

transmit their power across wide social spaces at a high level of positive reproducibility. The primary norms of constitutional rule, in consequence, can be seen as adaptive dimensions of political power itself. These are institutions generated within power as power became progressively sensitive to highly differentiated societal environments, and as society as a whole, shaped by its functional extension and differentiation, created and encountered a need for more inclusive and autonomous capacities for using power. In a modern society, in short, political power is always likely to be applied through constitutional laws, through rights, and through reference to the inclusionary norm of popular/sovereign authority. Moreover, political power is always likely to be perceived as *legitimate* if applied in this form: constitutional laws, rights and selective popular inclusion create an internal apparatus within political power through which it can reproduce and transmit itself through society at a high level of internal consistency and with a minimum of unpredictable resistance. Societies that do not articulate power in this internal normative form are (over longer periods of time) unlikely to utilize power very effectively, and they are always susceptible to the threat that they might forfeit their inclusive political structure and erode their defining capacities for spatial and temporal extensibility, relatively rapid and reliable decision making and effective inclusion. To this extent, normative or analytical theory intuits a basic truth in its common claim that the legitimacy of political power depends on its exercise through constitutions and distinct legal rights. However, these primary objects of normative constitutional analysis (constitutions, rights and legitimacy) can only be adequately explained by sociological reconstruction.

The third conclusion of this book has a more formally normative quality. It is that in modern societies political power is always likely to assume certain basic normative legal features. Above all, if we assume that modern societies are usually determined by the fact that they require innumerable positive and replicable decisions (statutes) and they necessitate positive procedures for the positive, extensible political inclusion of very diverse actors and exchanges, it is probable that in these societies political power will assume and preserve an internal normative shape that is defined by constitutional laws, uniform subjective rights and some degree of popular/democratic inclusion. These principles or institutions might be seen as the *functional norms* that underpin modern power, and that permit societies recursively to apply and reproduce their power. To this limited degree, in fact, sociological analysis might allow itself to suggest that the norms of constitutional rule are probable preconditions

for the political (and perhaps more general) self-reproduction of modern societies, and it might even indicate, prescriptively, that such norms have a *desirable* status. Indeed, it is observable that societies able to multiply their reserves of power through a normative constitutional apparatus are also societies that, in extracting their power from highly singular processes of coercion, usually (albeit with exceptions) engender relatively high levels of political freedom for their members. Societies capable of generating power as a positive autonomous resource are normally societies in which extreme personal violation is rare (although not unknown): societies in which power is abstractly concentrated in states and in which power can be positively replicated through law without singular personal intervention in different settings tend to permit higher degrees of social liberty than societies in which the means of social coercion are endemically privatized and obdurately resistant to positive reproduction. Constitutions play a central role in this regard: in holding political power at a level of positive abstraction, they create conditions in which, over longer periods of time, power is likely to be applied in equal, internally reproducible, routinely inclusive and, therefore, personally indifferent manner. Across different historical periods, it can be observed that societies that struggle to abstract positive facilities to reproduce political power are defined both by weak constitutional structures and by high levels of personalistic violence and duress. To this degree, a sociological approach to constitutions might suggest that constitutions, although primarily acting, in functional manner, to maximize the reserves of usable power in society, have the benefit that, in multiplying power, they also (normally) produce and multiply social freedom. Indeed, this approach might suggest that societies producing high volumes of power tend to produce the highest degree of social liberty. The coincidence of constitutions and social liberty has often led normative theorists to think that constitutions and constitutional rights are created to secure human freedom, or that the extent to which they facilitate human liberty might even be a measure for the validity of constitutions and constitutional rights. Liberty, in fact, is only an incidental outcome of constitutional functions. Yet it surely authorizes normative endorsement of constitutional rule.

The yield of a sociology of constitutions is, therefore, threefold. First, it allows us functionally to explain the widespread reliance of modern societies on constitutional order. Second, it allows us to correct the foundational reductivism of more conventional lines of normative inquiry into constitutions and political legitimacy. Third, it allows us

to illuminate the probable normative structure of modern society, and even to indicate that deviation from certain constitutional norms might (for reasons that are not normative but sociological) be undesirable and might jeopardize the basic resources and structural form of society. On this last point, a sociology of constitutions permits us to bring towards conclusion the first objective of the sociological critique of the Enlightenment, and it enables us to offer a sociological (not deductive) model of political legitimacy. Specifically, it allows us to propose a generalized model of political legitimacy, which defines the legitimacy of the modern state as depending, first, on the exercise of power through uniform public laws; second, on the constitutional guarantee of equal subjective rights, usually differentiating clearly between public rights and private rights; and, third, on constitutional provisions for selective popular/sovereign inclusion. Above all, this sociological perspective suggests that societies diverging egregiously from the abstractive and selectively inclusionary functions of constitutional rule are often exposed to the danger that they erode their conserves of political power, they undermine their ability to utilize political power as an autonomous facility, and they relinquish their capacity for the reliable politicization of social exchanges. In this respect, a sociology of constitutions might even play an evidentially sustained role in debate about ideal or undesirable patterns of governance. Yet a sociology of constitutions has the distinction that in isolating a normative political model for society it is not afflicted by the deductive aporia afflicting rival lines of inquiry, and the grounds of the normative model that it proposes are constructed in pure sociological fashion: through internal analysis of the adaptive pressures underlying the political systems of modern societies.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adamovich, Ludwig 1923. *Die Prüfung der Gesetze und Verordnungen durch den österreichischen Verfassungsgerichtshof*. Leipzig: Franz Deuticke.
- Adams, George Burton 1926. *Council and Courts in Anglo-Norman England*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Adams, Willi Paul 2001. *First American Constitutions: Republican Ideology and the Making of the State Constitutions in the Revolutionary Era*. Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Adler, Franklin Hugh 1995. *Italian Industrialists from Liberalism to Fascism: The Political Development of the Industrial Bourgeoisie, 1906–1934*. Cambridge University Press.
- Agócs, Sándor 1971. ‘Giolitti’s Reform Programme: An Exercise in Equilibrium Politics’, *Political Science Quarterly* 86(4), pp. 637–53.
- Albertin, Lothar 1974. ‘Faktoren eines Arrangements zwischen industriellem und politischem System in der Weimarer Republik’, in Mommsen, Hans et al. (eds.), *Industrielles System und politische Entwicklung in der Weimarer Republik*. Düsseldorf: Droste, pp. 658–74.
- Alexander, Jeffrey C. 2006. *The Civil Sphere*. Oxford University Press.
- Altenstein’s *Denkschrift* 1931 [1807]. In Winter, Georg (ed.), *Die Reorganisation des Preußischen Staates unter Stein und Hardenberg: Erster Teil: Allgemeine Verwaltungs- und Behördenreform*, vol. I: *Vom Beginn des Kampfes gegen die Kabinettsregierung bis zum Wiedereintritt des Ministers von Stein*. Leipzig: Hirzel, pp. 364–566.
- Althusius, Johannes 1614 [1603]. *Politica*, 3rd edn. Herborn.
- Anderson, Gary M. and Boettke, Peter J. 1997. ‘Soviet Venality: A Rent-seeking Model of the Communist State’, *Public Choice* 93, pp. 37–53.
- Angermeier, Heinz 1966. *Königtum und Landfriede im deutschen Mittelalter*. Munich: Beck.
1984. *Die Reichsreform 1410–1555: Die Staatsproblematik in Deutschland zwischen Mittelalter und Gegenwart*. Munich: Beck.
- Antoine, Michel 2003. *Le Coeur de l’État: Surintendance, contrôle général et intendances des finances 1552–1791*. Paris: Fayard.
- Anton, Hans Hubert 1975. *Studien zu den Klosterprivilegien der Päbste im frühen Mittelalter: Unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Privilegierung von St. Maurice D’Agaune*. Berlin: de Gruyter.

- Appleby, Joyce 1984. *Capitalism and a New Social Order: The Republican Vision of the 1790s*. New York University Press.
- Aquarone, Alberto 1965. *L'organizzazione dello stato totalitario*. Turin: Einaudi.
- Argyriadis, Chara 1987. 'Über den Bildungsprozeß eines peripheren Staates: Griechenland 1821–1827', *Rechtshistorisches Journal* 6, pp. 158–72.
- Ascher, Abraham 1988. *The Revolution of 1905: Russia in Disarray*. Stanford University Press.
- Asenjo González, María 2006. 'La aristocracización política en Castilla. El proceso de participación urbana (1252–1520)', in Nieto Soria, José Manuel (ed.), *La monarquía como conflicto en la corona castellano-leonesa (c. 1230–1504)*. Madrid: Sílex, pp. 133–96.
- Ashley, Maurice 1962. *Financial and Commercial Policy under the Cromwellian Protectorate*. London: Frank Cass.
- Atiyah, P. S. 1979. *The Rise and Fall of Freedom of Contract*. Oxford University Press.
- Aubert, Félix 1977. *Le Parlement de Paris: De Philippe le Bel à Charles VII (1314–1422): Sa compétence, ses attributions*. Geneva: Slatkine Reprints.
- Ault, Warren Ortmann 1923. *Private Jurisdiction in England*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Azo, Portius 1506. *Summa super codicem et institutis*. Papie.
- Bacon, Francis 1639 [1597]. *The Elements of the Common Lawes of England*. London: Printed by the Assignes of John More, Esquire.
- Badinter, Robert 1989. 'Introduction. Naissance d'une justice', in Badinter (ed.), *Une autre justice 1789–1799*. Paris: Fayard, pp. 9–25.
- Baklanoff, Eric N. 1992. 'The Political Economy of Portugal's Later "Estado Novo": A Critique of the Stagnation Thesis', *Luso-Brazilian Review* 29(1), pp. 1–17.
- Baldus de Ubaldus 1616. *In primam Digesti veteris Partem Commentaria*. Venice.
- Baldwin, James Fosdick 1913. *The King's Council in England during the Middle Ages*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Baldwin, John W. 1986. *The Government of Philip Augustus: Foundations of French Royal Power in the Middle Ages*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Barbero, Abilio and Vigil, Marcelo 1978. *La formación del feudalismo en la península Ibérica*. Barcelona: Editorial Crítica.
- Bardoux, Agénor 1877. *Les Légistes: Leur influence sur la société française*. Paris: Germer Baillière.
- Baron, Hans 1966. *The Crisis of the Early Italian Renaissance: Civic Humanism and Republican Liberty in an Age of Classicism and Tyranny*. Princeton University Press.
- Barral, Pierre (ed.) 1968. *Les Fondateurs de la Troisième République*. Paris: Colin.

- Barthélemy, Dominique 1999. *L'an mil et le paix de Dieu: La France chrétienne et féodale 980–1060*. Paris: Fayard.
- Bartlett, Robert 2000. *England under the Norman and Angevin Kings 1075–1225*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Bartole, Sergio and Vandelli, Luciano 1980. *Le regioni nella giurisprudenza*. Bologna: Mulino.
- Bartolus de Saxoferrato 1555. *Super prima Parte in Digestum Vetus*. Lyon.
- Barudio, Günter 1976. *Absolutismus – Zerstörung der libertären Verfassung: Studien zur Karolinischen Eingewalt in Schweden zwischen 1680 und 1693*. Wiesbaden: Steiner.
- Bastid, Paul 1945. *Doctrines et institutions politiques de la Seconde République*, 2 vols. Paris: Hachette.
1954. *Les Institutions politiques de la monarchie parlementaire française (1814–1848)*. Paris: Sirey.
- Bastien, François 1997. ‘Le Conseil Constitutionnel et la Cinquième République. Réflexions sur l’émergence et les effets du contrôle de constitutionnalité en France’, *Revue Française de science politique* 47, pp. 377–404.
- Baumgart, Peter 1969. ‘Zur Geschichte der kurmärkischen Stände im 17. und 18. Jahrhundert’, in Gerhard, Dietrich (ed.), *Ständische Vertretungen*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, pp. 131–61.
- Beck, Raimund 1979. *Das Spanische Regierungssystem unter Franco*. Bochum: Brockmeyer.
- Becker, Marvin B. 1960. ‘Some Aspects of Oligarchical, Dictatorial and Popular Signorie in Florence, 1282–1382’, *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 2(4), pp. 421–39.
1966. ‘Economic Change and the Emerging Florentine Territorial State’, *Studies in the Renaissance* 13, pp. 7–39.
1968. *Florence in Transition*, 2 vols., Volume I: *Studies in the Rise of the Territorial State*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press.
- Becker, Seymour 1985. *Nobility and Privilege in Late Imperial Russia*. Dekalb, Ill.: Northern Illinois University Press.
- Behrens, Betty 1963. ‘Nobles, Privileges and Taxes in France at the End of the Ancien Régime’, *Economic History Review* 15(3), pp. 451–75.
- Beik, William 1985. *Absolutism and Society in Seventeenth-Century France: State Power and Provincial Aristocracy in Languedoc*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bell, David A. 1994. *Lawyers and Citizens: The Making of a Political Elite in Old Regime France*. Oxford University Press.
- Bellamy, J. G. 1989. *Bastard Feudalism and the Law*. London: Routledge.
- Below, Georg von 1885. *Die landständische Verfassung von Jülich und Berg bis zum Jahre 1511: Eine verfassungsgeschichtliche Studie*, 2 parts, Vol. II. Düsseldorf: Voss.
- Bentham, Jeremy 2002. ‘Nonsense upon Stilts’, in Bentham, *Rights, Representation and Reform: Nonsense upon Stilts and other Writings on the French*

- Revolution*, ed. P. Schofield, C. Pease-Watkin and C. Blamires. Oxford: Clarendon, pp. 317–97.
- Berchtold, Klaus 1998. *Verfassungsgeschichte der Republik Österreich*, Vol. I, 1918–1933. Vienna: Springer.
- Berding, Helmut (ed.) 1996. *Die Entstehung der Hessischen Verfassung*. Wiesbaden: Historische Kommission für Nassau.
- Berg, Ger van den 1996. ‘The Constitution, the Constitutional Court, and the Development of Russian Civil Law in the Transitional Period’, in Ginsburg, George, Barry, Donald D. and Simons, William B. (eds.), *The Revival of Private Law in Central and Eastern Europe*. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, pp. 117–60.
- Berman, Harold J. 1977. ‘The Origins of Western Legal Science’, *Harvard Law Review* 90(5), pp. 894–943.
1983. *Law and Revolution: The Formation of the Western Legal Tradition*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
2003. *Law and Revolution*, Vol. II, *The Impact of the Protestant Reformation on the Western Legal Tradition*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Bermbach, Udo 1967. *Vorformen parlamentarischer Kabinettsbildung in Deutschland: Der interfraktionelle Ausschuß 1917/18 und die Parlamentarisierung der Reichsregierung*. Cologne: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Bersani, Carlo 2002. ‘Gli enti pubblici tra stato e società 1926–1943’, in Mazzacone, Aldo (ed.), *Diritto, economia e istituzioni nell’Italia fascista*. Baden-Baden: Nomos, pp. 165–92.
- Bertelli, Sergio 1978. *Il potere oligarchico nello stato-città medievale*. Florence: La Nuova Italia Editrice.
- Berton, Henry 1900. *L’évolution constitutionnelle du Second Empire*. Paris: Félix Alcan.
- Bèze, Théodore de 1970 [1574]. *Du droit des magistrats*, introduced and ed. R. M. Kingdon. Geneva: Droz.
- Biancalana, Joseph 1988. ‘For Want of Justice: Legal Reforms of Henry II’, *Columbia Law Review* 88(3), pp. 433–536.
- Bickart, Roger 1932. *Les Parlements et la notion de la souveraineté nationale au XVIIIe siècle*. Paris: Félix Alcan.
- Bien, David D. and Godneff, Nina 1988. ‘Les offices et le crédit d’état: l’utilisation des priviléges sous l’Ancien Régime’, *Annales: Histoire, Sciences Sociales* 43(2), pp. 379–404.
- Bigot, Grégoire 1999. *L’autorité judiciaire et le contentieux de l’administration 1800–1872*. Paris: Librairie générale de droit et de jurisprudence.
- Birtsch, Günter 1995. ‘Die preußische Sozialverfassung im Spiegel des Allgemeinen Landrechts für den preußischen Staat von 1794’, in Wolff,

- Jörg (ed.), *Das Preußische Allgemeine Landrecht: Politische, rechtliche und soziale Wechsel- und Fortwirkungen*. Heidelberg: Müller, pp. 133–48.
- Bisson, Thomas N. 1969. ‘Consultative Functions in the King’s Parlements (1250–1314)’, *Speculum* 44(3), pp. 353–73.
1972. ‘The General Assemblies of Philip the Fair: Their Character Reconsidered’, *Studia Gratiana* 15, pp. 537–64.
1994. ‘The Feudal Revolution’, *Past and Present* 142, pp. 6–42.
2009. *The Crisis of the Twelfth Century: Power, Lordship, and the Origins of European Government*. Princeton University Press.
- Black, Stephen F. 1976. ‘Coram Protectore: The Judges of Westminster Hall under the Protectorate of Cromwell’, *American Journal of Legal History* 32, pp. 32–64.
- Blackstone, William 1979 [1765–9]. *Commentaries on the Laws of England*, 4 vols., Vol. I. University of Chicago Press.
- Blaich, Fritz 1979. *Staat und Verbände in Deutschland zwischen 1871 und 1945*. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner.
- Blair, Philip M. 1981. *Federalism and Judicial Review in West Germany*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Blancas, Jeronimo de 1641. *Coronaciones de los serenísimos reyes de Aragón*. Zaragoza: Diego Dormer.
- Blasius, Dirk 1978. ‘Bürgerliches Recht und bürgerliche Identität. Zu einem Problemzusammenhang in der deutschen Geschichte des 19. Jahrhunderts’, in Berding, Helmut et al. (eds.), *Vom Staat des Ancien Régime zum modernen Rechtsstaat: Festschrift für Theodor Schieder*. Munich: Oldenbourg, pp. 213–24.
- Blickle, Peter 1973. *Landschaften im Alten Reich: Die staatliche Funktion des gemeinen Mannes in Oberdeutschland*. Munich: Beck.
- Bloch, Marc 1949. *La société féodale*, vol. II: *Les classes et le gouvernement des hommes*. Paris: Albin Michel.
1964. *La France sous les derniers Capétiens 1223–1328*. Paris: Colin.
- Bodin, Jean 1986 [1576]. *Les six livres de la république*, 6 vols., Vol. III. Paris: Fayard.
- Bohle, Thomas 1990. *Einheitliches Arbeitsrecht in der Weimarer Republik: Bemühungen um ein deutsches Arbeitsgesetzbuch*. Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr.
- Böhmk, Franz 1937. *Die Ordnung der Wirtschaft als geschichtliche Aufgabe und rechtsschöpferische Leistung*. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.
- Böhret, Carl 1966. *Aktionen gegen die kalte Sozialisierung 1926–1930: Ein Beitrag zum Wirken ökonomischer Einflußverbände in der Weimarer Republik*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot.
- Boissy-D’Anglas, François-Antoine 1795. *Discours préliminaire au projet de la constitution pour la République Française*. Paris: Imprimé par ordre de la convention nationale.
- Boldt, Hans 1980. ‘Der Artikel 48 der Weimarer Reichsverfassung. Sein historischer Hintergrund und seine politische Funktion’, in Stürmer, Michael

- (ed.), *Die Weimarer Republik: Belagerte Civitas*. Königstein: Athenäum, pp. 288–309.
- Bolingbroke, Henry 1786 [1733–4]. *A Dissertation upon Parties*. London: Cadell.
- Bonaini, Francesco (ed.) 1854. *Statuti inediti della città di Pisa: Dal XII al XIV secolo*, 3 vols. Florence: Viesseux.
- Boncerf, Pierre-François 1776. *Les inconveniens des droits féodaux*. London: Valade.
- Bonini, Francesco 1996. *Storia della corte costituzionale*. Rome: Nuova Italia Scientifica.
- Bonney, Richard 1978. *Political Change in France under Richelieu and Mazarin*. Oxford University Press.
- Bortolotto, Guido 1931 [1930]. *Lo stato e la dottrina corporativa: Saggio d'una teoria generale*, new edn. Bologna: Zanichelli.
- Bosl, Karl 1972. *Die Grundlagen der modernen Gesellschaft im Mittelalter: Eine deutsche Gesellschaftsgeschichte des Mittelalters*. Stuttgart: Anton Hiersemann.
1974. *Die Geschichte der Repräsentation in Bayern: Landständische Bewegung, Landständische Verfassung, Landesausschuß und altständische Gesellschaft*. Munich: Beck.
- Bossenga, Gail 2006. ‘A Divided Nobility: Status, Markets and the Patrimonial State in the Old Regime’, in Smith, Jay M. (ed.), *The French Nobility in the Eighteenth Century*. Philadelphia: Pennsylvania University Press, pp. 43–75.
- Botero, Giovanni 1590 [1589]. *Della ragione di stato*. Ferrara: Vittorio Baldini.
- Botzenhart, Manfred 1983. ‘Verfassungsproblematik und Ständepolitik in der preußischen Reformzeit’, in Baumgart, Peter (ed.), *Ständetum und Staatsbildung in Brandenburg-Preußen*. Berlin: de Gruyter, pp. 431–55.
- Bourdon, Jean 1942. *La Constitution de l'an VIII*. Rodez: Carrere.
- Bourjon, François 1767 [1747]. *Le droit commun de la France et la coutume de Paris réduit en principes*, 2 vols., Vol. I. Paris: Grangé.
- Boutaric, Edgard 1861. *La France sous Philippe le Bel: Étude sur les institutions politiques et administratives du Moyen Age*. Paris: Henri Plon.
- Boutaric, François de 1751 [1745]. *Traité des droits seigneuriaux et des matières féodales*. Toulouse: Gaspard Henault et Jean-François Forest.
- Boutruche, Robert 1968. *Seigneurie et Féodalité*, 2 vols., Vol. I, *Le premier âge des liens d'homme à homme*, 2nd edn. Paris: Aubier.
- Bracton, Henry de 1968 [Written c. 1235]. *On the Laws and Customs of England*, translated by S. E. Thorne, in 2 vols. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Braddick, Michael J. 2000. *State Formation in Early Modern England c. 1550–1700*. Cambridge University Press.
- Broszat, Martin 1969. *Der Staat Hitlers*. Munich: DTV.

- Brubaker, Rogers 1992. *Citizenship and Nationhood in France and Germany*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Brunkhorst, Hauke 2000. 'Rights and the Sovereignty of the People in the Crisis of the Nation State', *Ratio Juris* 13, pp. 49–62.
2002. *Solidarität: Von der Bürgerfreundschaft zur globalen Rechtsgenossenschaft*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Brewer, John 1989. *The Sinews of Power: War, Money and the English State 1688–1783*. London: Unwin.
- Brown, Howard G. 2006. *Ending the French Revolution: Violence, Justice, and Repression from the Terror to Napoleon*. Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press.
- Bruchey, Stuart 1980. 'The Impact of Concern for the Security of Property Rights on the Legal System of the Early American Republic', *Wisconsin Law Review*, pp. 1135–58.
- Brundage, James A. 2008. *The Medieval Origins of the Legal Profession: Canonists, Civilians, and Courts*. Chicago University Press.
- Bruneau, Thomas C. 1984. *Politics and Nationhood: Post-Revolutionary Portugal*. New York: Praeger.
- Brunner, Georg 1993. 'Die neue Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit in Osteuropa', *Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht* 53, pp. 819–70.
- Brunner, Otto 1968. *Neue Wege der Verfassung- und Sozialgeschichte*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Brusewitz, Axel (ed.) 1916. *Frihetstidens grundlagar och konstitutionella stadgar*. Stockholm: Norstedt.
- Brzezinski, Mark 2000. *The Struggle for Constitutionalism in Poland*. Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- Brzezinski, Mark F. and Garlicki, Lezek 1995. 'Judicial Review in Post-Communist Poland: The Emergence of a *Rechtsstaat*?', *Stanford Journal of International Law* 31, pp. 13–59.
- Buchheim, Christoph and Scherner, Jonas 2006. 'The Role of Private Property in the Nazi Economy: The Case of Industry', *Journal of Economic History* 66(2), pp. 390–416.
- Buck, A. R. 1990. 'The Politics of Land Law in Tudor England, 1529–1540', *Journal of Legal History* 11(2), 200–17.
- Bülow, Friedrich 1934. *Der deutsche Ständestaat: Nationalsozialistische Gemeinschaftspolitik und Wirtschaftsorganisation*. Leipzig: Alfred Kröner.
- Burdeau, François 1994. *Histoire de l'administration française: Du 18 au 20 siècle*, 2nd edn. Paris: Montchrestien.
1995. *Histoire du droit administratif (de la révolution au début des années 1970)*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Burke, Edmund 1775 [1770]. *Thoughts on the Cause of the Present Discontents*. London: Dodsley.

- 1910 [1790]. *Reflections on the Revolution in France*. London: Dent.
- 1981 [1775]. ‘Speech on Conciliation with America’, in *Burke, The Writings and Speeches of Edmund Burke*, ed. Paul Langford, 8 vols., Vol. III. Oxford: Clarendon, pp. 102–71.
- Burrage, Michael 2006. *Revolution and the Making of the Contemporary Legal Profession: England, France and the United States*. Oxford University Press.
- Büschen, Otto 1962. *Militärsystem und Sozialleben im Alten Preußen: Die Anfänge der sozialen Militarisierung der preußisch-deutschen Gesellschaft*. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Buschmann, Arno 1999. ‘Privilegien in der Verfassung des Heiligen Römischen Reiches im Hochmittelalter’, in Dölemeyer, Barbara and Mohnhaupt, Heinz (eds.), *Das Privileg im Europäischen Vergleich*, Vol. II. Frankfurt am Main: Klostermann, pp. 17–44.
- Butt, Ronald 1989. *A History of Parliament: The Middle Ages*. London: Constable.
- Caggese, Romolo (ed.) 1921. *Statuti della repubblica fiorentina*, 2 vols., Vol. II, *Statuti della Podestà*. Florence: Ariani.
- Calasso, Francesco 1949. *Gli ordinamenti giuridici del rinascimento medievale*. Milan: Giuffrè.
1954. *Medio Evo del diritto: Le fonti*. Milan: Giuffrè.
1957. *I glossatori e la teoria della sovranità: Studio di diritto comune pubblico*. Milan: Giuffrè.
1971. *La legislazione statutaria dell'Italia meridionale*. Bari: Edizioni centro librario.
- Campbell, Peter 1958. *French Electoral Systems and Elections 1789–1957*. London: Faber & Faber.
- Cannon, John 1969. *The Fox–North Coalition: Crisis of the Constitution 1782–4*. Cambridge University Press.
- Caplan, Jane 1988. *Government without Administration: State and Civil Service in Weimar and Nazi Germany*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Cappelletti, Mauro and Adams, J.C. 1966. ‘Judicial Review of Legislation: European Antecedents and Adaptations’, *Harvard Law Review* 79(6), pp. 1207–24.
- Carpenter, Christine 1983. ‘Law, Justice and Landowners in Late Medieval England’, *Law and History Review* 1(2), pp. 205–37.
- Carré, Henri 1912. *La Fin des Parlements*. Paris: Hachette.
- Carretero Zamora, Jan Manuel 1988. *Cortes, monarquía, ciudades: Las Cortes de Castilla a comienzos de la época moderna (1476–1515)*. Madrid: Siglo XXI de España.
- Carruthers, Bruce G. 1996. *City of Capital: Politics and Markets in the English Financial Revolution*. Princeton University Press.
- Carsten, F. L. 1959. *Princes and Parliaments in Germany: From the Fifteenth to the Eighteenth Century*. Oxford: Clarendon.