difficulties. The defeat of Darwinism in the face of science can be reviewed under three basic topics:

- 1) The theory cannot explain how life originated on Earth.
- 2) No scientific finding shows that the "evolutionary mechanisms" proposed by the theory have any evolutionary power at all.
- 3) The fossil record proves the exact opposite of what the theory suggests.

In this section, we will examine these three basic points in general outlines:

The First Insurmountable Step: The Origin of Life

The theory of evolution posits that all living species evolved from a single living cell that emerged on the primitive Earth 3.8 billion years ago. How a single cell could generate millions of complex living species and, if such an evolution really occurred, why traces of it cannot be observed in the fossil record are some of the questions that the theory can-

not answer. However, first and foremost, we need to ask: How did this "first cell" originate?

Since the theory of evolution ignorantly denies Creation, it maintains that the "first cell" originated as a product of blind coincidences within the laws of nature, without any plan or arrangement. According to the theory, inanimate matter must have produced a living cell as a result of coincidences. Such a claim, however, is inconsistent with the most unassailable rules of biology.

"Life Comes From Life"

In his book, Darwin never referred to the origin of life. The primitive understanding of science in his time rested on the assumption that living beings had a very simple structure. Since medieval times, spontaneous generation, which asserts that non-living materials came together to form living organisms, had been widely accepted. It was commonly believed that insects came into being from food leftovers, and mice from wheat. Interesting experiments were conducted to prove this theory. Some wheat was placed on a dirty piece of cloth, and it was be-

lieved that mice would originate from it after a while.

Similarly, maggots developing in rotting meat was assumed to be evidence of spontaneous generation. However, it was later understood that worms did not appear on meat spontaneously, but were carried there by flies in the form of larvae, invisible to the naked eye.

Even when Darwin wrote The Origin of Species, the belief that bacteria could come into existence from non-living matter was widely accepted in the world of science.



Harun Yahya

However, five years after the publication of Darwin's book, Louis Pasteur announced his results after long studies and experiments, that disproved spontaneous generation, a cornerstone of Darwin's theory. In his triumphal lecture at the Sorbonne in 1864, Pasteur said: "Never will the doctrine of spontaneous generation recover from the mortal blow struck by this simple experiment."

For a long time, advocates of the theory of evolution resisted these findings. However, as the development of science unraveled the complex structure of the cell of a living being, the idea that life could come into being coincidentally faced an even greater impasse.

Inconclusive Efforts of the Twentieth Century

The first evolutionist who took up the subject of the origin of life in the twentieth century was the renowned Russian biologist Alexander Oparin. With various theses he advanced in the 1930s, he tried to prove that a living cell could originate by coincidence. These studies, however, were doomed to failure, and Oparin had to make the following confession:

Unfortunately, however, the problem of the origin of the cell is perhaps the most obscure point in the whole study of the evolution of organisms.⁷⁹

Evolutionist followers of Oparin tried to carry out experiments to solve this problem. The best known experiment was carried out by the American chemist Stanley Miller in 1953.

Combining the gases he alleged to have existed in the primordial Earth's atmosphere in an experiment set-up, and adding energy to the mixture, Miller synthesized several organic molecules (amino ac-

The Russian biologist Alexander Oparin

ids) present in the structure of proteins.

Barely a few years had passed before it was revealed that this experiment, which was then presented as an important step in the name of evolution, was invalid, for the atmosphere used in the experiment was very different from the real Earth conditions.⁸⁰

After a long silence, Miller confessed that the atmosphere medium he used was unrealistic.⁸¹

All the evolutionists' efforts throughout the twentieth century to explain the origin of life ended in failure. The geochemist Jeffrey Bada, from the San Diego Scripps Institute accepts this fact in an article published in Earth magazine in 1998:

Today as we leave the twentieth century, we still face the biggest unsolved problem that we had when we entered the twentieth century: How did life originate on Earth?⁸²

The Complex Structure of Life

The primary reason why the theory of evolution ended up in such a great impasse regarding the origin of life is that even those living organisms deemed to be the simplest have incredibly complex structures. The cell of a living thing is more complex than all of our man-made technological products. Today, even in the most de-

veloped laboratories of the world, a living cell cannot be produced by bringing organic chemicals together.

The conditions required for the formation of a cell are too great in quantity to be explained away by coincidences. The probability of proteins, the building blocks of a cell, being synthesized coincidentally, is 1 in 10950 for an average protein made up of 500 amino acids. In mathematics, a

Stanley Miller

probability smaller than 1 over 10^{50} is considered to be impossible in practical terms.

The DNA molecule, which is located in the nucleus of a cell and which stores genetic information, is an incredible databank. If the information coded in DNA were written down, it would make a giant library consisting of an estimated 900 volumes of encyclopedias consisting of 500 pages each.

A very interesting dilemma emerges at this point: DNA can replicate itself only with the help of some specialized proteins (enzymes). However, the synthesis of these enzymes can be realized only by the information coded in DNA. As they both depend on each other, they have to exist at the same time for replication. This brings the scenario that life originated by itself to a deadlock. Prof. Leslie Orgel, an evolutionist of repute from the University of San Diego, California, confesses this fact in the September 1994 issue of the Scientific American magazine:

It is extremely improbable that proteins and nucleic acids, both of which are structurally complex, arose spontaneously in the same place at the same time. Yet it also seems impossible to have one without the other. And so, at first glance, one might have to conclude that life could never, in fact, have originated by chemical means.⁸³

No doubt, if it is impossible for life to have originated spontaneously as a result of blind coincidences, then it has to be accepted that life was "created." This fact explicitly invalidates the theory of evolution, whose main purpose is to deny Creation.

Imaginary Mechanism of Evolution

The second important point that negates Darwin's theory is that both concepts put forward by the theory as "evolutionary mechanisms" were understood to have, in reality, no evolutionary power.

Darwin based his evolution allegation entirely on the mechanism

of "natural selection." The importance he placed on this mechanism was evident in the name of his book: The Origin of Species, By Means of Natural Selection...

Natural selection holds that those living things that are stronger and more suited to the natural conditions of their habitats will survive in the struggle for life. For example, in a deer herd under the threat of attack by wild animals, those that can run faster will survive. Therefore, the deer herd will be comprised of faster and stronger individuals. However, unquestionably, this mechanism will not cause deer to evolve and transform themselves into another living species, for instance, horses.


Therefore, the mechanism of natural selection has no evolutionary power. Darwin was also aware of this fact and had to state this in his book The Origin of Species:

Natural selection can do nothing until favourable individual differences or variations occur.⁸⁴

Lamarck's Impact

So, how could these "favorable variations" occur? Darwin tried to answer this question from the standpoint of the primitive understanding of science at that time. According to the French biologist Chevalier de Lamarck (1744-1829), who lived before Darwin, living creatures passed on the traits they acquired during their lifetime to the next generation. He asserted that these traits, which accumulated from one generation to another, caused new species to be formed. For instance, he claimed that giraffes evolved from antelopes; as they struggled to eat the leaves of high trees, their necks were extended from generation to generation.

Darwin also gave similar examples. In his book The Origin of Species, for instance, he said that some bears going into water to find food transformed themselves into whales over time.⁸⁵



Lamarck believed that giraffes evolved from such animals as antelopes. In his view, the necks of these grasseating animals gradually grew longer, and they eventually turned into giraffes. The laws of inheritance discovered by Mendel in 1865 proved that it was impossible for properties acquired during life to be handed on to subsequent generations. Lamarck's giraffe fairy tale was thus consigned to the wastebin of history.

However, the laws of inheritance discovered by Gregor Mendel (1822-84) and verified by the science of genetics, which flourished in the twentieth century, utterly demolished the legend that acquired traits were passed on to subsequent generations. Thus, natural selection fell out of favor as an evolutionary mechanism.

Neo-Darwinism and Mutations

In order to find a solution, Darwinists advanced the "Modern Synthetic Theory," or as it is more commonly known,

Mutation

DNA strand

Broken DNA strand

Neo-Darwinism, at the end of the 1930s. Neo-Darwinism added mutations, which are distortions formed in the genes of living beings due to such external factors as radiation or replication errors, as the "cause of favorable variations" in addition to natural mutation.

Today, the model that Darwinists espouse, despite their own awareness of its scientific invalidity, is neo-Darwinism. The theory maintains that millions of living beings formed as a result of a process whereby numerous complex organs of these organisms (e.g., ears, eyes, lungs, and wings) underwent "mutations," that is, genetic disorders. Yet, there is an outright scientific fact that totally undermines this theory: Mutations do not cause living beings to develop; on the contra-

All the mutations observed in human beings are harmful.
Because living DNA has a very complex structure. Any random change in this molecule can only damage the organism. The only changes caused by mutation will be death, deformity and disease. (Side) A fruit fly subjected to mutation.



Harun Yahya


ry, they are always harmful.

The reason for this is very simple: DNA has a very complex structure, and random effects can only harm it. The American geneticist B. G. Ranganathan explains this as follows:

First, genuine mutations are very rare in nature. Secondly, most mutations are harmful since they are random, rather than orderly changes in the structure of genes; any random change in a highly ordered system will be for the worse, not for the better. For example, if an earthquake were to shake a highly ordered structure such as a building, there would be a random change in the framework of the building which, in all probability, would not be an improvement.⁸⁶

Not surprisingly, no mutation example, which is useful, that is, which is observed to develop the genetic code, has been observed so far. All mutations have proved to be harmful. It was understood that mutation, which is presented as an "evolutionary mechanism," is actually a genetic occurrence that harms living things, and leaves them disabled. (The most common effect of mutation on human beings is can-





cer.) Of course, a destructive mechanism cannot be an "evolutionary mechanism." Natural selection, on the other hand, "can do nothing by itself," as Darwin also accepted. This fact shows us that there is no "evolutionary mechanism" in nature. Since no evolutionary mechanism exists, no such any imaginary process called "evolution" could have taken place.

The Fossil Record: No Sign of Intermediate Forms

The clearest evidence that the scenario suggested by the theory of evolution did not take place is the fossil record.

According to the unscientific supposition of this theory, every living species has sprung from a predecessor. A previously existing species turned into something else over time and all species have come into being in this way. In other words, this transformation proceeds gradually over millions of years.

Had this been the case, numerous intermediary species should have existed and lived within this long transformation period.

For instance, some half-fish/half-reptiles should have lived in the past which had acquired some reptilian traits in addition to the fish traits they already had. Or there should have existed some reptile-birds, which acquired some bird traits in addition to the reptilian traits they already had. Since these would be in a transitional phase, they should be disabled, defective, crippled living beings. Evolutionists refer to these imaginary creatures, which they believe to have lived in the past, as "transitional forms."

If such animals ever really existed, there should be millions and even billions of them in number and variety. More importantly, the remains of these strange creatures should be present in the fossil record. In The Origin of Species, Darwin explained:

If my theory be true, numberless intermediate varieties, linking most closely all of the species of the same group together must assuredly have existed... Consequently, evidence of their former existence could be found

only amongst fossil remains.87

However, Darwin was well aware that no fossils of these intermediate forms had yet been found. He regarded this as a major difficulty for his theory. In one chapter of his book titled "Difficulties on Theory," he wrote:

Why, if species have descended from other species by insensibly fine gradations, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? Why is not all nature in confusion instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined?... But, as by this theory innumerable transitional forms must have existed, why do we not find them embedded in countless numbers in the crust of the earth?... Why then is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediate links? Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain; and this, perhaps, is the most obvious and gravest objection which can be urged against my theory.⁸⁸

Darwin's Hopes Shattered

However, although evolutionists have been making strenuous efforts to find fossils since the middle of the nineteenth century all over the world, no transitional forms have yet been uncovered. All of the fossils, contrary to the evolutionists' expectations, show that life appeared on Earth all of a sudden and fully-formed.

One famous British paleontologist, Derek V. Ager, admits this fact, even though he is an evolutionist:

The point emerges that if we examine the fossil record in detail, whether at the level of orders or of species, we find – over and over again – not gradual evolution, but the sudden explosion of one group at the expense of another.⁸⁹

This means that in the fossil record, all living species suddenly emerge as fully formed, without any intermediate forms in between. This is just the opposite of Darwin's assumptions. Also, this is very

strong evidence that all living things are created. The only explanation of a living species emerging suddenly and complete in every detail without any evolutionary ancestor is that it was created. This fact is admitted also by the widely known evolutionist biologist Douglas Futuyma:

Creation and evolution, between them, exhaust the possible explanations for the origin of living things. Organisms either appeared on the earth fully developed or they did not. If they did not, they must have developed from pre-existing species by some process of modification. If they did appear in a fully developed state, they must indeed have been created by some omnipotent intelligence.⁹⁰

Fossils show that living beings emerged fully developed and in a perfect state on the Earth. That means that "the origin of species," contrary to Darwin's supposition, is not evolution, but Creation.

The Tale of Human Evolution

The subject most often brought up by advocates of the theory of evolution is the subject of the origin of man. The Darwinist claim holds that man evolved from so-called ape-like creatures. During this alleged evolutionary process, which is supposed to have started 4-5 million years ago, some "transitional forms" between present-day man and his imaginary ancestors are supposed to have existed. According to this completely imaginary scenario, four basic "categories" are listed:

- 1. Australopithecus
- 2. Homo habilis
- 3. Homo erectus
- 4. Homo sapiens

Evolutionists call man's so-called first ape-like ancestors Australopithecus, which means "South African ape." These living beings are actually nothing but an old ape species that has become extinct. Extensive research done on various Australopithecus specimens by two world famous anatomists from England and the USA, namely, Lord

Solly Zuckerman and Prof. Charles Oxnard, shows that these apes belonged to an ordinary ape species that became extinct and bore no resemblance to humans.⁹¹

Evolutionists classify the next stage of human evolution as "homo," that is "man." According to their claim, the living beings in the Homo series are more developed than Australopithecus. Evolutionists devise a fanciful evolution scheme by arranging different fossils of these creatures in a particular order. This scheme is imaginary because it has never been proved that there is an evolutionary relation between these different classes. Ernst Mayr, one of the twentieth century's most important evolutionists, contends in his book One Long Argument that "particularly historical [puzzles] such as the origin of life or of Homo sapiens, are extremely difficult and may even resist a final, satisfying explanation."

By outlining the link chain as Australopithecus > Homo habilis > Homo erectus > Homo sapiens, evolutionists imply that each of these species is one another's ancestor. However, recent findings of paleoanthropologists have revealed that Australopithecus, Homo habilis, and Homo erectus lived at different parts of the world at the same time.⁹³

Moreover, a certain segment of humans classified as Homo erectus have lived up until very modern times. Homo sapiens neandarthalensis and Homo sapiens sapiens (present-day man) co-existed in the same region.⁹⁴

This situation apparently indicates the invalidity of the claim that they are ancestors of one another. The late Stephen Jay Gould explained this deadlock of the theory of evolution although he was himself one of the leading advocates of evolution in the twentieth century:

What has become of our ladder if there are three coexisting lineages of hominids (A. africanus, the robust australopithecines, and H. habilis), none clearly derived from another? Moreover, none of the three display any evolutionary trends during their tenure on earth.⁹⁵

Put briefly, the scenario of human evolution, which is "upheld"

LIVING FOSSILS REFUTE EVOLUTION

Age: 54-37 million

years

Fossils are proof that evolution never happened. As the fossil record reveals, living things suddenly appeared together with all the characteristics they possess, and they never undergo the slightest change so long as they remain in existence. Fish have always existed as fish, insects as insects, and reptiles as reptiles. There is no scientific validity to the claim that species emerged gradually.

Sea Urchin Period: Paleozoic Age, Carboniferous Period Age: 295 million years









Adnan Oktar

Starfish

Period: Paleozoic Age, Ordovician

Period

Age: 490-443 million years





Birch Tree Leaf
Period: Cenozoic Age,
Eocene Period
Age: 50 million years



Cicada
Period: Mesozoic
Age, Cretaceous
Period
Age: 125 million

years



Sequoia Leaf Period: Cenozoic Age, Eocene Period Age: 50 million years





with the help of various drawings of some "half ape, half human" creatures appearing in the media and course books, that is, frankly, by means of propaganda, is nothing but a tale with no scientific foundation.

Lord Solly Zuckerman, one of the most famous and respected scientists in the U.K., who carried out research on this subject for years and studied Australopithecus fossils for 15 years, finally concluded, despite being an evolutionist himself, that there is, in fact, no such family tree branching out from ape-like creatures to man.

Zuckerman also made an interesting "spectrum of science" ranging from those he considered scientific to those he considered unscientific. According to Zuckerman's spectrum, the most "scientific"—that is, depending on concrete data—fields of science are chemistry and physics. After them come the biological sciences and then the social sciences. At the far end of the spectrum, which is the part considered to be most "unscientific," are "extra-sensory perception"—concepts such as telepathy and sixth sense—and finally "human evolution." Zuckerman explains his reasoning:

We then move right off the register of objective truth into those fields of presumed biological science, like extrasensory perception or the interpretation of man's fossil history, where to the faithful [evolutionist] anything is possible – and where the ardent believer [in evolution] is sometimes able to believe several contradictory things at the same time. ⁹⁶

The tale of human evolution boils down to nothing but the prejudiced interpretations of some fossils unearthed by certain people, who blindly adhere to their theory.

Darwinian Formula!

Besides all the technical evidence we have dealt with so far, let us now for once, examine what kind of a superstition the evolutionists have with an example so simple as to be understood even by children:

The theory of evolution asserts that life is formed by chance. According to this irrational claim, lifeless and unconscious atoms came together to form the cell and then they somehow formed other living things, including man. Let us think about that. When we bring together the elements that are the building-blocks of life such as carbon, phosphorus, nitrogen and potassium, only a heap is formed. No matter what treatments it undergoes, this atomic heap cannot form even a single living being.

If you like, let us formulate an "experiment" on this subject and let us examine on the behalf of evolutionists what they really claim without pronoun-

Let evolutionists put plenty of materials present in the composition of living things such as phosphorus, nitrogen, carbon, oxygen, iron, and magnesium into big barrels. Moreover, let them add in these barrels any ma-

cing loudly under the name "Darwinian formula":

terial that does not exist under normal conditions, but they think as necessary. Let them add in this mixture as many amino acids and as many proteins—a single one of which has a formation probability of 10⁻⁹⁵⁰—as they like. Let them expose these mixtures to as much heat and moisture as they like. Let them stir these with whatever technologically developed device they like. Let them put the foremost scientists beside these barrels. Let these experts wait in turn beside these barrels for billions, and even trillions of years. Let them be free to use all kinds of conditions they believe to be necessary for a human's formation. No matter what they do, they cannot produce from these barrels a human, say a professor that examines his cell structure under the electron microscope. They cannot produce giraffes, lions, bees, canaries, horses, dolphins, roses, orchids, lilies, carnations, bananas, oranges, apples, dates, tomatoes, melons, watermelons, figs, olives, grapes, peaches, peafowls, pheasants, multicoloured butterflies, or millions of other living beings such as these. Indeed, they could not obtain even a single cell of any one of them.


Briefly, unconscious atoms cannot form the cell by coming together. They cannot take a new decision and divide this cell into two, then take other decisions and create the professors who first invent the electron microscope and then examine their own cell structure under that microscope. Matter is an unconscious, lifeless heap, and it comes to life with Allah's superior creation.

The theory of evolution, which claims the opposite, is a total fallacy completely contrary to reason. Thinking even a little bit on the claims of evolutionists discloses this reality, just as in the above example.

Technology in the Eye and the Ear

Another subject that remains unanswered by evolutionary theory is the excellent quality of perception in the eye and the ear.

Before passing on to the subject of the eye, let us briefly answer the question of how we see. Light rays coming from an object fall opposite-



ly on the eye's retina. Here, these light rays are transmitted into electric signals by cells and reach a tiny spot at the back of the brain, the "center of vision." These electric signals are perceived in this center as an image after a series of processes. With this technical background, let us do some thinking.

The brain is insulated from light. That means that its inside is completely dark, and that no light reaches the place where it is located. Thus, the "center of vision" is never touched by light and may even be the darkest place you have ever known. However, you observe a luminous, bright world in this pitch darkness.


The image formed in the eye is so sharp and distinct that even the technology of the twentieth century has not been able to attain it. For instance, look at the book you are reading, your hands with which you are holding it, and then lift your head and look around you. Have you ever seen such a sharp and distinct image as this one at any other place? Even the most developed television screen produced by the greatest television producer in the world cannot provide such a sharp

image for you. This is a three-dimensional, colored, and extremely sharp image. For more than 100 years, thousands of engineers have been trying to achieve this sharpness. Factories, huge premises were established, much research has been done, plans and designs have been made for this purpose. Again, look at a TV screen and the book you hold in your hands. You will see that there is a big difference in sharpness and distinction. Moreover, the TV screen shows you a two-dimensional image, whereas with your eyes, you watch a three-dimensional perspective with depth.

For many years, tens of thousands of engineers have tried to make a three-dimensional TV and achieve the vision quality of the eye. Yes, they have made a three-dimensional television system, but it is not possible to watch it without putting on special 3-D glasses; moreover, it is only an artificial three-dimension. The background is more blurred, the foreground appears like a paper setting. Never has it been possible to produce a sharp and distinct vision like that of the eye. In both the camera and the television, there is a loss of image quality.

Evolutionists claim that the mechanism producing this sharp and distinct image has been formed by chance. Now, if somebody told you that the television in your room was formed as a result of chance, that all of its atoms just happened to come together and make up this device that produces an image, what would you think? How can atoms do what thousands of people cannot?

If a device producing a more primitive image than the eye could not have been formed by chance, then it is very evident that the eye and the image seen by the eye could not have been formed by chance. The same situation applies to the ear. The outer ear picks up the available sounds by the auricle and directs them to the middle ear, the middle ear transmits the sound vibrations by intensifying them, and the inner ear sends these vibrations to the brain by translating them into electric signals. Just as with the eye, the act of hearing finalizes in the center of hearing in the brain.





We live our whole life in our brains. People we see, flowers we smell, music we hear, fruit we taste, the moisture we feel with our hands—all these are impressions that become "reality" in the brain. But no colors, voices or pictures exist there. We live in an environment of electrical impulses. This is no theory, but the scientific explanation of how we perceive the outside world.

The situation in the eye is also true for the ear. That is, the brain is insulated from sound just as it is from light. It does not let any sound in. Therefore, no matter how noisy is the outside, the inside of the brain is completely silent. Nevertheless, the sharpest sounds are perceived in the brain. In your completely silent brain, you listen to symphonies, and hear all of the noises in a crowded place. However, were the sound level in your brain measured by a precise device at that moment, complete silence would be found to be prevailing there.

As is the case with imagery, decades of effort have been spent in trying to generate and reproduce sound that is faithful to the original. The results of these efforts are sound recorders, high-fidelity systems, and systems for sensing sound. Despite all of this technology and the thousands of engineers and experts who have been working on this endeavor, no sound has yet been obtained that has the same sharpness and clarity as the sound perceived by the ear. Think of the highest-quality hi-fi systems produced by the largest company in the music industry. Even in these devices, when sound is recorded some of it is lost; or when you turn on a hi-fi you always hear a hissing sound before the music starts. However, the sounds that are the products of the human body's technology are extremely sharp and clear. A human ear never perceives a sound accompanied by a hissing sound or with atmospherics as does a hi-fi; rather, it perceives sound exactly as it is, sharp and clear. This is the way it has been since the creation of man.

So far, no man-made visual or recording apparatus has been as sensitive and successful in perceiving sensory data as are the eye and the ear. However, as far as seeing and hearing are concerned, a far greater truth lies beyond all this.

To Whom Does the Consciousness that Sees and Hears within the Brain Belong?

Who watches an alluring world in the brain, listens to symphonies and the twittering of birds, and smells the rose?

The stimulations coming from a person's eyes, ears, and nose travel to the brain as electro-chemical nerve impulses. In biology, physiology, and biochemistry books, you can find many details about how this image forms in the brain. However, you will never come across the most important fact: Who perceives these electro-chemical nerve impulses as images, sounds, odors, and sensory events in the brain? There is a consciousness in the brain that perceives all this without feeling any need for an eye, an ear, and a nose. To whom does this consciousness belong? Of course it does not belong to the nerves, the fat layer, and neurons comprising the brain. This is why Darwinist-materialists, who believe that everything is comprised of matter, cannot answer these questions.

For this consciousness is the spirit created by Allah, which needs neither the eye to watch the images nor the ear to hear the sounds. Furthermore, it does not need the brain to think.

Everyone who reads this explicit and scientific fact should ponder on Almighty Allah, and fear and seek refuge in Him, for He squeezes the entire universe in a pitch-dark place of a few cubic centimeters in a three-dimensional, colored, shadowy, and luminous form.

A Materialist Faith

The information we have presented so far shows us that the theory of evolution is incompatible with scientific findings. The theory's claim regarding the origin of life is inconsistent with science, the evolutionary mechanisms it proposes have no evolutionary power, and fossils demonstrate that the required intermediate forms have never existed. So, it certainly follows that the theory of evolution should be pushed aside as an unscientific idea. This is how many ideas, such as the Earth-centered universe model, have been taken out of the agenda of science throughout history.

However, the theory of evolution is kept on the agenda of science. Some people even try to represent criticisms directed against it as an

"attack on science." Why?

The reason is that this theory is an indispensable dogmatic belief for some circles. These circles are blindly devoted to materialist philosophy and adopt Darwinism because it is the only materialist explanation that can be put forward to explain the workings of nature.

Interestingly enough, they also confess this fact from time to time. A well-known geneticist and an outspoken evolutionist, Richard C. Lewontin from Harvard University, confesses that he is "first and foremost a materialist and then a scientist":

It is not that the methods and institutions of science somehow compel us accept a material explanation of the phenomenal world, but, on the contrary, that we are forced by our a priori adherence to material causes to create an apparatus of investigation and a set of concepts that produce material explanations, no matter how counter-intuitive, no matter how mystifying to the uninitiated. Moreover, that materialism is absolute, so we cannot allow a Divine [intervention]...⁹⁷

These are explicit statements that Darwinism is a dogma kept alive just for the sake of adherence to materialism. This dogma maintains that there is no being save matter. Therefore, it argues that inanimate, unconscious matter brought life into being. It insists that millions of different living species (e.g., birds, fish, giraffes, tigers, insects, trees, flowers, whales, and human beings) originated as a result of the interactions between matter such as pouring rain, lightning flashes, and so on, out of inanimate matter. This is a precept contrary both to reason and science. Yet Darwinists continue to ignorantly defend it just so as not to acknowledge, in their own eyes, the evident existence of Allah.

Anyone who does not look at the origin of living beings with a materialist prejudice sees this evident truth: All living beings are works of a Creator, Who is All-Powerful, All-Wise, and All-Knowing. This Creator is Allah, Who created the whole universe from non-existence, designed it in the most perfect form, and fashioned all living beings.

The Theory of Evolution: The Most Potent Spell in the World

Anyone free of prejudice and the influence of any particular ideology, who uses only his or her reason and logic, will clearly understand that belief in the theory of evolution, which brings to mind the superstitions of societies with no knowledge of science or civilization, is quite impossible.

As explained above, those who believe in the theory of evolution think that a few atoms and molecules thrown into a huge vat could produce thinking, reasoning professors and university students; such scientists as Einstein and Galileo; such artists as Humphrey Bogart, Frank Sinatra and Luciano Pavarotti; as well as antelopes, lemon trees, and carnations. Moreover, as the scientists and professors who believe in this nonsense are educated people, it is quite justifiable to speak of this theory as "the most potent spell in history." Never before has any other belief or idea so taken away peoples' powers of reason, refused to allow them to think intelligently and logically, and hidden the truth from them as if they had been blindfolded. This is an even worse and unbelievable blindness than the totem worship in some parts of Africa, the people of Saba worshipping the Sun, the tribe of Prophet Ibrahim (as) worshipping idols they had made with their own hands, or some among the people of Prophet Musa (as) worshipping the Golden Calf.

In fact, Allah has pointed to this lack of reason in the Qur'an. In many verses, He reveals that some peoples' minds will be closed and that they will be powerless to see the truth. Some of these verses are as follows:

As for those who do not believe, it makes no difference to them whether you warn them or do not warn them, they will not believe. Allah has sealed up their hearts and hearing and over their eyes is a blindfold. They will have a terrible punishment. (Surat al-Baqara, 6-7)

... They have hearts with which they do not understand. They have

Harun Yahya

eyes with which they do not see. They have ears with which they do not hear. Such people are like cattle. No, they are even further astray! They are the unaware. (Surat al-A'raf, 179)

Even if We opened up to them a door into heaven, and they spent the day ascending through it, they would only say: "Our eyesight is befuddled! Or rather we have been put under a spell!" (Surat al-Hijr, 14-15)

Words cannot express just how astonishing it is that this spell should hold such a wide community in thrall, keep people from the truth, and not be broken for 150 years. It is understandable that one or a few people might believe in impossible scenarios and claims full of stupidity and illogicality. However, "magic" is the only possible explanation for people from all over the world believing that unconscious and lifeless atoms suddenly decided to come together and form a universe that functions with a flawless system of organization, discipline, reason, and consciousness; a planet named Earth with all of its features so perfectly suited to life; and living things full of countless complex systems.

In fact, the Qur'an relates the incident of Prophet Musa (as) and Pharaoh to show that some people who support atheistic philosophies actually influence others by magic. When Pharaoh was told about the true religion, he told Prophet Musa (as) to meet with his own magicians. When Musa (as) did so, he told them to demonstrate their abilities first. The verses continue:

He said: "You throw." And when they threw, they cast a spell on the people's eyes and caused them to feel great fear of them. They produced an extremely powerful magic. (Surat al-A'raf, 116)

As we have seen, Pharaoh's magicians were able to deceive everyone, apart from Musa (as) and those who believed in him. However, his evidence broke the spell, or "swallowed up what they had forged," as revealed in the verse:

We revealed to Musa: "Throw down your staff." And it immediate-

ly swallowed up what they had forged. So the Truth took place and what they did was shown to be false. (Surat al-A'raf, 117-8)

As we can see, when people realized that a spell had been cast upon them and that what they saw was just an illusion, Pharaoh's magicians lost all credibility. In the present day too, unless those who, under the influence of a similar spell, believe in these ridiculous claims under their scientific disguise and spend their lives defending them, abandon their superstitious beliefs, they also will be humiliated when the full truth emerges and the spell is broken. In fact, world-renowned British writer and philosopher Malcolm Muggeridge, who was an atheist defending evolution for some 60 years, but who subsequently realized the truth, reveals the position in which the theory of evolution would find itself in the near future in these terms:

I myself am convinced that the theory of evolution, especially the extent to which it's been applied, will be one of the great jokes in the history books in the future. Posterity will marvel that so very flimsy and dubious an hypothesis could be accepted with the incredible credulity that it has.⁹⁸

That future is not far off: On the contrary, people will soon see that "chance" is not a deity, and will look back on the theory of evolution as the worst deceit and the most terrible spell in the world. That spell is already rapidly beginning to be lifted from the shoulders of people all over the world. Many people who see its true face are wondering with amazement how they could ever have been taken in by it.

NOTES

- 1. Said Nursi, The Words, The 33rd Word.
- 2. D.M. Raup, "Conflicts between Darwin and paleontology," Field Museum of Natural History Bulletin 50:22, 1979.
- 3. Said Nursi, The Words, The 22nd Word.
- 4. Pierre-Paul Grassé, Evolution of Living Organisms, New York: Academic Press, , 1977, p. 88.
- 5. Bill Gates, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Microsoft Corporation, The Road Ahead, [1995], London: Penguin:, Revised, 1996, p. 228.
- 6. Davies P.C.W., 1998, The Fifth Miracle: The Search for the Origin of Life, Ringwood, Australia: Penguin, p. 88.
- "Are We Descended from Martians?", Cumhuriyet Bilim Teknik, (Science and Technology magazine) 13 September 2003.
- 8. James Crow, "Genetic Effects of Radiation," Bulletin of Atomic Sciences, 14 (1958), pp. 19-20.
- 9. Gordon Rattray Taylor, The Great Evolution Mystery, London: Published in Abacus by Sphere Books Ltd., 1984, p. 4.
- 10. Michael Denton, Evolution: A Theory in Crisis, London: Burnett Books, 1985, p. 242.
- 11. Prof. Dr. Ali Demirsoy, Kalitim ve Evrim ("Heredity and Evolution"), Ankara, Meteksan Yayinlari, p. 79.
- 12. Robert Shapiro, Origins: A Sceptics Guide to the Creation of Life on Earth, New York: Summit Books, 1986. p. 127.
- 13. Ali Demirsoy, Kalitim ve Evrim, Ankara: Meteksan Yayinlari, 1984, s. 61
- 14. Richard Ellis, Aquagenesis, The Origin and Evolution of Life in the Sea, Penguin Books, 2001, p. 7.
- 15. Christian Schwabe, "On the Validity

- of Molecular Evolution," Trends in Biochemical Sciences, Vol. 11, July 1986, p. 280.
- 16. Stephen Jay Gould, Life's Grandeur, London: Vintage, 1996, p. 212.
- 17. Michael Denton, Evolution: A Theory in Crisis, pp. 290-91.
- 18. Leslie E. Orgel, "The Origin of Life on Earth," Scientific American, Vol. 271, October 1994, p. 78.
- 19. Said Nursi, The Words, The 10th Word.
- 20. Ibid.
- 21. Richard Dawkins, The Extended Phenotype, Oxford University Press, 1999, p. 40.
- 22. Said Nursi, The Letters, Fifteenth Letter.
- 23. Saban Dogen, Risale-i Nur'dan Vecizeler ("Good Sayings From Risale-i Nur Collection"), 2nd ed., Istanbul: Genclik Publishing, p. 161.
- 24. Prof. Dr. Ali Demirsoy, Kalitim ve Evrim, p. 8.
- 25. Saban Dogen, p. 162.
- 26. Hoimar Von Ditfurth, Im Amfang War Der Wasserstoff, pp.60-61.
- 27. Said Nursi, The Words, 10th Word.
- 28. Ibid.
- 29. Said Nursi, The Words, 31st Word.
- 30. Said Nursi, The Words, 32nd Word.
- 31. Said Nursi, The Words, 22nd Word.
- 32. Said Nursi, The Words, The 24th Word.
- 33. Said Nursi, The Words, 714.
- 34.Said Nursi, The Words, The 29th Word.
- 35. Said Nursi, The Words, The 22nd Word.
- 36. Said Nursi, The Words, The 10th Word.
- 37. Stephen Jay Gould, Life's Grandeur, Vintage, London, 1996, p. 220.
- 38. Dr. Isaac Asimov, "In the game of energy and thermodynamics you can't

- even break even," Smithsonian Institute Journal, June 1970, p. 10.
- 39. L. L. Cavalli-Sforza, "The Genetics of Human Populations," Scientific American, Vol. 231, September, 1974, p. 85, http://www.icr.org/index.php?module=articles&action=view&ID=74
- 40. Said Nursi, The Letters, The Fifteenth Letter.
- 41. Michael Brooks, "The Mysteries of Life," New Scientist, No 2473, 4 September 2004, p. 24.
- 42. Werner Gitt. In the Beginning Was Information. CLV, Bielefeld, Germany, pp. 107, 141.
- 43. Dean L. Overman, A Case Against Accident and Self-Organization,
 Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 1997.
 44. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection,
- New York: The Modern Library, pp. 124-125.
- 45. Richard Ellis, Aquagenesis, The Origin and Evolution of Life in the Sea, p. 41.
- 46. T. N. George, "Fossils in Evolutionary Perspective," Science Progress, Vol. 48, January 1960, p. 1.
- 47. Robert B. Downs, Books that Changed the World, p. 285.
- 48. A. Murat Aytekin, "Arkadasligin Evrimi" ("Evolution of Friendship"), Birgun, 15 August 2005.
- 49. Gabriel Dover, Dear Mr. Darwin, London: Phoenix Books, 2000, p. 153.
- 50. Stephen Jay Gould, Life's Grandeur, p. 221.
- 51. N. Eldredge, and I. Tattersall, The Myths of Human Evolution, New York: Columbia University Press, 1982, pp. 45-46.
- 52. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, pp. 124-125.
- 53. David M. Raup, "Evolution and the Fossil Record," Science, Vol. 213, No. 4505, 17 July 1981, p. 289.

- 54. Søren Løvtrup, Darwinism: The Refutation of a Myth (New York: Croom Helm, 1987), 469 pp.
- 55. Philip E. Johnson, Darwin On Trial, Downers Grove, Illinois: InterVarsity Press,, 2nd ed, 1993, p. 155.
- 56. Richard Ellis, Aquagenesis: The Origin and Evolution of Life in the Sea, Penguin Books, 2001, p. 204.
- 57. Phillip E. Johnson, Objections Sustained, InterVarsity Press, 1998, p. 9.
- 58.Imam Ghazali, Zubdet-ul-ihya, Huccet-ul-Islam, Muhammed Cemaleddin el-Kasimi, Salah Bilici, Kitabevi Yayinlari, Istanbul, 1973, s. 579 59. Phillip E. Johnson, "The Unraveling of Scientific Materialism", First Things: A Monthly Journal of Religion and Public Life, Vol: 77, November 22, 1997.
- 60. First Things: A Monthly Journal of Religion and Public Life, Vol: 158; December 2005.
- 61. Emin Arik, Ateizm'den Inanca ("From Atheism to Faith"), Istanbul: Marifet Publishing, 1998, 4th Edition, p. 68.
- 62. Stephen M. Barr, "Anthropic Coincidences,",
- http://www.firstthings.com/article.php3?id_article=2208
- 63. Dr. Michael Walker, Quadrant, October 1982, p. 44.
- 64. Bediuzzaman Said Nursi, The Words, 87.
- 65. Henry Gee, "Beyond the Fossil Record to a New History of Life," In Search of Deep Time, New York: The Free Press (a Division of Simon & Schuster), 1999, p. 32.
- 66. Stephen M. Barr, "Retelling the Story of Science," First Things, March 2003.
- 67. Henry Gee, In Search of Deep Time, p. 32.
- 68. Ibid., p. 114.
- 69. Richard Ellis, Aquagenesis: The Origin and Evolution of Life in the Sea, Penguin Books, 2001, p. xi.

- 70. Daniel Dennett, Darwin's Dangerous Idea: Evolution and the Meaning of Life, 1995, p. 520.
- 71. Bediuzzaman Said Nursi, The Thirtieth Flash.
- 72. Kitts, David B., "Paleontology and Evolutionary Theory," Evolution, vol. 28, 1974, p. 467.
- 73. Mark Ridley, "Who Doubts Evolution?," New Scientist, Vol. 90; June 25, 1981, p. 831.
- 74. Steven M. Stanley, Macroevolution: Pattern and Process, 1979, p. 39.
- 75. Richard L. Kleiss, A Closer Look at the Evidence, Search for the Truth Publications, June 2003, p. 28.
- 76. Ibid., p. 22.
- 77. Arda Denkel, Cumhuriyet Bilim Teknik Eki, February 27, 1999, p. 15.
- 78. Sidney Fox, Klaus Dose, Molecular Evolution and The Origin of Life, W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1972, p. 4.
- 79. Alexander I. Oparin, Origin of Life, Dover Publications, NewYork, 1936, 1953 (reprint), p. 196.
- 80. "New Evidence on Evolution of Early Atmosphere and Life," Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, vol 63, November 1982, 1328-1330.
- 81. Stanley Miller, Molecular Evolution of Life: Current Status of the Prebiotic Synthesis of Small Molecules, 1986, p. 7.
- 82. Jeffrey Bada, Earth, February 1998, p. 40.
- 83. Leslie E. Orgel, "The Origin of Life on Earth," Scientific American, vol. 271, October 1994, p. 78.
- 84. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, The Modern Library, New York, p. 127. 85. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species: A Facsimile of the First Edition, Harvard University Press, 1964, p. 184. 86. B. G. Ranganathan, Origins?, Pennsylvania: The Banner of Truth

- Trust, 1988, p. 7.
- 87. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species: A Facsimile of the First Edition, p. 179.
- 88. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species, p. 172.
- 89. Derek A. Ager, "The Nature of the Fossil Record," Proceedings of the British Geological Association, vol 87, 1976, p. 133.
- 90. Douglas J. Futuyma, Science on Trial, Pantheon Books, New York, 1983, p. 197.
- 91. Solly Zuckerman, Beyond The Ivory Tower, Toplinger Publications, New York, 1970, 75-14; Charles E. Oxnard, "The Place of Australopithecines in Human Evolution: Grounds for Doubt", Nature, vol 258, 389.
- 92. "Could science be brought to an end by scientists' belief that they have final answers or by society's reluctance to pay the bills?" Scientific American, December 1992, p. 20.
- 93. Alan Walker, Science, vol. 207, 7 March 1980, p. 1103; A. J. Kelso, Physical Antropology, 1st ed., J. B. Lipincott Co., New York, 1970, p. 221; M. D. Leakey, Olduvai Gorge, vol. 3, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1971, p. 272.
- 94. Jeffrey Kluger, "Not So Extinct After All: The Primitive Homo Erectus May Have Survived Long Enough To Coexist With Modern Humans," Time, 23 December 1996.
- 95. S. J. Gould, Natural History, vol. 85, 1976, p. 30.
- 96. Solly Zuckerman, Beyond The Ivory Tower, p. 19.
- 97. Richard Lewontin, "The Demon-Haunted World," The New York Review of Books, January 9, 1997, p. 28. 98. Malcolm Muggeridge, The End of Christendom, Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1980, p. 43.

